

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 11, 2015

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail

Mr. Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

Dear Mr. Trudeau,

Now, you are the **most influential man** in Canada, empowered with the **Law of the Land**. **Congratulations.** We, the people count on your **honesty** and **trust** that you will do whatever necessary to stop the following corruption in the Administration of Justice, before it gets out of control:

1. UNUSUAL BUSINESS PRACTICE

I am a victim of **potentially fatal hit and run crime** committed under the *liability* of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was NOT charged with criminal offence; even though he was identified on the next day. After searching the frequency of hit and run crimes, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Furthermore, ICBC, **forces the Public to pay** (estimated half a billion dollars) for the damages done by the hit and run criminals, by the way of selling **compulsary insurance service**. For a reasonable person, **selling insurance service**, under the threat of restricting the peoples' RIGHT to use their vehicles, cannot be associated with the LAW and JUSTICE; sales contracts - **under threat** - have **NO legal merits**.

2. HIT AND RUN IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE

Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing insurance benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of "accident insurance"**, where criminal offenders are identified.

3. DUTY OF VICTIMS OF CRIME

Victims of crime have DUTY to take their offenders to COURT; otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime**. Therefore, it was my DUTY bring my offender to JUSTICE. In order to discharge my DUTY, I have struggled to file a legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC, for over six years. Nevertheless, the members of the Law Society and the Judiciary obstructed my access to Court Services.

4. OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE TO VICTIMS OF CRIME

Here is the list of the OBSTRUCTIONS, I have faced, since May 2009.

1. The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file legal action against my offender. If the lawyers fail to provide legal service to the victims of crime, they cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

2. The Law Society failed to investigate the issue. The Executive Director of the Law Society, Timothy E. McGee stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime. If the Law Society fails to enforce the rules of professional conduct, the lawyers may only provide legal service to the persons they like, and legal service may not be available for everyone.

3. Justice Nathan H. Smith dismissed my legal action against Timothy E. McGee with costs and refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. If the members of the judiciary fail to enforce the Law to ensure that legal services are available for everyone - including the victims of crime - victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

4. Legal representative of Timothy E. McGee, Michael G. Armstrong, attempted to exact the court costs based on the unsigned - INVALID - court order. If the members of the Law Society have no hesitation to swindle money from the victims of crime, *using unsigned court orders*, it is impossible to trust the members of the Law Society.

5. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, refused to investigate my complaint regarding the enforcement of INVALID court orders. If the Chief Justice turns blind eye to the legal chicaneries perpetrated under his supervision, it is impossible to prevent corruption in the Court Services.

6. Justice Austin F. Cullen dismissed my legal action against the Chief Justice, without referring to any authority that relaxes the Chief Justice's DUTY to investigate unusual practice of Law in the Courts. Therefore, he refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. An Honourable Justice cannot be associated with dismissing the legal action of a victim of crime, *without adjudicating the issue before the Court*, and refusing to sign the dismissal order, in compliance with the procedural norms.

7. Justice Janice R. Dillon dismissed my legal action against Austin F. Cullen and declared me "vexatious litigant". If a member of the judiciary dismisses the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to Justice and declares him "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT", obviously, her status is NOT any better than the CRIMINAL WHO OFFENDED THE VICTIM.

8. The Chief Justice, issued a court order stating that no person has obligation to respond to my civil claim against Janice R. Dillon and he refused to sign his order, despite my numerous requests.

The Chief Justice have disregarded my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to Justice and OBSTRUCTED my access to the Court Services. Under the circumstances, I am legally obliged to publicize this issue for the protection of the PUBLIC.

5. DUTY OF THE GOVERNMENT

The FIRST PRIORITY in public service is to ensure ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE operates **effectively**; because, it is impossible to live in PEACE, if the Courts are **corrupt**.

Therefore, I tried to raise the above issue to the attention of the Justice Minister, **Suzanne Anton**, many times, but I was NOT able to get an authorized response. I have also, reported the issue to the Judicial Counsel, but they were comfortable with it.

