

MLAS BC

[dan.ashton.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:dan.ashton.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[robin.austin.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:robin.austin.mla@leg.bc.ca)

[harry.bains.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:harry.bains.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[donna.barnett.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:donna.barnett.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[bill.bennett.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:bill.bennett.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[mike.bernier.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.bernier.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[doug.bing.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:doug.bing.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[shirley.bond.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:shirley.bond.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[stephanie.cadieux.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:stephanie.cadieux.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mrs.

[s.chandraherbert.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:s.chandraherbert.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.

[raj.chouhan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:raj.chouhan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[rich.coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:rich.coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[katrine.conroy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:katrine.conroy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[kathy.corrigan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:kathy.corrigan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[marc.dalton.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:marc.dalton.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[judy.darcy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:judy.darcy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[mike.dejong.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.dejong.mla@leg.bc.ca)

[adrian.dix.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:adrian.dix.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.

[doug.donaldson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:doug.donaldson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[david.eby.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:david.eby.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[mable.elmore.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mable.elmore.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mrs.

[mike.farnworth.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.farnworth.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[peter.fassbender.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:peter.fassbender.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[rob.fleming.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:rob.fleming.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[eric.foster.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:eric.foster.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[scott.fraser.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:scott.fraser.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[simon.gibson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:simon.gibson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[scott.hamilton.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:scott.hamilton.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[sue.hammell.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:sue.hammell.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[george.heyman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:george.heyman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[gordon.hogg.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:gordon.hogg.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[gary.holman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:gary.holman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[marvin.hunt.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:marvin.hunt.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[vicki.huntington.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:vicki.huntington.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[carole.james.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:carole.james.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[maurine.karagianis.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:maurine.karagianis.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[leonard.krog.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:leonard.krog.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[greg.kyllo.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:greg.kyllo.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[terry.lake.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:terry.lake.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[linda.larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:linda.larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[norm.letnick.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:norm.letnick.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[norm.macdonald.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:norm.macdonald.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[melanie.mark.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:melanie.mark.mla@leg.bc.ca)

[john.martin.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:john.martin.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[don.mcrae.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:don.mcrae.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[mike.morris.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.morris.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[michelle.mungall.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:michelle.mungall.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[coralee.oakes.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:coralee.oakes.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[pat.pimm.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:pat.pimm.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[darryl.plecas.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:darryl.plecas.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[mary.polak.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mary.polak.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[lane.popham.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:lane.popham.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[bruce.ralston.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:bruce.ralston.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[linda.reid.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:linda.reid.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[linda.reimer.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:linda.reimer.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[jennifer.rice.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jennifer.rice.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[selina.robinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:selina.robinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[bill.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:bill.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[douglas.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:douglas.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[john.rustad.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:john.rustad.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[jane.shin.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jane.shin.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[nicholas.simons.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:nicholas.simons.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[shane.simpson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:shane.simpson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[michelle.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:michelle.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[moira.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:moira.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mrs.

[todd.stone.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:todd.stone.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[jordan.sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jordan.sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[sam.sullivan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:sam.sullivan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[ralph.sultan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:ralph.sultan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[jackie.tegart.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jackie.tegart.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[steve.thomson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:steve.thomson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[jane.thornthwaite.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jane.thornthwaite.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[laurie.throness.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:laurie.throness.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.

[claire.trevena.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:claire.trevena.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[amrik.virk.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:amrik.virk.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.

[teresa.wat.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:teresa.wat.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[andrew.weaver.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:andrew.weaver.mla@leg.bc.ca)

[jodie.wickens.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jodie.wickens.mla@leg.bc.ca)

[andrew.wilkinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:andrew.wilkinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[naomi.yamamoto.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:naomi.yamamoto.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[john.yap.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:john.yap.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

**I sent a copy of the attached letter to MLAS BC and legal documents.**

[dan.ashton.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:dan.ashton.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[robin.austin.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:robin.austin.mla@leg.bc.ca)  
[harry.bains.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:harry.bains.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[donna.barnett.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:donna.barnett.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[bill.bennett.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:bill.bennett.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[mike.bernier.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.bernier.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[doug.bing.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:doug.bing.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[shirley.bond.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:shirley.bond.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[stephanie.cadieux.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:stephanie.cadieux.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mrs.  
[s.chandraherbert.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:s.chandraherbert.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.  
[raj.chouhan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:raj.chouhan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[rich.coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:rich.coleman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[katrine.conroy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:katrine.conroy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[kathy.corrigan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:kathy.corrigan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[marc.dalton.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:marc.dalton.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[judy.darcy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:judy.darcy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[mike.dejong.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.dejong.mla@leg.bc.ca)  
[adrian.dix.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:adrian.dix.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.  
[doug.donaldson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:doug.donaldson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[david.eby.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:david.eby.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[mable.elmore.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mable.elmore.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mrs.  
[mike.farnworth.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.farnworth.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[peter.fassbender.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:peter.fassbender.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[rob.fleming.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:rob.fleming.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[eric.foster.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:eric.foster.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[scott.fraser.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:scott.fraser.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[simon.gibson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:simon.gibson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[scott.hamilton.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:scott.hamilton.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[sue.hammell.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:sue.hammell.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[george.heyman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:george.heyman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[gordon.hogg.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:gordon.hogg.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[gary.holman.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:gary.holman.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[marvin.hunt.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:marvin.hunt.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[vicki.huntington.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:vicki.huntington.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[carole.james.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:carole.james.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[maurine.karaqianis.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:maurine.karaqianis.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[leonard.krog.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:leonard.krog.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[greg.kyllo.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:greg.kyllo.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[terry.lake.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:terry.lake.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[linda.larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:linda.larson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[norm.letnick.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:norm.letnick.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[norm.macdonald.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:norm.macdonald.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[melanie.mark.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:melanie.mark.mla@leg.bc.ca)  
[john.martin.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:john.martin.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[don.mcrae.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:don.mcrae.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[mike.morris.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mike.morris.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[michelle.mungall.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:michelle.mungall.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[coralee.oakes.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:coralee.oakes.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

