

**Ron Korkut**  
**5249 Laurel Street**  
**Burnaby BC V5G 1N1**  
**778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca**

**August 30, 2013**

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run offence. Hit and run is a criminal offence under the section 252 of the Canadian Criminal Code; therefore, I reported the incident to RCMP. RCMP did not charge my offender with criminal offence; because, ICBC assumed the liability of the crime. I have the conclusive and incontrovertible evidence of it. As everyone knows, assuming the liability of a criminal offence is the same as committing it. Later on, I found out that my case was not an isolated one. ICBC is assuming the liability of 49000 hit and run incidents that kill 10, injure and cripple 2200 innocent citizens of British Columbia every year. ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

Therefore, as a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime.

To file a criminal action against ICBC, I applied to the court registry. They told me that as member of the public I was not able to file a criminal action. Therefore, consulted with the lawyers. All the lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service did not give me the information I need for filing a criminal action, even though I was willing to pay for their service.

I reported the issue to the Law Society. The Law Society Executive Director told me that the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice/service to the victims of crime. I asked him who had the professional obligation to provide legal service to the public; but he failed to answer my question. Therefore, I filed a civil claim (S-132382) against him for failing to enforce the Code of Professional Conduct for BC. Nevertheless, the Honourable Mr. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my case with court costs on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013.

Now, I am in the process of appealing it. (CA041144) Since the case is involved with my constitutional right to a fair hearing and obstruction of justice, I felt obliged to notify you.

Please let me know, if you will interfere with this case.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Ron Korkut**  
**5249 Laurel Street**  
**Burnaby BC V5G 1N1**  
**778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca**

**September 30, 2013**

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run offence. Hit and run is a criminal offence under the section 252 of the Canadian Criminal Code; therefore, I reported the incident to RCMP. RCMP did not charge my offender with criminal offence; because, ICBC assumed the liability of the crime. I have the conclusive and incontrovertible evidence of it. As everyone knows, assuming the liability of a criminal offence is the same as committing it. Later on, I found out that my case was not an isolated one. ICBC is assuming the liability of 49000 hit and run incidents that kill 10, injure and cripple 2200 innocent citizens of British Columbia every year. ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

Therefore, as a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime.

To file a criminal action against ICBC, I applied to the court registry. They told me that as member of the public I was not able to file a criminal action. Therefore, consulted with the lawyers. All the lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service did not give me the information I need for filing a criminal action, even though I was willing to pay for their service.

I reported the issue to the Law Society. The Law Society Executive Director told me that the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice/service to the victims of crime. I asked him who had the professional obligation to provide legal service to the public; but he failed to answer my question. Therefore, I filed a civil claim (S-132382) against him for failing to enforce the Code of Professional Conduct for BC. Nevertheless, the Honourable Mr. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my case with court costs on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013.

Now, I am in the process of appealing it. (CA041144) Since the case is involved with my constitutional right to a fair hearing and obstruction of justice, I felt obliged to notify you.

Please let me know, if you will interfere with this case.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Encl. Letter to Michael Armstrong, dated Sep. 23, 2013.

**Ron Korkut**  
**5249 Laurel Street**  
**Burnaby BC V5G 1N1**  
**778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca**

**October 30, 2013**

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run offence. Hit and run is a criminal offence under the section 252 of the Canadian Criminal Code; therefore, I reported the incident to RCMP. RCMP did not charge my offender with criminal offence; because, ICBC assumed the liability of the crime. I have the conclusive and incontrovertible evidence of it. As everyone knows, assuming the liability of a criminal offence is the same as committing it. Later on, I found out that my case was not an isolated one. ICBC assumes the liability of 49000 hit and run crimes that kill 10, injure and cripple 2200 innocent citizens of British Columbia every year. ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

Therefore, as a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime.

To file a criminal action against ICBC, I applied to the court registry. They told me that as member of the public I was not able to file a criminal action. Therefore, consulted with the lawyers. All the lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service did not give me the information I need for filing a criminal action, even though I was willing to pay for their service.

I reported the issue to the Law Society. The Law Society Executive Director, Timothy McGee told me that the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice/service to the victims of crime. I asked him who had the professional obligation to provide legal service to the public; but he failed to answer my question. Therefore, I filed a civil claim (S-132382) against him for failing to enforce the Code of Professional Conduct for BC. Nevertheless, the Honourable Mr. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my case with court costs on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013.