6. MY REQUEST

Please, advise the Chief Justice of British Columbia, **Christopher E. Hinkson** that he has **NO authority** to dismiss my legal action, I filed as a **victim of crime** and override my fundamental RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. This is **absolutely necessary** to prevent crime in Canada, therefore, it is the **requirement of the LAW of the Land**. Thanks, in advance.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

January 4, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Second request

Mr. Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

Dear Mr. Trudeau,

Now, you are the **most influential man** in Canada, empowered with the **Law of the Land**. **Congratulations**. We, the people count on your **honesty** and **trust** that you will do whatever necessary to stop the following corruption in the Administration of Justice, before it gets out of control:

1. UNUSUAL BUSINESS PRACTICE

I am a victim of **potentially fatal hit and run crime** committed under the *liability* of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was NOT charged with criminal offence; even though he was identified on the next day. After searching the frequency of hit and run crimes, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year**. (ICBC quick statistics). Furthermore, ICBC, **forces the Public to pay** (estimated half a billion dollars) for the damages done by the hit and run criminals, by the way of selling **compulsary insurance service**. For a reasonable person, **selling insurance service**, under the threat of restricting the peoples' RIGHT to use their vehicles, cannot be associated with the LAW and JUSTICE; sales contracts - **under threat** - have **NO legal merits**.

2. HIT AND RUN IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE

Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing insurance benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of "accident insurance"**, where criminal offenders are identified.

3. DUTY OF VICTIMS OF CRIME

Victims of crime have DUTY to take their offenders to COURT; otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime**. Therefore, it was my DUTY bring my offender to JUSTICE. In order to discharge my DUTY, I have struggled to file a legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC, for over six years. Nevertheless, the members of the Law Society and the Judiciary obstructed my access to Court Services.

4. OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE TO VICTIMS OF CRIME

Here is the list of the OBSTRUCTIONS, I have faced, since May 2009.

1. The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file legal action against my offender. If the lawyers fail to provide legal service to the victims of crime, they cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

2. The Law Society failed to investigate the issue. The Executive Director of the Law Society, Timothy E. McGee stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime. If the Law Society fails to enforce the rules of professional conduct, the lawyers may only provide legal service to the persons they like, and legal service may not be available for everyone.

3. Justice Nathan H. Smith dismissed my legal action against Timothy E. McGee with costs and refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. If the members of the judiciary fail to enforce the Law to ensure that legal services are available for everyone - including the victims of crime - victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

4. Legal representative of Timothy E. McGee, Michael G. Armstrong, attempted to exact the court costs based on the unsigned - INVALID - court order. If the members of the Law Society have no hesitation to swindle money from the victims of crime, *using unsigned court orders*, it is impossible to trust the members of the Law Society.

5. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, refused to investigate my complaint regarding the enforcement of INVALID court orders. If the Chief Justice turns blind eye to the legal chicaneries perpetrated under his supervision, it is impossible to prevent corruption in the Court Services.

6. Justice Austin F. Cullen dismissed my legal action against the Chief Justice, without referring to any authority that relaxes the Chief Justice's DUTY to investigate unusual practice of Law in the Courts. Therefore, he refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. An Honourable Justice cannot be associated with dismissing the legal action of a victim of crime, *without adjudicating the issue before the Court*, and refusing to sign the dismissal order, in compliance with the procedural norms.

7. Justice Janice R. Dillon dismissed my legal action against Austin F. Cullen and declared me "vexatious litigant". If a member of the judiciary dismisses the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to Justice and declares him "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT", obviously, her status is NOT any better than the CRIMINAL WHO OFFENDED THE VICTIM.

8. The Chief Justice, issued a court order stating that no person has obligation to respond to my civil claim against Janice R. Dillon and he refused to sign his order, despite my numerous requests.