[pat.pimm.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:pat.pimm.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[darryl.plecas.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:darryl.plecas.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[mary.polak.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:mary.polak.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[ana.popham.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:ana.popham.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[bruce.ralston.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:bruce.ralston.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[linda.reid.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:linda.reid.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[linda.reimer.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:linda.reimer.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[jennifer.rice.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jennifer.rice.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[selina.robinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:selina.robinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[bill.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:bill.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[douglas.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:douglas.routley.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[john.rustad.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:john.rustad.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[jane.shin.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jane.shin.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[nicholas.simons.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:nicholas.simons.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[shane.simpson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:shane.simpson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[michelle.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:michelle.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[moira.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:moira.stilwell.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mrs.  
[todd.stone.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:todd.stone.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[jordan.sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jordan.sturdy.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[sam.sullivan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:sam.sullivan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[ralph.sultan.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:ralph.sultan.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[jackie.tegart.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jackie.tegart.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[steve.thomson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:steve.thomson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[jane.thornthwaite.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jane.thornthwaite.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[laurie.throness.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:laurie.throness.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.  
[claire.trevena.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:claire.trevena.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[amrik.virk.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:amrik.virk.MLA@leg.bc.ca) Mr.  
[teresa.wat.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:teresa.wat.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[andrew.weaver.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:andrew.weaver.mla@leg.bc.ca)  
[jodie.wickens.mla@leg.bc.ca](mailto:jodie.wickens.mla@leg.bc.ca)  
[andrew.wilkinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:andrew.wilkinson.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[naomi.yamamoto.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:naomi.yamamoto.MLA@leg.bc.ca)  
[john.yap.MLA@leg.bc.ca](mailto:john.yap.MLA@leg.bc.ca)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

April 3, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

John Yap, MLA@leg.bc.ca

Dear Mr. Yap,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of BC

### 1. UNUSUAL BUSINES PRACTICE

I am a victim of **potentially fatal hit and run crime** committed under the *liability* of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was NOT charged with criminal offence; even though he was identified on the next day. After searching the frequency of hit and run crimes, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Furthermore, ICBC, **forces the Public to pay** (estimated half a billion dollars) for the damages done by the hit and run criminals, by the way of selling *compulsary insurance service*. For a reasonable person, **selling insurance service**, under the threat of restricting the peoples' RIGHT to use their vehicles, cannot be associated with the LAW and JUSTICE; sales contracts - **under threat** - have **NO legal merits**.

### 2. HIT AND RUN IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE

Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing insurance benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of "accident insurance"**, where criminal offenders are identified.

### 3. DUTY OF VICTIMS OF CRIME

Victims of crime have DUTY to take their offenders to COURT; otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime**. Therefore, it was my DUTY bring my offender to JUSTICE. In order to discharge my DUTY, I have struggled to file a legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC, for over six years. Nevertheless, the members of the Law Society and the Judiciary obstructed my access to Court Services.

### 4. OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE TO VICTIMS OF CRIME

Here is the list of the OBSTRUCTIONS, I have faced, since May 2009.

**1. The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file legal action against my offender.** If the lawyers fail to provide legal service to the victims of crime, they cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

**2. The Law Society failed to investigate the issue. The Executive Director of the Law Society, Timothy E. McGee stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime.** If the Law Society fails to enforce the rules of professional conduct, the lawyers may only provide legal service to the persons they like, and legal service may not be available for everyone.

**3. Justice Nathan H. Smith dismissed my legal action against Timothy E. McGee with costs and refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms.** If the members of the judiciary fail to enforce the Law to ensure that legal services are available for everyone - including the victims of crime - victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

**4. Legal representative of Timothy E. McGee, Michael G. Armstrong, attempted to exact the court costs based on the unsigned - INVALID - court order.** If the members of the Law Society have no hesitation to swindle money from the victims of crime, *using unsigned court orders*, it is impossible to trust the members of the Law Society.

**5. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, refused to investigate my complaint regarding the enforcement of INVALID court orders.** If the Chief Justice turns blind eye to the legal chicaneries perpetrated under his supervision, it is impossible to prevent corruption in the Court Services.

**6. Justice Austin F. Cullen dismissed my legal action against the Chief Justice, without referring to any authority that relaxes the Chief Justice's DUTY to investigate unusual practice of Law in the Courts.** Therefore, he refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. An Honourable Justice cannot be associated with dismissing the legal action of a victim of crime, *without adjudicating the issue before the Court*, and refusing to sign the dismissal order, in compliance with the procedural norms.