Mr. Justice Smith's decision is not authorized for the following reasons:

1. Mr. Justice Smith decided that ICBC has an obligation to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and provide compensation for victims of hit and run crimes, where criminal offenders are identified, under the Insurance Vehicle Act C.231. (p.2)
2. I asked the representative of Timothy McGee, Michael Armstrong, under what section and subsection ICBC is entitled to assume the liability of hit and run crimes where offenders are identified. He failed to show me the authority I requested.
3. It is impossible to assume the liability of potentially fatal hit and run crime because it is in conflict with the principle of law that "a person who assumes the liability of wrong is the same as wrong doer."
4. Mr. Justice Smith relied on the validity of "Lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice to the victims of crime" Nevertheless, he failed to refer to any authority in his reasons

for Judgment. Mr. Armstrong failed to answer my following question and Mr. Justice Smith responded as follows:(Transcript page 18)

RON KORKUT: Who has the obligation to provide legal service to the public if the lawyers have not such an obligation? Please answer this question before the court.

THE COURT: All right.

Obviously, for any reasonable person, on the part of Mr. Justice Smith, dismissing a case originated from assuming the liability of potentially fatal hit and run crime is perfect example of miscarriage of justice. It is impossible to trust a court system where judges ignore the substantive law and substantiated facts. Under the circumstances there is no reason for me to pursue my appeal.

Furthermore, Mr. Armstrong refused to file the order he drafted and Court of Appeal informed me that if the order is not filed the appeal will not be set for hearing. That means my appeal is obstructed.

As a responsible member of the public, it is my duty to inform you about this legal chicanery and expect you resolve this conflict in order to protect the credibility of the administration of justice.

Please let me know, if you will take due action for the resolution of this matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

April 27, 2014

Registered mail, Fourth Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

Stewart Taylor hit my car and ran away, on Pattullo Bridge, March 31, 2009. I lost the control of my car, after three impacts, my car was totally destroyed. I was very lucky to survive the collision; because, I was driving on the left lane and my car did not skid into the oncoming traffic. Stewart Taylor was caught, but RCMP did not arrest or prosecute him; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME Stewart Taylor committed. ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 10, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

As a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime. I have been struggling for justice for five years. I wrote you three letters dated August 30, 2013, September 30, 2013 and October 30, 2013. Nevertheless, I did not receive any response from you. This is my fourth attempt to raise this issue to your attention.

I would like to inform you that I have filed a legal action against the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson. This case is about **breach of duty** and **obstruction of justice**; therefore, it is a concern for our fundamental right to a fair hearing and necessary to prevent hit and run crime in the Province of British Columbia.

Please let me know if you will interfere with this case.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Encl. Notice of Civil Claim, S-143080

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

September 3, 2015

Fifth Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

**Re. Hit and run crime prevention is IMPOSSIBLE where the victims cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE:**

1. ASSUMING THE LIABILITY OF CRIMINAL OFFENCE: On March 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit my car and ran away, on the Pattullo Bridge. My car was totally destroyed; but, I was extremely lucky to survive without any serious injuries. Stewart Taylor was caught; nevertheless, he was not arrested or prosecuted; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME**. Even though ICBC was 100% liable for the incident, ICBC representative, **Jason Gray** refused to pay my non-pecuniary damages. Later on, I found out that, **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Therefore, this case is an extremely sensitive issue, due to the extend of the harm inflicted on the PUBLIC. This case is the incontrovertible evidence of the fact that: **ICBC is providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of “accident insurance benefits”, where criminal offenders are identified.**

2. MY DUTY TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST CRIME: As a surviving **victim of hit and run crime**, I have a legal obligation to take legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC; because, it is impossible to prevent crime, if victims fail **to take legal action** against their offenders or their sponsors.

3. LAWYERS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE: In order to file my case, I consulted with 10 lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service. All of the ten lawyers were declined to provide me with the legal service I needed to file my case, despite I was willing to pay for their services. Lawyers' refusing to provide legal service to a member of the Public is tantamount to **obstruction of justice**; because, the lawyers are the only professionals who are knowledgeable and qualified to provide legal service to the Public. The lawyers' professional-obligation is also clearly stated in the Canons of Legal Ethics. **“A lawyer should make legal services available to the Public** in an efficient and convenient manner that will command respect and confidence..”

4. LAW SOCIETY STATED THAT LAWYERS HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC: In order to resolve this issue, I got in touch with the Law Society of British Columbia. After seven months of communication, the Law Society Executive Director, **Timothy E. McGee** confirmed that the lawyers of British Columbia have **no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime**, in his letter dated January 8, 2013. I asked him who had that obligation; but, he failed to respond.