The Chief Justice have disregarded my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to Justice and OBSTRUCTED my access to the Court Services. Under the circumstances, I am legally obliged to publicize this issue for the protection of the PUBLIC.

5. DUTY OF THE GOVERNMENT

The FIRST PRIORITY in public service is to ensure ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE operates **effectively**. Therefore, I tried to raise the above issue to the attention of the Justice Minister, **Suzanne Anton**, many times, but I was NOT able to get an authorized response. I have also, reported the issue to the Judicial Counsel, but they were comfortable with it.

6. MY REQUEST

Please, advise the Chief Justice of British Columbia, **Christopher E. Hinkson** so that he should STEP DOWN or CORRECT HIS WRONG; because, he has **NO authority** to dismiss my legal action, I filed as a **victim of crime** and override my fundamental RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. This is **absolutely necessary** to prevent crime in Canada, therefore, it is the **requirement of the LAW of the Land**. Thanks, in advance. If I cannot get an **authorized response** from you, till the end of the January, I will construe that you are comfortable with this issue.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

March 12, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – FINAL request - Registered mail

Mr. Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

Dear Prime Minister,

Re. CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

I have not received any response to my letters dated Nov. 11, 2015 and Jan. 4, 2016. As stated in my previous letters, as a member of the Public, I have a DUTY TO INFORM THE PUBLIC regarding the ongoing corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, in order to prevent harm to the other members of the Public. Nevertheless, before launching my publicity campaign, I am obliged to give you sufficient notice, because, you are the highest authority in Canada to ensure that the Administration of Justice operates, according to the LAW OF THE LAND.

Please, let me know if you are willing to interfere with this vitally important issue of PUBLIC INTEREST.

If I cannot get any response in a month, I will conclude that **you are comfortable** with the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and I have to inform the Public accordingly.

Please, bear in mind that, from the point of LAW, an **authorized person** who denies NATURAL JUSTICE or ignores the lack of it, is **more dangerous** than any criminal.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. The Report of Corruption, CD: Exhibits and legal documents.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

April 17, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – FINAL request

Mr. Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

Dear Prime Minister,

Re. CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

I have not received any response to my letters dated Nov. 11, 2015, Jan. 4, 2016 and Mar. 10, 2016. As stated in my previous letters, as a member of the Public, I have a DUTY TO INFORM THE PUBLIC regarding the ongoing **corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**, in order to prevent harm to the other members of the Public. Nevertheless, before launching my publicity campaign, I am obliged to give you sufficient notice, because, you are the highest authority in Canada to ensure that the **Administration of Justice operates, according to the LAW OF THE LAND.**

Please, let me know if you are willing to interfere with this vitally important issue of PUBLIC INTEREST.

If I cannot get any response in a month, I will conclude that **you are comfortable** with the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and I have to inform the Public accordingly.

Please, bear in mind that, from the point of LAW:

A justice who dismisses the legal action of a victim of crime, is more dangerous OFFENDER than the one who actually commits the CRIME.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. The Report of Corruption, CD: Exhibits and legal documents.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 27, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – WARNING

Mr. Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

Dear Mr. Trudeau,

Re. THE REPORT OF CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

This is my fourth letter to you regarding the Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. I have not received any response from you so far. Under the circumstances, I have no choice other than publicizing this issue FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC. Nevertheless, my publicity campaign may cause irreversible **damage to your reputation** and the credibility of the Administration of Justice.

Therefore, you must understand that **I will not accept any responsibility** for your ignorance of the corruption and duly **your loss of reputation** in the process of discharging my DUTY TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC, because I have given you sufficient due notice.

Please, read the attached “declaration of indemnity” and pay attention to this **vitaly important Public interest issue** without any further delay.

A justice, who dismisses the legal actions of the victims of crime, is more dangerous OFFENDER than the persons who actually commit the CRIMES.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. Declaration of Indemnity, The Report of Corruption, CD: Exhibits and legal documents.