

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

David MacAlister, Director LL.M.
SFU School of Criminology
8888 University Drive
Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6
dmacalis@sfu.ca

Dear Mr. MacAlister,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

A - THE STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

I am an Electrical Engineer who is teaching at BCIT, and a **victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime**. I have been struggling to bring my offender-in-law, ICBC to JUSTICE, for over seven years. Finally, **Chief Justice Christopher E. Hinkson** has labelled me “*vexatious litigant*” and issued an order - without a proper signature - stating that:

“No person is obliged to respond to the Notice of Civil Claim (my claim # S155390) ...”, July 13, 2015.

Chief Justice Hinkson were AWARE of the following FACTS:

1. ICBC **sells insurance under the threat** of *seizing drivers licenses* and **forces** the innocent people to pay all the damage reckless drivers and hit and run criminals cause.
2. ICBC **provides insurance benefits for criminal offenders**, under the cover of “*accident insurance*”, including the cases where offenders are identified.
3. Since hit and run criminals are covered under the accident insurance, **criminal offenders are not prosecuted**. Therefore, hit and run crime is extremely rampant.
4. ICBC assumes the liability of **49,000 counts of hit and run crime**, every year, and
5. In British Columbia, every year, hit and run criminals **kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200** peoples.
6. ICBC **forces** innocent people pay for the estimated **damage of half a billion dollars** caused by hit and run criminals.

B – IGNORANCE OF THE LAW AND DUTY:

1. **Chief Justice Hinkson** ignored the **intent of the Law**, that is protecting the VICTIMS OF CRIME. He protected the OFFENDER, by dismissing the legal action of the VICTIM.
2. **Chief Justice Hinkson** ignored the **Canadian Criminal Code Section 252** that prohibits hit and run crime. Since hit and run is a criminal offence, providing insurance to hit and run criminals is NOT LAWFUL. Nevertheless, Justice Hinkson was comfortable with such an **unlawful business practice**.

3. **Chief Justice Hinkson** ignored the **fundamental principle of CONTRACT LAW** that prohibits sales contracts under **coersion**. His action is tantamount to **upholding ICBC's selling insurance under the threat** of restricting the RIGHT to use one's own vehicle.
4. **Chief Justice Hinkson** ignored the rules of legal procedures. He **refused to sign** his order in compliance with the procedural norms, despite my numerous requests. Obviously, an Honourable person never hesitates to sign his own decision.
5. **Chief Justice Hinkson** ignored his **DUTY to supervise the Law Courts** and make sure JUSTICE is SERVED according to the established FACTS and applicable LAW. Obviously, it is impossible to dismiss the legal actions of the victims of crime, where the Courts are supervised.
6. **Chief Justice Hinkson** ignored my **RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE**. He **overrode** my RIGHT and obstructed my access to JUSTICE SERVICE.
7. **Chief Justice Hinkson** ignored the **DUTY of the lawyers**, as Ministers of Justice, they are bound to **SERVE the cause of justice**. No lawyer may **file an application to abort the legal action of a victim of crime** who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE; because, such an action is tantamount to protecting criminal offenders and **defeating** the cause of JUSTICE. Justice Hinkson honoured the applications filed by Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni and Richard S. Margets.
8. The most important of all, HE ignored his **HONOURABLE STATUS, AS A CHIEF JUSTICE OF SUPREME COURT**; because, it is impossible to associate **HONOUR** with the action of **obstructing JUSTICE to a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE**.

As member of the Public, and a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime, **I have a DUTY TO PUBLICIZE** the conduct of Chief Justice Hinkson, even though, I am aware of the possibility of damaging his reputation, in the process of discharging my DUTY. Nevertheless, **I will not accept any responsibility for his loss of reputation**, since I have given him sufficient legal notice.

This matter is a serious concern for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC. If I fail to to publicize this issue:

1. The members of the Public may fall victim to the unusual practice of Law in the Courts:

1. Justices will continue **dismissing** the legal actions of the victims of crime, by overriding the victims RIGHT and DUTY to bring their offenders to Justice.
2. Justices will continue to **issue fake orders** - without proper signature -, for the purpose of influencing the members of the Public to believe that they are not a victim of criminal offence.
3. The lawyers will continue to file court applications to dismiss the legal action of the victims of crime, to **protect the criminal offenders**.
4. The lawyers will lower the **honour of legal profession** to the point where they can argue that signing a document does not mean "approval".

2. ICBC will continue to harm the Public under the name of “*Public service*”:

1. ICBC will keep selling **insurance** service to the Public **under** the **threat** of restricting the RIGHT to use one’s own vehicle and **forcing** the innocent people **to pay** the damages reckless drivers cause.
2. ICBC will keep **insuring** – identified - **hit an run criminals** under the cover of “*accident insurance*”.
3. Consequently, ICBC will keep the rate of hit and run crime around 49,000 to provide **lucrative business** to lawyers and every year **8 people will be killed, 2,200** people will be injured and maimed.
4. ICBC will keep **FORCING** innocent drivers **to pay** estimated half a billion dollars for the **damages hit an run criminals cause**.

It is impossible to tolerate such an extensive HARM inflicted on the Public. Therefore, the Chief Justice Hinkson must step down, in order to protect the DIGNITY OF THE COURTS.

A JUSTICE WHO PROTECTS THE CRIMINAL OFFENDERS BY ABORTING THE LEGAL ACTIONS OF THE VICTIMS OF CRIME, IS MORE DANGEROUS OFFENDER THAT THE PERSON WHO ACTUALLY COMMITS THE CRIME.

Therefore, it is a DIRE NECESSITY to expose the Chief Justice Hinkson to the PUBLIC, so that the members of the Public can protect themselves. Since your employees and students are the members of the Public, I have to notify them as well.

I would like to **meet** with you to **discuss** the possibilities of joining our efforts for publicizing “the Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia”, and **protect the Law of the Land**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Attd. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, CD (Index of exhibits, Exhibits, Legal documents).

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 29, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Second Notice

David MacAlister, Director LL.M.
SFU School of Criminology
8888 University Drive
Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6
dmacalis@sfu.ca

Dear Mr. MacAlister,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

Please **investigate** the documents enclosed and **report** this issue to the Media and the Responsible Authorities for the protection of the Public.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl.: The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; Declaration of Indemnity; CD (Legal documents).