5. LEGAL ACTION AGAINST McGEE, S132382: To find out **who has legal obligation to provide legal service to the public**, I filed a legal action against Timothy E. McGee, Executive Director of the Law Society. Nevertheless, legal representative of Mr. McGee, **Michael Armstrong** filed a court application and Mr. **Justice Nathan H. Smith** dismissed my case with costs, on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013, without answering my question and without referring to any authority that relaxes lawyers' obligation to provide legal service to the Public. At the hearing, I asked to Michael Armstrong the following question. He was silent; instead, Justice Nathan Smith responded as follows: (Transcript, page 18)

RON KORKUT: Who has the obligation to provide legal service to the public if the lawyers have not such an obligation? Please answer this question before the court.

THE COURT: All right.

6. DISMISSAL OF LEGAL ACTION WITHOUT AN APPLICABLE AUTHORITY: Justice Nathan Smith concurred with Michael Armstrong's argument and decided that ICBC had an obligation to **assume the liability of hit and run crimes** and pay damages on behalf of criminal offenders, where criminal offenders were identified, under the Insurance Vehicle Act C.231. Nevertheless, there is no provision in C.231 of the Act that entitles ICBC to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and pay the damages on behalf of the criminals, where offenders are identified. It is impossible to have such a provision in the Act; because, **it is impossible to assume the liability of a criminal offence and let the criminal offender be free**, as long as the Law is enforced effectively. Therefore, **Justice Nathan Smith refused to sign his dismissal order.**

7. ATTEMPTING TO EXACT MONEY BY USING UNSIGNED COURT ORDER: I filed an appeal for Justice Nathan Smith's decision with the Court of Appeal. Nevertheless, I was not able to proceed with the appeal; because, Justice Nathan Smith **did not sign his order**. Instead, Michael Armstrong drafted an order on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith and asked me to sign it; arguing that signing a legal document does not mean "**acceptance**". Michael Armstrong, attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith. Furthermore, he demanded \$5,000 under the name of "*security deposit*" for appeal court costs, assuming he would abort my appeal, as well.

8. COMPLAINT TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE: Since I was not able to proceed with my appeal in the Court of Appeal, I had no choice, other than reporting this issue to the Chief Justice, **Christopher E. Hinkson** and seeking help. I wrote four letters dated: Nov. 25, 2013, Jan. 13, 2014, Mar. 5, 2014 and Mar. 25, 2014. I raised the following issues to the attention of the Chief Justice:

1. Michael Armstrong filed an application to abort my legal action prematurely, **without citing any authority** to justify that ***the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the Public.***
2. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my legal action **without answering the question before the Court and declined to sign his order.**
3. Michael Armstrong, by using his professional influence, attempted to mislead me to believe that **signing a legal document does not mean acceptance** and asked me to sign the order he drafted on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith. He attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith.
4. Master Dennis Tokarek signed a "Certificate of Costs" **without printing his name** on the legal document. I attempted to confirm the signature, but Master Tokarek failed to confirm his signature, in writing.

9. THE CHIEF JUSTICE DISREGARDED MY COMPLAINT: As we all know, the Chief Justice is responsible for supervising the court services and ensure that court services are provided to the Public within reason. Nevertheless, he failed to respond to my complaint. Instead, **K. Jill Leacock** wrote a letter to me, dated January, 15, 2014. She interpreted my complaint as a “*request of legal advice*” and she stated that: “*Chief Justice Hinkson is not able to provide you with any advice. .... will not respond further to your inquiry.*” Therefore, I filed a legal action, S143080, against the Chief Justice, on the grounds of **breach of duty**.

10. JOHN D. WADDELL PROCURED THE ABORTION OF MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE: **John D. Waddell** filed an application and procured the dismissal of my legal action without citing any **authority** that relaxes the Chief Justice’s duty to pay attention to improper court procedures; such as, *aborting criminal cases, failure to sign court orders* and *exacting money* from plaintiffs, by using unsigned court orders.

11. JUSTICE AUSTIN F. CULLEN DISMISSED MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE, WITH COURT COSTS: Like, Justice Nathan Smith, Justice Austin Cullen **failed to sign his dismissal order**; because, the dismissal of the case was tantamount to declaring that: “*the Chief Justice had NO obligation to supervise court services*”. Obviously, an Honourable person who acts in good faith, never hesitates to sign his own decision. John D. Waddell attempted to exact court costs from me, by diluting me to believe that the *unsigned court order was a valid legal document*.

12. Therefore, I WAS OBLIGED TO FILE MY THIRD CIVIL CLAIM, S150231, AGAINST, **Austin F. Cullen, John D. Waddell and K. Jill Leacock** and sought an order for the trial of the case against the Chief Justice.