**7. Justice Janice R. Dillon dismissed my legal action against Austin F. Cullen and declared me "vexatious litigant".** If a member of the judiciary dismisses the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to Justice and declares him "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT", obviously, her status is NOT any better than the CRIMINAL WHO OFFENDED THE VICTIM.

**8. The Chief Justice, issued a court order stating that no person has obligation to respond to my civil claim against Janice R. Dillon and he refused to sign his order, despite my numerous requests.**

The Chief Justice have disregarded my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to Justice and OBSTRUCTED my access to the Court Services. Under the circumstances, I am legally obliged to publicize this issue for the protection of the PUBLIC.

## **5. DUTY OF THE GOVERNMENT**

The FIRST PRIORITY in public service is to ensure ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE operates **effectively**. Therefore, I tried to raise the above issue to the attention of the Justice Minister, **Suzanne Anton**, many times, but I was NOT able to get an authorized response.

## **6. MY REQUEST**

Please, advise the Justice Minister **Suzanne Anton**, so that she should take necessary actions in order to resolve the corruption in the Supreme Court or British Columbia reported in the attached document.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Att.: The report of Corruption, Exhibits, Legal documents (1-6).

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

July 24, 2015

Richard T. Lee, MLA, Burnaby

Dear Mr. Lee,

1. ASSUMING THE LIABILITY OF CRIMINAL OFFENCE: On March 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit my car and ran away, on the Pattullo Bridge. My car was totally destroyed, but I survived the collision. Stewart Taylor was caught; nevertheless, he was not arrested or prosecuted; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME Stewart Taylor committed.** Even though ICBC was 100% liable for the incident, ICBC representative, **Jason Gray** refused to pay my non-pecuniary damages.

Later on, I found out that, **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics).

Therefore, my case is not an isolated case. This case is an extremely sensitive issue, due to the extend of the harm inflicted on the PUBLIC. This case is the incontrovertible evidence of the fact that: **ICBC providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of “accident insurance benefits”, where criminal offenders are identified.**

2. MY DUTY TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST CRIME: As a surviving **victim of hit and run crime**, I have a legal obligation to take legal action against his offender-in-law, ICBC; because, it is impossible to prevent crime, if victims fail **to take legal action** against their offenders or their sponsors.

3. LAWYERS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE: In order to file his case, I consulted with 10 lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service. All of the ten lawyers were declined to provide legal advice or service to file my case, despite I was willing to pay for their services. Lawyers’ refusing to provide legal service to a member of public is tantamount to **obstruction of justice**; because, the lawyers are the only professionals who are knowledgeable and qualified to provide legal service to the public. The lawyers’ professional-obligation is also clearly stated in the Canons of Legal Ethics. **“A lawyer should make legal services available to the public** in an efficient and convenient manner that will command respect and confidence..”

4. LAW SOCIETY STATED THAT LAWYERS HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC: In order to resolve this issue, I got in touch with the Law Society of British Columbia. After seven months of communication, the Law Society Executive Director, **Timothy E. McGee** confirmed that the lawyers of British Columbia have **no obligation to provide legal service**

**to the victims of crime**, in his letter dated January 8, 2013. I asked him who had that obligation; but, he failed to respond.

5. LEGAL ACTION AGAINST McGEE: To find out **who has legal obligation to provide legal service to the public**, I filed a legal action against Timothy E. McGee, Executive Director of the Law Society. Nevertheless, legal representative of McGee, **Michael Armstrong** filed a court application and **Justice Nathan Smith** dismissed my case with costs, on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013, without answering my question and without referring to any authority that relaxes lawyers' obligation to provide legal service to the public. At the hearing, I asked to Michael Armstrong the following question. He was silent; instead, Justice Nathan Smith responded as follows: (Transcript, page 18)

RON KORKUT: Who has the obligation to provide legal service to the public if the lawyers have not such an obligation? Please answer this question before the court.

THE COURT: All right.

6. DISMISSAL OF LEGAL ACTION WITHOUT AN APPLICABLE AUTHORITY:

Justice Nathan Smith concurred with Michael Armstrong's argument and decided that ICBC had an obligation to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and pay criminal damages on behalf of criminal offenders, where criminal offenders were identified, under the Insurance Vehicle Act C.231.

Nevertheless, there is no provision in C.231 of the Act that entitles ICBC to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and pay the damages on behalf of the criminals, where offenders are identified. It is impossible to have such a provision in the Act; because, **it is impossible to assume the liability of a criminal offence and let the criminal offender be free**, as long as the Law is enforced effectively.

Therefore, **Justice Nathan Smith refused to sign his dismissal order.**

7. ATTEMPTING TO EXACT MONEY BY USING UNSIGNED COURT ORDER:

I filed an appeal for Justice Nathan Smith's decision with the Court of Appeal. Nevertheless, I was not able to proceed with his appeal; because, Justice Nathan Smith **did not sign his order**. Instead, Michael Armstrong drafted an order on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith and asked me to sign it; arguing that signing a document does not mean "**acceptance**", in legal documents. Michael Armstrong, attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith.

Furthermore, he demanded \$5,000 under the name of "*security deposit*" for appeal court costs, assuming he would abort my appeal, as well.



