

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 09, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – FINAL request

Ana Lopez
Vice President, Human Resources
3700 Willingdon St.
Burnaby BC V5G 3H2

Dear Mrs. Lopez,

Ref. Restriction of communication

I am a **victim** of potentially fatal hit and run crime; therefore, I have a **RIGHT** and **DUTY** to bring my offender to **JUSTICE**. Otherwise, it is **impossible to prevent crime**.

I have tried to fulfill my **DUTY** by filing four civil litigations; because, I was not allowed to file criminal action against my offender in Law, ICBC. Nevertheless, all my cases were dismissed by Justice **Nathan H. Smith**, Justice **Austin F. Cullen**, Justice **Janice R. Dillon** and the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson**. And they refused to sign their dismissal orders in compliance with the procedural norms. They were aware of the following facts and the rules of Law, before they **dismissed** my legal actions:

1. **Hit and run is a CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada.
2. I was a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime and I was **obliged** to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC, to Justice.
2. ICBC **assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes**, that kill 8, injure and cripple 2,200 people in the province of British Columbia every year. (ICBC quick statistics)
3. ICBC provides **financial benefits to hit and run criminals** under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified, as proven in my case.
4. The **DUTY** of a Justice is to **adjudicate** – NOT TO DISMISS - the issue before the Court according to the **Law of the Land**, based on the substantiated **FACTS**. Otherwise, **it is impossible to serve JUSTICE** in the Courts of **LAW**.
5. Court order is a significant legal document that **must be signed** in compliance with the procedural norms, by the judge who makes the decision.

*Dismissing the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE, is a patent DENIAL OF JUSTICE and a **perfect example of CORRUPTION**. Therefore, as a victim of corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, I have a legal obligation to publicize this issue; otherwise, my offenders may harm the other members of the Public. Obviously, **I have to notify my colleagues**, first; so that they can make an informed decision before using the Court Services. Nevertheless, **James Cai**, Associate Dean, School of Construction and the Environment, restricted my **right** to communicate with my colleagues, knowing that the sole purpose of my communication was to **prevent harm** to them. Therefore, **he failed to sign** under his decision.*

I raised the issue to the attention of **Wayne Hand**, Dean, School of Construction and the Environment. He responded with an email dated July 15, 2016. In my letters dated June 20, July 17 and August 16, 2016, I asked him, *-specifically -* “**in writing**”, if he **concur** with James Cai’s decision to restrict my right and DUTY to notify my colleagues regarding the **ongoing corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**. He failed to approve James Cai’s decision in compliance with the procedural norms.

Please, read the attached “**The report of corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**”, investigate the relevant documents and let me know, if I am permitted to inform my colleagues so that they can protect themselves against the unusual practice of LAW in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Since this is a **vitally significant legal issue**, PLEASE respond in writing. For your convenience, I have attached a form letter to elicit your authorized decision. If you fail to respond, I will be obliged to report this issue to the Government Employees Union and publicize it using my own resources.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. “Declaration of Indemnity”, Draft response



BRITISH COLUMBIA
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Ana Lopez

Vice President, Human Resources, BCIT
3700 Willingdon St.
Burnaby BC V5G 3H2

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

RESTRICTION OF COMMUNICATION

I, **Ana Lopez**, Vice President, Human Resources at British Columbia Institute of Technology, read **the report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia** and concur with the decision of **James Cai** that **Ron Korkut** is not allowed to share “the report of Corruption” with his colleagues, even though his intention is to protect his colleagues against the unusual practice of Law in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Ana Lopez

Vice President, Human Resources

Date: _____

Signature