13. ANTHONY LEONI AND RICHARD MARGETS ABORTED THE CASE. **Anthony Leoni** acting on behalf of John D. Waddell, and **Richard S. Margetts**, acting on behalf of Austin F. Cullen and K. Jill Leacock, filed an application, scheduled on March 19, 2015. I did not attend the hearing of the application due to the legal chicanery perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; because, it is impossible to serve justice in a Court of Law where:

1. Judges **disregard the substantiated facts and the applicable law**; in my case, the Criminal Code of Canada, Section 252.
2. Judges **fail to sign their decisions** according to established rules of Law.
3. Lawyers attempt to exact court costs from the victims relying on **unauthorized court orders**.

14. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON DECLARED ME “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT”. On April 16, 2015, Anthony Leoni, sent me a court order, allegedly issued by Madam **Justice Janice R. Dillon**. The order was not signed according to the established rules of Law. The order declared me “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT” and I was enjoined from instituting any legal proceeding, except the leave of the court.

15. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON REFUSED TO CONFIRM THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE ORDER SENT BY ANTHONY LEONI. Since I was not sure that the order was signed by Justice Janice Dillon, due to the fact that **her full name was not printed above the signature**, I decided to confirm the authenticity of the order by writing to Justice Janice Dillon. Nevertheless, she Dillon refused to confirm the authenticity of the order by failing to respond to my letters dated April 24, May 16, and June 9, 2015. Obviously, an Honourable person who presides in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, never hesitates to confirm the validity of the order she made in good faith. Therefore, I was obliged to file my fourth Civil Claim, S155390, against Justice Janice Dillon, because, the order was **overriding my right and duty to bring my offender to justice**, and the order was **not signed properly**.



16. I REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING JUDGMENT: **Is it LAWFUL** for **Justice Janice R. Dillon to override my right** and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE, by the way of declaring me “**vexatious litigant**”, knowing that I was a **victim of hit and run crime** and **the legal actions** I had filed were **ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY** for bring my offender to justice?

17. I SOUGHT THE FOLLOWING RELIEF: 1. An order to prohibit her from sitting in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the Public. 2. The leave of the Court, so that I can file a **criminal legal action** against my offender-in-law, ICBC.

18. DEAD END FOR JUSTICE: The Chief Justice, (Honourable) Christopher E. Hinkson issued an order stating that person was obliged to respond to my notice of civil claim. Nevertheless, the order was **not signed** by the Chief Justice, because **his full name did not exist above the signature**. Therefore, I retyped the order word by word with his full name and asked him to sign it. Despite my numerous requests, he declined to sign it. Obviously, an Honourable person never hesitates to sign his order made in good faith. Refusing to sign the order is conclusive to the fact that he was aware of the consequences of his WRONG. It is not necessary to be a lawyer to understand that aborting the legal action of a **victim of crime** is an open invitation to criminal offenders. Obviously, **a person who aborts and obstructs the trial of a criminal offence can be held liable for the crime**. Therefore, the Chief Justice refused to sign his order.

19. As a result of the above *legal chicanery, perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia*, within the last six years, my offender-in-law, ICBC assumed the liability of **294,000 counts of hit and run crimes** that **killed 48, injured and crippled 13,200** innocent citizens of British Columbia, and forced the Public pay the damages (about \$3billion) caused by hit and run criminals, by selling compulsory insurance. (ICBC quick statistics)

## **MY REQUEST:**

Please verify the following facts:

1. On May 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit Ron Korkut’s car on Pattullo Bridge and ran away. Next day he was caught but not charged with criminal offence. (Exh. 1 Statement of the offender, ICBC office in New Westminster Claim # N581704-1, RCMP Report#09-63940.)
2. ICBC assumed the liability of the hit and run crime and paid Ron Korkut \$9,426.70 on behalf of the offender under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”. Stewart Taylor did not have coverage for hit and run crime; because; it is impossible insure criminal offence and let the offender be free. (Exh. 2 ICBC check of \$9,426.70. Claim # N581704-1 as proof of assuming the liability of the hit and run crime.)
3. ICBC assumes the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens** of British Columbia, every year. ICBC forces the Public to pay all the damages caused by the hit and run criminals (estimated half a billion dollars every year), by selling compulsory insurance service. No person has the right to sell any goods or services under coercion. (Exh. 3 “ICBC quick statistics”, or web search.)

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 1:** After verifying the above facts, you can conclude - beyond any doubts - that ICBC is guilty for providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified.

4. Please, also verify with the court registry that, I filed four notice of civil claims; because, I was not allowed to file a criminal action against ICBC. My four cases (S132382, S143080, S150231, S155390) were dismissed under the supervision of the Chief Justice Christopher E. Hinkson and he issued an order stating that no person was obliged to respond to my civil claims; yet he refused to sign it according to the established rule of legal procedures. (Exh. 4, Order with unidentified signature. For more evidence [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca))

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 2:** After verifying the above fact with the Chief Justice you can draw your second conclusion: On the part of Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson, it is a dishonourable conduct to abort the legal action of a victim of crime, Ron Korkut; because, it defeats the cause of justice. Therefore, Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson must be removed from the position of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the PUBLIC.