8. COMPLAINT TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE: Since I was not able to proceed with my appeal in the Court of Appeal, I had no choice, other than reporting this issue to the Chief Justice, **Christopher E. Hinkson** and seeking help. I wrote four letters dated: Nov. 25, 2013, Jan. 13, 2014, Mar. 5, 2014 and Mar. 25, 2014. I raised the following issues to the attention of the Chief Justice:

1. Michael Armstrong filed an application to abort my legal action prematurely, **without citing any authority** to justify that *the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the public*.
2. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my legal action **without answering the question before the Court and declined to sign his order**.
3. Michael Armstrong, by using his professional influence, attempted to mislead me to believe that **signing a legal document does not mean acceptance** and asked me to sign the order he drafted on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith. He attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith.
4. Master Dennis Tokarek signed a "Certificate of Costs" **without printing his name** on the legal document. I attempted to confirm the signature, but Master Tokarek failed to confirm his signature, in writing.

9. THE CHIEF JUSTICE DISREGARDED MY COMPLAINT: As we all know, the Chief Justice is responsible for supervising the court services and ensure that court services are provided to the public within reason. Nevertheless, he failed to respond to my complaint. Instead, **K. Jill Leacock** wrote a letter to me, dated January, 15, 2014. She interpreted my complaint as a "*request of legal advice*" and she stated that: "*Chief Justice Hinkson is not able to provide you with any advice. .... will not respond further to your inquiry.*" Therefore, I filed a legal action against the Chief Justice, on the grounds of **breach of duty**.

10. JOHN D. WADDELL PROCURED THE ABORTION OF MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE: **John D. Waddell** filed an application and procured the dismissal of my legal action without citing any **authority** that relaxes the Chief Justice's duty to pay attention to improper court procedures; such as, *failure to sign court orders* and *exacting money* from plaintiffs, by using unsigned court orders.

11. JUSTICE **AUSTIN F. CULLEN** DISMISSED MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE WITH COURT COSTS: Like, Justice Nathan Smith, Justice Austin Cullen **failed to sign his dismissal order**; because, the dismissal of the case was tantamount to declaring that: “*the Chief Justice had NO obligation to supervise court services*”. Obviously, an Honourable person who acts in good faith, never hesitates to sign his own decision and confirm it. John Waddell attempted to exact court costs from me, by diluting me to believe that the ***unsigned court order was a valid legal document***.

12. Therefore, I WAS OBLIGED TO FILE ANOTHER CIVIL CLAIM AGAINST, **Austin F. Cullen, John D. Waddell and K. Jill Leacock** and sought an order for the trial of the case, to **bring my offenders to justice** and prevent ICBC aiding hit and run crime by providing financial benefits to offenders under the name of “***accident insurance benefits***”.

13. ANTHONY LEONI AND RICHARD MARGETS ABORTED THE CASE. **Anthony Leoni** acting on behalf of John D. Waddell, and **Richard S. Margetts**, acting on behalf of Austin F. Cullen and K. Jill Leacock, filed an application, scheduled on March 19, 2015. I did not attend the hearing of the application due to the legal chicanery perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; because, it is impossible to serve justice in a Court of Law where:

1. Judges **disregard the substantiated facts** and **the applicable law**, invoked by the victim; specifically, Criminal Code of Canada Section 252.
2. Judges **fail to sign their decisions** according to established rules of Law.
3. Lawyers attempt to exact court costs from the victims relying on **unauthorized court orders**.

14. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON DECLARED ME “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT”. On April 16, 2015, Anthony Leoni, sent me a court order, allegedly issued by **Justice Janice R. Dillon**. The order was not signed according to the established rules of Law. The order declared me “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT” and I was enjoined from instituting any legal proceeding, except the leave of the court.

15. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON REFUSED TO CONFIRM THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE ORDER SENT BY ANTHONY LEONI. Since I was not sure that the order was signed by Justice Janice Dillon, due to the fact that **her full name was not printed above the signature**, I decided to confirm the authenticity of the order by writing to Justice Janice Dillon. Nevertheless, Justice Janice Dillon refused to confirm the authenticity of the order by failing to respond to my letters dated April 24, May 16, and June 9, 2015. Obviously, an Honourable person who presides in the Supreme Court of British Columbia,

never hesitates to confirm the validity of the order she made in good faith. Therefore, I was obliged to file this Civil Claim against Justice Janice Dillon, because, the order was **overriding my right and duty to bring my offender to justice**, and the order was **not signed properly**.

16. HARM INFLICTED ON THE PUBLIC: Within the last six years, **due to the legal chicanery perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**, my offender-in-law, ICBC assumed the liability of **294,000 counts of hit and run crime** that **killed 48, injured and crippled 13,200** innocent citizens of British Columbia. (ICBC quick statistics)

## **I REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING JUDGMENT**

### 17. APPLICABLE LAW:

1. Justice Janice Dillon has **no authority override my right and obstruct his duty to bring his offender to justice**, by declaring him “vexatious litigant”.
2. Justice Janice Dillon has a **duty to sign her order** according to the established rules of Law. Court order is a significant legal document, therefore, it must be validated by the person who is authorized to issue the order by signing under his/her full name printed on the order. Simply, a court order is NOT VALID legal document, if it is NOT properly signed by the judge.