Considering the extends of the harm inflicted on the Public and relying on your **sound judgment**, please take necessary actions so that I can bring my offender-in-law, ICBC to justice; that is **absolutely necessary** for **preventing hit and run crime**, in our Province.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

(For scanned litigation files: [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca).)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

September 15, 2015

Sixth Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

**Re. Hit and run crime prevention is IMPOSSIBLE where the victims cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE:**

1. ASSUMING THE LIABILITY OF CRIMINAL OFFENCE: On March 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit my car and ran away, on the Pattullo Bridge. My car was totally destroyed; but, I was extremely lucky to survive without any serious injuries. Stewart Taylor was caught; nevertheless, he was not arrested or prosecuted; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME**. Even though ICBC was 100% liable for the incident, ICBC representative, **Jason Gray** refused to pay my non-pecuniary damages. Later on, I found out that, **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Therefore, this case is an extremely sensitive issue, due to the extend of the harm inflicted on the PUBLIC. This case is the incontrovertible evidence of the fact that: **ICBC is providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of “accident insurance benefits”, where criminal offenders are identified.**

2. MY DUTY TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST CRIME: As a surviving **victim of hit and run crime**, I have a legal obligation to take legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC; because, it is impossible to prevent crime, if victims fail **to take legal action** against their offenders or their sponsors.

3. LAWYERS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE: In order to file my case, I consulted with 10 lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service. All of the ten lawyers declined to provide me with the legal service I needed to file my case, despite I was willing to pay for their services. Lawyers’ refusing to provide legal service to a member of the Public is tantamount to **obstruction of justice**; because, the lawyers are the only professionals who are knowledgeable and qualified to provide legal service to the Public. The lawyers’ professional-obligation is also clearly stated in the Canons of Legal Ethics. **“A lawyer should make legal services available to the Public** in an efficient and convenient manner that will command respect and confidence..”

4. LAW SOCIETY STATED THAT LAWYERS HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC: In order to resolve this issue, I got in touch with the Law Society of British Columbia. After seven months of communication, the Law Society Executive Director, **Timothy E. McGee** confirmed that the lawyers of British Columbia have **no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime**, in his letter dated January 8, 2013. I asked him who had that obligation; but, he failed to respond.

5. LEGAL ACTION AGAINST McGEE, S132382: To find out **who has legal obligation to provide legal service to the Public**, I filed a legal action against Timothy E. McGee, Executive Director of the Law Society. Nevertheless, legal representative of Mr. McGee, **Michael G. Armstrong** filed a court application and Mr. **Justice Nathan H. Smith** dismissed my case with costs, on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013, without answering my question and without referring to any authority that relaxes lawyers' obligation to provide legal service to the Public. At the hearing, I asked to Michael Armstrong the following question. He was silent; instead, Justice Nathan Smith responded as follows: (Transcript, page 18)

RON KORKUT: Who has the obligation to provide legal service to the public if the lawyers have not such an obligation? Please answer this question before the court.

THE COURT: All right.

6. DISMISSAL OF LEGAL ACTION WITHOUT AN APPLICABLE AUTHORITY: Justice Nathan Smith concurred with Michael Armstrong's argument and decided that ICBC had an obligation to **assume the liability of hit and run crimes** and pay damages on behalf of criminal offenders, where criminal offenders were identified, under the Insurance Vehicle Act C.231. Nevertheless, there is no provision in C.231 of the Act that entitles ICBC to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and pay the damages on behalf of the criminals, where offenders are identified. It is impossible to have such a provision in the Act; because, **it is impossible to assume the liability of a criminal offence and let the criminal offender be free**, as long as the Law is enforced effectively. Therefore, **Justice Nathan Smith refused to sign his dismissal order.**

7. ATTEMPTING TO EXACT MONEY BY USING UNSIGNED COURT ORDER: I filed an appeal for Justice Nathan Smith's decision with the Court of Appeal. Nevertheless, I was not able to proceed with the appeal; because, Justice Nathan Smith **did not sign his order**. Michael Armstrong asked me to sign an order he drafted on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith, and attempted to fool me to believe that signing a legal document does not mean "**acceptance**". Michael Armstrong, attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith. Furthermore, he demanded \$5,000 under the name of "*security deposit*" for appeal court costs, assuming he would abort my appeal, as well.

8. COMPLAINT TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE: Since I was not able to proceed with my appeal in the Court of Appeal, I had no choice, other than reporting this issue to the Chief Justice, **Christopher E. Hinkson** and seeking help. I wrote four letters dated: Nov. 25, 2013, Jan. 13, 2014, Mar. 5, 2014 and Mar. 25, 2014. I raised the following issues to the attention of the Chief Justice:

1. Michael Armstrong filed an application to abort my legal action prematurely, **without citing any authority** to justify that ***the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the Public.***
2. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my legal action **without answering the question before the Court and declined to sign his order.**
3. Michael Armstrong, by using his professional influence, attempted to mislead me to believe that **signing a legal document does not mean acceptance** and asked me to sign the order he drafted on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith. He attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith.
4. Master Dennis Tokarek signed a "Certificate of Costs" **without printing his name** on the legal document. I attempted to confirm the signature, but Master Tokarek failed to confirm his signature, in writing.

9. THE CHIEF JUSTICE DISREGARDED MY COMPLAINT: As we all know, the Chief Justice is responsible for supervising the court services and ensure that court services are provided to the Public within reason. Nevertheless, he failed to respond to my complaint. Instead, **K. Jill Leacock** wrote a letter to me, dated January, 15, 2014. She interpreted my complaint as a “*request of legal advice*” and she stated that: “*Chief Justice Hinkson is not able to provide you with any advice. .... will not respond further to your inquiry.*” Therefore, I filed a legal action, S143080, against the Chief Justice, on the grounds of **breach of duty**.

10. JOHN D. WADDELL PROCURED THE ABORTION OF MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE: **John D. Waddell** filed an application and procured the dismissal of my legal action without citing any **authority** that relaxes the Chief Justice’s duty to pay attention to improper court procedures; such as, *aborting criminal cases, failure to sign court orders* and *exacting money* from plaintiffs, by using unsigned court orders.

11. JUSTICE AUSTIN F. CULLEN DISMISSED MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE, WITH COURT COSTS: Like, Justice Nathan Smith, Justice Austin Cullen **failed to sign his dismissal order**; because, the dismissal of the case was tantamount to declaring that: “*the Chief Justice had NO obligation to supervise court services*”. Obviously, an Honourable person who acts in good faith, never hesitates to sign his own decision. John D. Waddell attempted to exact court costs from me, by diluting me to believe that the *unsigned court order was a valid legal document*.

12. Therefore, I WAS OBLIGED TO FILE MY THIRD CIVIL CLAIM, S150231, AGAINST, **Austin F. Cullen, John D. Waddell and K. Jill Leacock** and sought an order for the trial of the case against the Chief Justice.

13. ANTHONY LEONI AND RICHARD MARGETS ABORTED THE CASE. **Anthony Leoni** acting on behalf of John D. Waddell, and **Richard S. Margetts**, acting on behalf of Austin F. Cullen and K. Jill Leacock, filed an application, scheduled on March 19, 2015. I did not attend the hearing of the application due to the **legal chicanery** perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; because, it is impossible to serve justice in a Court of Law where:

1. Judges **disregard the substantiated facts and the applicable law**; in my case, the Criminal Code of Canada, Section 252.
2. Judges **fail to sign their decisions** according to established rules of Law.
3. Lawyers attempt to exact court costs from the victims relying on **unauthorized court orders**.

14. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON DECLARED ME “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT”. On April 16, 2015, Anthony Leoni, sent me a court order, allegedly issued by Madam **Justice Janice R. Dillon**. The order was not signed according to the established rules of Law. The order declared me “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT” and I was enjoined from instituting any legal proceeding, except the leave of the court.

15. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON REFUSED TO CONFIRM THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE ORDER SENT BY ANTHONY LEONI. Since I was not sure that the order was signed by Justice Janice Dillon, due to the fact that **her full name was not printed above the signature**, I decided to confirm the authenticity of the order by writing to Justice Janice Dillon. Nevertheless, she refused to confirm the authenticity of the order by failing to respond to my letters dated April 24, May 16, and June 9, 2015. Obviously, an Honourable person who presides in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, never hesitates to confirm the validity of the order she made in good faith. Therefore, I was obliged to file my fourth Civil Claim, S155390, against Justice Janice Dillon, because, the order was **overriding my right and duty to bring my offender to justice**, and the order was **not signed properly**.

16. I REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING JUDGMENT: **Is it LAWFUL for Justice Janice R. Dillon to override my right and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE, by the way of declaring me “vexatious litigant”, knowing that I was a victim of hit and run crime and the legal actions I had filed were ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY for bring my offender to justice?**

17. I SOUGHT THE FOLLOWING RELIEF: 1. An order to prohibit her from sitting in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the Public. 2. The leave of the Court, so that I can file a **criminal legal action** against my offender-in-law, ICBC.