### 18. ESTABLISHED FACTS:

1. The order, allegedly issued by Justice Janice Dillon does not have the full name of **Justice Janice R. Dillon** above the signature. Therefore, **the person who signed the order is not identified**. Exhibit 1, is the proof of the fact that Justice Janice Dillon’s full name was not printed on the court order.
2. Therefore, I attempted three times, in writing to verify the validity of the order; Justice Janice Dillon failed to verify the validity of her order. Exhibits 2, 3, 4. An Honourable Justice who makes a decision in good faith, never hesitates to validate her own decision.
3. In the order, Justice Janice Dillon declared me “**vexatious litigant**” knowing that I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I had been struggling to bring my offender to justice for six years. An Honourable Justice never declares a victim of a crime “**vexatious litigant**” and obstruct his duty to bring his offender to justice; because such an action defeats the cause of justice.

20. JUDGMENT: **Is it LAWFUL for Justice Janice R. Dillon to override my right** and duty to bring my offender to justice, by the way of declaring him “**vexatious litigant**”, knowing that he is a **victim of hit and run crime** and **the legal actions** he has filed were **ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY** in order to bring his offender to justice?

### **I SOUGHT THE FOLLOWING RELIEF:**

21. If Justice Janice Dillon, has **NO authority to override my right** and duty to bring his offender to justice, I sought an order to prohibit her from sitting in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the public.

22. If I, being a **victim of crime**, has a right to bring his offender to justice, he seeks the leave of the Court, so that he can file a **criminal legal action** against his offender-in-law, ICBC, considering the extent of the human suffering and financial loss inflicted on the Public, stated in paragraph 17.

### **DEAD END FOR JUSTICE:**

23. I received an order, from H. L. McBride stating that no person will respond to my Notice of Civil Claim. The order is allegedly issued by Chief Justice, nevertheless it was not signed according to the rules of Law. Where no one is obliged to respond to a legal action, it is impossible to serve justice. Under the circumstances, my access to the Court Services is completely obstructed. (Exh. 8)

### **MY QUESTION:**

**How can I bring my offender-in-law, ICBC to Justice, in order to fulfill my DUTY to resist CRIME? Please HELP.**

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

August 9, 2015

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

John Horgan, NDP Leader  
New Democratic Party of BC  
5367 Kingsway  
Burnaby, BC V5H 2G1

Dear Mr. Horgan,

Re. S155390, [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca)

As a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime I have a RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my DUTY by filing four civil litigations for over six years; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender. Nevertheless, my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. They were aware of the following facts and rules of Law, before they dismissed my legal actions:

1. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. **Hit and run is a criminal offence** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
5. Court orders **must be signed properly** by the judge to prove the validity or the order.

It is NOT REASONABLE to dismiss the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE, simply, because such an action is tantamount to **siding with the criminals**. Obviously, a justice, who is acting in good faith, NEVER HESITATES to sign his order properly. The fact that the above mentioned justices refused to sign their orders according to the requirements of the Law, is the reasonable conclusion of WRONG DISMISSAL.

Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon and Chief Justice declared me “*vexatious litigant*”; therefore, I am not able fulfill my duty, through litigation process. If the Chief Justice **ignores his duty** and **fails to resolve this issue** within two weeks, I have no choice other than publicizing this legal chicanery, so that the public can **investigate** and **protect themselves**. Since this is a very sensitive issue from the point of protecting the Law and Order, would you please, raise it to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia?

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Ron Korkut**  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

**October 15, 2015**

FINAL WARNING

**The Honourable Christopher E. Hinkson,**  
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.  
800 Smithe Street  
Vancouver BC V6Z 2E1

**Dear Chief Justice,**

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime committed under the liability of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was not charged with criminal offence. After researching the frequency of this incident, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Not to mention, exacting half a billion dollars from the Public to pay the damage done by the hit and run criminals, against the will of the Public. Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of “accident insurance benefits”, where criminal offenders are identified.**

As a surviving **victim of hit and run crime**, I have a legal obligation to take legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC; because, it is impossible to prevent crime, if victims fail to **take legal action** against their offenders.

Victims of crime have a **DUTY** to take their offenders to **COURT**; otherwise, it is impossible to prevent crime. Therefore, it was my **DUTY** bring my offender-in-law, ICBC to **JUSTICE**. In order to discharge my **DUTY**, I have struggled over six years. Nevertheless, the members of the Law Society and the Judiciary obstructed my access to Court Services. Here is the list of the **OBSTRUCTIONS**, I have faced.

**1. The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file my case.**

If lawyers do not provide legal service, victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to justice.

**2. The Law Society failed to investigate the issue. Executive Director, Mr. Timothy McGee stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime.**

If the Law Society fails to enforce the lawyers' legal obligation to provide legal service to the victim of crime, the victims cannot bring their offenders to justice.

**3. Mr. Justice Nathan H. Smith dismissed my legal action against Timothy McGee with costs and refused to sign his order, as required by the Law.** If a member of the judiciary fails to enforce the Law ensure that legal service is available for the victims of crime, victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to justice.

**4. Legal representative of Timothy McGee, Michael Armstrong, attempted to exact court costs based on unsigned court order.** If a member of the Law Society attempts to exact money from a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to justice, using unauthorized court order, it is impossible to believe that the lawyer are bound with their professional ethics. If the lawyers, officers of the court are not bound with their professional ethics, everything can be done in a court of law.

**5. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson refused to investigate my complaint regarding issuing unsigned court order and attempting to enforce it.** If the Chief Justice turns a blind eye to the legal chicanery perpetrated in the Court of Law, it is impossible to ensure justice is served in the Court of Law.

**6. Mr. Justice Austin F. Cullen dismissed my legal action against the Chief justice, without referring to any authority that relaxes the Chief Justice's duty to investigate unusual practice of Law in the Courts and refused to sign his order as required by the Law.** If a justice dismisses a legal action of a victim of crime without adjudicating the issue before the Court and refuses to sign his dismissal order, it is impossible for the victim to bring his offender to justice.

**7. Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon dismissed my legal action against Austin F. Cullen and declared me "vexatious litigant".** If a member of the judiciary OBTRUCTS JUSTICE by declaring a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to justice, "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, obviously, her status is not any better than the CRIMINAL WHO OFFENDED THE VICTIM.

**8. The Chief Justice, issued an unsigned court order stating that no person has obligation to respond to my civil claim against Janice R. Dillon and he refused to sign his order, despite my three requests.** If the Chief Justice issues an unsigned court order and refused to sign it, it is impossible to trust Court Services and expect the service of Justice. **Therefore, it is absolutely necessary for the victim of crime to blow the whistle on the corruption of Court Services for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC.**

Nevertheless, I am reluctant to DISCHARGE MY DUTY TO PUBLICIZE the legal chicanery being perpetrated under your supervision, because, **I do have a deep respect for your HONOURABLE STATUS as a Chief Justice.** On the other hand, if I fail to discharge my duty:

1. The legal chicanery perpetrated under your supervision will continue and the Public will be suffering from it.
2. My offender-in-law, ICBC, will continue assuming the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year, including the cases where offenders are identified. Further more, ICBC will keep forcing the Public pay for damages caused by hit and run criminals, by selling compulsory accident insurance.

**Obviously, it is IMPOSSIBLE to justify such an extensive harm and suffering inflicted on the Public.**

Before, I start my publicity campaign, I will address the **last possible reason** for you are not moving from your position; that is the possibility of your misunderstanding of the CONCEPT OF LAW and the SIGNIFICANCE OF YOUR HONOURABLE POSITION AS A CHIEF JUSTICE.

First of all, as a Chief Justice you are supposed to know that, THE LAW IS ALL ABOUT PREVENTING CRIME, not PROMOTING CRIME by dismissing the legal action of a victim of CRIME. Therefore, CRIME MUST BE PREVENTED. If crime is NOT prevented, it is impossible to

maintain the LAW and ORDER, it is impossible to enjoy life in peace. Life in suffering is LIFE LOST. Law is all about protecting the human life and prevent unnecessary suffering of the people. The objective of the LAW is not providing business opportunities for special interest groups by giving them the privilege of selling some services under coercion, namely compulsory insurance service; that is otherwise, UNLAWFUL. The Law is JUSTICE. If there is no JUSTICE, there is no LAW. If there is no LAW, there JUSTICE. Justice is the BALANCE/EQUALITY of NATURAL RIGHTS. That is the reason we have the SCALE OF JUSTICE in front of the Court Houses. Providing business to an insurance corporation by forcing the Public buy insurance service and insuring hit and run criminals HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH JUSTICE OR LAW. Obviously, forcing another person to sign a sales contract is not fair business practice, not reasonable, not necessary, therefore it is UNLAWFUL.

As a Chief Justice you are supposed to understand the significance of job and maintaining the Honour of your office. If the Chief Justice issues an order that obviates the necessity of responding to a legal action of a victim of crime refuses to sign his order as required by the Law, it is obvious that the Chief Justice is not aware of his DUTIES and he is not aware of the necessity of signing a court order. If the Chief Justice obstructs a victim of crime from Court Services, by declaring him vexatious litigant, it is IMPOSSIBLE TO PREVENT CRIME. It is vitally important for a member of judiciary, especially the Chief Justice that he/she is not ABOVE THE LAW and his/her POWER is VALID, strictly within the bounds of LAW. The DUTY of a Justice is to serve JUSTICE. It is as simple as that; everyone knows it is COMMONSENSE. If a justice declares a victim of crime “vexatious litigant” and prevent his discharge of DUTY to bring his offender to JUSTICE, he cannot be considered “Justice” anymore. For a reasonable person such a conduct is tantamount to siding with criminals and protecting them under the name of serving justice.

Social credibility is a very important asset for all of us. In a healthy society, where everyone has respect and trust for each other loss of credibility is vitally important. For a person who lost his/her credibility, enjoyment of life is a tough luck. Therefore, it important to maintain our credibility, it is foolish to risk his/her credibility relying on popularity and professional influence. Popularity and influence may quickly disappear, if abuse of power is substantiated. Therefore, it is NOT PRUDENT to challenge the Law for a short term benefit such as maintaining a job or curry favour to your chords. You should never forget that you are entitled to maintain your credibility by correcting a wrong. It is always easier to correct your wrong, than being corrected by someone else.

This being my final warning to you, I repeat once more, I have no intention to harm your credibility, but I HAVE TO DISCHARGE MY DUTY TO INFORM THE PUBLIC. **I plead with you** to let me file a criminal action against my offender-in-Law, ICBC, in order to deter ICBC from assuming the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year, and stop forcing the Public pay for damages caused by hit and run criminals, where criminal are identified.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First



Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 7, 2015

Second request - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

John Horgan, NDP Leader  
New Democratic Party of BC  
5367 Kingsway  
Burnaby, BC V5H 2G1

Dear Mr. Horgan,

Re. S155390, www.ethicsfirst.ca

As a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime I have a RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my DUTY by filing four civil litigations for over six years; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender. Nevertheless, my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. They were aware of the following facts and rules of Law, before they dismissed my legal actions:

1. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. **Hit and run is a criminal offence** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
5. Court orders **must be signed properly** by the judge to prove the validity or the order.