18. DEAD END FOR JUSTICE: The Chief Justice, (Honourable) Christopher E. Hinkson issued an order stating that no person was obliged to respond to my notice of civil claim. Nevertheless, the order was **not signed** by the Chief Justice, because **his full name did not exist above the signature**. Therefore, I retyped the order word by word with his full name and asked him to sign it. Despite my numerous requests, he declined to sign it. Obviously, an Honourable person never hesitates to sign his order made in good faith. Refusing to sign the order is conclusive to the fact that he was aware of the consequences of his WRONG. It is not necessary to be a lawyer to understand that aborting the legal action of a **victim of crime** is an open invitation to criminal offenders. Obviously, **a person who aborts and obstructs the trial of a criminal offence can be held liable for the crime**. Therefore, the Chief Justice refused to sign his order.

19. As a result of the above *legal chicanery, perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia*, within the last six years, my offender-in-law, ICBC assumed the liability of **294,000 counts of hit and run crimes** that **killed 48, injured and crippled 13,200** innocent citizens of British Columbia, and forced the Public pay the damages (about \$3billion) caused by hit and run criminals, by selling compulsory insurance. (ICBC quick statistics)

## **MY REQUEST:**

Please verify the following facts:

1. On May 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit Ron Korkut’s car on Pattullo Bridge and ran away. Next day he was caught but not charged with criminal offence. (Exh. 1 Statement of the offender, ICBC office in New Westminster Claim # N581704-1, RCMP Report#09-63940.)
2. ICBC assumed the liability of the hit and run crime and paid Ron Korkut \$9,426.70 on behalf of the offender under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”. Stewart Taylor did not have coverage for hit and run crime; because; it is impossible insure criminal offence and let the offender be free. (Exh. 2 ICBC check of \$9,426.70. Claim # N581704-1; proof of assuming the liability of the hit and run crime.)
3. ICBC assumes the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens** of British Columbia, every year. ICBC forces the Public to pay all the damages caused by the hit and run criminals (estimated half a billion dollars every year), by selling compulsory insurance service. No person has the right to sell any goods or services under coercion. (Exh. 3 “ICBC quick statistics”, or web search.)

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 1:** After verifying the above facts, you can conclude - beyond any doubts - that ICBC is guilty for providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified.

4. Please, also verify with the court registry that, I filed four notice of civil claims; because, I was not allowed to file a criminal action against ICBC. My four cases (S132382, S143080, S150231, S155390) were dismissed under the supervision of the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** and he issued an order stating that no person was obliged to respond to my civil claims; yet he refused to sign it according to the established rule of legal procedures. (Exh. 4, Order with unidentified signature. For more evidence visit [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca))

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 2:** After verifying the above fact with the Chief Justice you can draw your second conclusion: On the part of Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson, it is a dishonourable conduct to abort the legal action of a victim of crime, Ron Korkut; because, it defeats the cause of justice. Therefore, Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson must be removed from the position of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the PUBLIC.

Considering the extends of the harm inflicted on the Public and relying on your **sound judgment**, please take necessary actions so that I can bring my offender-in-law, ICBC to justice; that is **absolutely necessary** for **preventing hit and run crime**, in our Province.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

(For scanned litigation files: [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca).)

(Email response from AG.)

8:35 AM  
(11 hours  
ago)

**AG LSB CSD Mail AG:EX <AGLSBCSDMail@gov.bc.ca>**

Sep. 22, 2015

to ron

Ron Korkut

Email: [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Your letters dated September 3 and 15, 2015, addressed to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice of British Columbia, and enclosures have been forwarded to me for response on her behalf.

I note your concerns regarding civil litigation in which you have been involved. Many people write to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice believing that she can intervene in their legal matters and bring about the outcome they would like to see. But that is not something she can do.

In British Columbia, it is the responsibility of the judiciary to make decisions in disputes brought before the courts. Parties to court proceedings are entitled to have legal issues decided by an independent judiciary. Among other things, having an independent judiciary means that the Attorney General and Minister of Justice has no role in reviewing specific decisions of the court. Litigants who are dissatisfied with a judicial ruling have the option of appealing that decision within certain time limitations.