It is NOT REASONABLE to dismiss the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE, simply, because such an action is tantamount to **siding with the criminals**. Obviously, a justice, who is acting in good faith, NEVER HESITATES to sign his order properly. The fact that the above mentioned justices refused to sign their orders according to the requirements of the Law, is the reasonable conclusion of WRONG DISMISSAL.

Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon and Chief Justice declared me “*vexatious litigant*”; therefore, I am not able fulfill my duty, through litigation process. If the Chief Justice **ignores his duty** and **fails to resolve this issue** within two weeks, I have no choice other than publicizing this legal chicanery, so that the public can **investigate** and **protect themselves**. Since this is a very sensitive issue from the point of protecting the Law and Order, would you please, raise it to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia?

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 30, 2015

Third request - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

John Horgan, NDP Leader  
New Democratic Party of BC  
5367 Kingsway  
Burnaby, BC V5H 2G1

Dear Mr. Horgan,

Re. S155390, www.ethicsfirst.ca

As a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime I have a RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my DUTY by filing four civil litigations for over six years; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender. Nevertheless, my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. They were aware of the following facts and rules of Law, before they dismissed my legal actions:

1. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. **Hit and run is a criminal offence** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
5. Court orders **must be signed properly** by the judge to prove the validity or the order.

It is NOT REASONABLE to dismiss the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE, simply, because such an action is tantamount to **siding with the criminals**. Obviously, a justice, who is acting in good faith, NEVER HESITATES to sign his order properly. The fact that the above mentioned justices refused to sign their orders according to the requirements of the Law, is the reasonable conclusion of WRONG DISMISSAL.

Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon and Chief Justice declared me “*vexatious litigant*”; therefore, I am not able fulfill my duty, through litigation process. If the Chief Justice **ignores his duty** and **fails to resolve this issue** within two weeks, I have no choice other than publicizing this legal chicanery, so that the public can **investigate** and **protect themselves**. Since this is a very sensitive issue from the point of protecting the Law and Order, would you please, raise it to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and let me know?

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

February 25, 2016

Final request - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

John Horgan, NDP Leader  
New Democratic Party of BC  
122-2806 Jacklin Road  
Victoria, BC V9B 5A4

Dear Mr. Horgan,

Re. S155390, [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca)

As a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime I have a RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my DUTY by filing four civil litigations for over six years; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender. Nevertheless, my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. They were aware of the following facts and rules of Law, before they dismissed my legal actions:

1. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. **Hit and run is a criminal offence** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
5. Court orders **must be signed properly** by the judge to prove the validity or the order.

It is NOT REASONABLE to dismiss the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE, simply, because such an action is tantamount to **siding with the criminals**. Obviously, a justice, who is acting in good faith, NEVER HESITATES to sign his order properly. The fact that the above mentioned justices refused to sign their orders according to the requirements of the Law, is the reasonable conclusion of WRONG DISMISSAL.

Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon and Chief Justice declared me “*vexatious litigant*”; therefore, I am not able fulfill my duty, through litigation process. If the Chief Justice **ignores his duty** and **fails to resolve this issue** within two weeks, I have no choice other than publicizing this legal chicanery, so that the public can **investigate** and **protect themselves**. Since this is a very sensitive issue from the point of protecting the Law and Order, would you please, raise it to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and let me know? If you fail to respond, I will conclude that you are comfortable with this issue.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First      Encl. Report of Corruption, and relevant documents in CD.

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 15, 2016

Warning - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

John Horgan, NDP Leader  
New Democratic Party of BC  
Room 201, Parliament Buildings  
Victoria, BC V8V 1X4

Dear Mr. Horgan,

Re. S155390, www.ethicsfirst.ca

As a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime I have a **RIGHT** and **DUTY** to bring my offender to **JUSTICE**. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my **DUTY** by filing four civil litigations for over six years; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender. Nevertheless, my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. They were aware of the following facts and rules of Law, before they dismissed my legal actions:

1. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of "*accident insurance benefits*", where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. **Hit and run is a criminal offence** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
5. Court orders **must be signed properly** by the judge to prove the validity or the order.

It is **NOT REASONABLE** to dismiss the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to **JUSTICE**, simply, because such an action is tantamount to **siding with the criminals**. Obviously, a justice, who is acting in good faith, **NEVER HESITATES** to sign his order properly. The fact that the above mentioned justices refused to sign their orders according to the requirements of the Law, is the reasonable conclusion of **WRONG DISMISSAL**.

Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon and Chief Justice declared me "*vexatious litigant*"; therefore, I am not able fulfill my duty, through litigation process. If the Chief Justice **ignores his duty** and **fails to resolve this issue**, I have no choice other than publicizing this legal chicanery, so that the public can **investigate** and **protect themselves**. Since this is a very sensitive issue from the point of protecting the Law and Order, would you please, raise it to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and let me know?  
**PLEASE, RESPOND.**

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

January 27, 2016

Final request - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

John Horgan, NDP Leader  
New Democratic Party of BC  
5367 Kingsway  
Burnaby, BC V5H 2G1

Dear Mr. Horgan,

Re. S155390, www.ethicsfirst.ca

As a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime I have a RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my DUTY by filing four civil litigations for over six years; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender. Nevertheless, my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. They were aware of the following facts and rules of Law, before they dismissed my legal actions:

1. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. **Hit and run is a criminal offence** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
5. Court orders **must be signed properly** by the judge to prove the validity or the order.

It is NOT REASONABLE to dismiss the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE, simply, because such an action is tantamount to **siding with the criminals**. Obviously, a justice, who is acting in good faith, NEVER HESITATES to sign his order properly. The fact that the above mentioned justices refused to sign their orders according to the requirements of the Law, is the reasonable conclusion of WRONG DISMISSAL.

Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon and Chief Justice declared me “*vexatious litigant*”; therefore, I am not able fulfill my duty, through litigation process. If the Chief Justice **ignores his duty** and **fails to resolve this issue**, I have no choice other than publicizing this legal chicanery, so that the public can **investigate** and **protect themselves**. Since this is a very sensitive issue from the point of protecting the Law and Order, would you please, raise it to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and let me know?  
PLEASE, RESPOND.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

Dec. 13, 2015, Jan.24, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

**Richard T. Lee, MLA**  
1833 Willingdon Ave,  
Burnaby, BC V5C 5T1

Dear Mr. Lee,

**Re. S155390, www.ethicsfirst.ca**

As a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime I have a **RIGHT** and **DUTY** to bring my offender to **JUSTICE**. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my **DUTY** by filing four civil litigations for over six years; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender. Nevertheless, my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. They were aware of the following facts and rules of Law, before they dismissed my legal actions:

1. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of "*accident insurance benefits*", where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. **Hit and run is a criminal offence** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
5. Court orders **must be signed properly** by the judge to prove the validity or the order.

It is **NOT REASONABLE** to dismiss the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to **JUSTICE**, simply, because such an action is tantamount to **siding with the criminals**. Obviously, a justice, who is acting in good faith, **NEVER HESITATES** to sign his order properly. The fact that the above mentioned justices refused to sign their orders according to the requirements of the Law, is the reasonable conclusion of **WRONG DISMISSAL**.

Madam Justice Janice R. Dillon and Chief Justice declared me "*vexatious litigant*"; therefore, I am not able fulfill my duty, through litigation process. If the Chief Justice **ignores his duty** and **fails to resolve this issue** within two weeks, I have no choice other than publicizing this legal chicanery, so that the public can **investigate** and **protect themselves**. Since this is a very sensitive issue from the point of protecting the Law and Order, would you please, raise it to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and let me know.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

February 25, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

**Richard T. Lee, MLA**  
1833 Willingdon Ave,  
Burnaby, BC V5C 5T1

Dear Mr. Lee,

**Re. S155390, [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca)**

Thanks for responding my previous letters and your interest in watching this case. Due to the corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, it is impossible for me to proceed with this case in the Courts. Therefore, it is **absolutely necessary** to raise this issue to the attention of the Legislative Assembly.

Please, let me know, if you will report this issue to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia or not.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Encl.** Report of Corruption, Index of Exhibits and Legal Document on CD.

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 12, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Final request

**Richard T. Lee, MLA**  
1833 Willingdon Ave,  
Burnaby, BC V5C 5T1

Dear Mr. Lee,

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

You have not responded to my request regarding the **Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**. As a member of the PUBLIC, I am **obliged** to remind you that you have a DUTY to raise this issue to the attention of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia. If you fail to do so, the Public will be suffering from the following UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES of the Courts and ICBC:

1. The JUSTICES presiding in the Supreme Court of British Columbia will continue to **dismiss the legal actions** of the victims of crime, to **protect the criminals**. It is **impossible to prevent CRIME**, where the victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE.
2. Some LAWYERS will continue to **pervert the facts** and **disregard Substantive Law** applicable to the issues before the Court. Their actions **defeat the cause of JUSTICE**; undermine the **DIGNITY OF THE COURTS** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**.
3. The PUBLIC will continue to suffer from the actions of CRIMINALS, due to the **lack of JUSTICE SERVICE**.

Under the circumstances, it is impossible to bring ICBC TO JUSTICE, therefore:

4. ICBC will continue to **sell insurance service under the threat** of restricting the RIGHT to use one's own vehicle, even though, selling goods or services **under threat** is NOT LAWFUL.
5. ICBC will continue to **insure hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent drivers**, under cover of "*accident insurance*" and, promote hit and run crime and preventable accidents to provide *lucrative business* for the lawyers.
6. ICBC will continue to assume the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes**, a year. As a result:
7. Hit and run criminals will kill **8** peoples and, injure and maim **2,200** others, every year.
8. The Public will suffer the INDIGNITY of being **forced to buy** insurance service they are NOT **willing** to buy, and pay all the damages caused by **hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent** drivers.



I am confident that you understand the **significance** of this issue, from the point of the **protection of the Public** and you will NOT HESITATE TO raise this issue to the attention of the **Legislative Assembly** for a PUBLIC INVESTIGATION.

If you have any doubts about the stated facts in this letter or The Report of Corruption, please let me know. I would be happy to answer your questions. Please, let me know.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Encl. Report of Corruption, Legal Documents on CD.