Although responsible for the general administration of the courts, the Attorney General and Minister of Justice is not involved in the supervision of judges. For anyone who has a complaint about the conduct of a judge (including the Chief Justice) in the Supreme Court of British Columbia or the Court of Appeal for British Columbia (as opposed to the correctness of his or her decision), the appropriate course of action is to bring a complaint before the Canadian Judicial Council. Pursuant to the federal *Judges Act*, R.S.C. 1985, the council is the only body that can recommend that disciplinary action should be taken against a judge. A complaint can be forwarded to the Canadian Judicial Council at the following address:

Canadian Judicial Council  
150 Metcalfe Street  
Ottawa ON K1A 0W8  
Telephone: [613-288-1566](tel:613-288-1566)  
Facsimile: [613-288-1575](tel:613-288-1575)  
Email: [info@cjccm.gc.ca](mailto:info@cjccm.gc.ca)

More information about the complaint review process is available at the following website: [www.cjc-ccm.gc.ca/english/conduct\\_en.asp?selMenu=conduct\\_complaint\\_en.asp](http://www.cjc-ccm.gc.ca/english/conduct_en.asp?selMenu=conduct_complaint_en.asp)

With respect to your specific concerns about the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia (ICBC), it would not be appropriate for the Attorney General and Minister of Justice to comment. The Ministry of Justice has no authority over ICBC – a Crown corporation – with respect to how it carries out its statutory duties under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. She cannot provide direction to ICBC or intervene in



ICBC's day-to-day operations or decisions. Further, it is not within the mandate of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice to become involved in disputes between individuals and ICBC.

You mention that you have previously sought to file a "criminal legal action" against ICBC. Whenever an individual has reason to believe that someone has been involved in criminal activity, the proper course of action is for that individual to report his or her allegations to the police in the community where the alleged criminal activity occurred. If the police determine that an investigation is warranted, they will conduct one. They are also in the best position to determine whether a particular allegation involves a criminal matter or if the allegation, if pursued, would have to be addressed through civil law.

You also indicate that you have consulted 10 lawyers referred through the Lawyer Referral Service, and they all declined to provide you with legal services. I note your dissatisfaction with the response of the Law Society of British Columbia in that regard. Under the *Legal Profession Act*, the Law Society of British Columbia has jurisdiction to investigate complaints about lawyers. The Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not have the authority to intervene in the complaint review process established by the Law Society.

Individuals who believe that they have been treated unfairly by the Law Society may consider contacting the Office of the Ombudsperson of British Columbia. As an independent Officer of the Legislature, the Ombudsperson has the authority to investigate complaints about the actions and decisions of public agencies, including the Law Society. Before considering an investigation, however, I understand that office generally requires that other avenues of complaint and appeal have first been exhausted. You should also be aware that the Ombudsperson does not have authority to investigate or review court proceedings. The Office of the Ombudsperson can be reached at the following address:

Office of the Ombudsperson  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor – 947 Fort Street  
PO Box 9039 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9A5  
Telephone: [250-387-5855](tel:250-387-5855)  
Toll-free: [1-800-567-3247](tel:1-800-567-3247)

Information about the role of the Ombudsperson is also available at the following website: [www.ombudsman.bc.ca](http://www.ombudsman.bc.ca).

A lawyer in private practice would be able to advise you of your legal rights and options at this time. The role of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public. A number of groups in this province provide free legal services and information under certain circumstances. Although this ministry does not endorse or confirm the accuracy or completeness of information or advice provided by any of the following resources, I understand that they are currently available to British Columbians.

Access Pro Bono Society  
of British Columbia  
106 – 873 Beatty Street  
Vancouver BC V6B 2M6  
Telephone: [604-482-3195](tel:604-482-3195)  
Toll-free in BC: [1-877-762-6664](tel:1-877-762-6664)

Facsimile: [604-893-8934](tel:604-893-8934)  
Email: [help@accessprobono.ca](mailto:help@accessprobono.ca)  
Website: [www.accessprobono.ca](http://www.accessprobono.ca)

Clicklaw: [www.clicklaw.bc.ca](http://www.clicklaw.bc.ca)

The Law Centre  
Suite 225 – 850 Burdett Avenue  
Victoria BC V8W 0C7  
Telephone: [250-385-1221](tel:250-385-1221)  
Facsimile: [250-385-1226](tel:250-385-1226)  
Email: [reception@thelawcentre.ca](mailto:reception@thelawcentre.ca)  
Website: <http://thelawcentre.ca>

UBC Law Students' Legal Advice Program  
University of British Columbia  
Faculty of Law – Room 158  
1822 East Mall  
Vancouver BC V6T 1Z1  
Telephone: [604-822-5791](tel:604-822-5791)  
Facsimile: [604-822-1661](tel:604-822-1661)

You may wish to visit the Vancouver Justice Access Centre to have your situation assessed. Where appropriate, you may be referred to other available services. Clients who meet the criteria of the service-providing agencies may also be referred for limited legal advice from volunteer lawyers. More information is available at: [www.ag.gov.bc.ca/justice-access-centre/vancouver/index.htm](http://www.ag.gov.bc.ca/justice-access-centre/vancouver/index.htm)

If you decide to appear in court without a lawyer, the Self-Help and Information Service of the Vancouver Justice Access Centre can help you get the information you need to prepare your civil case. You can use the service to learn about the court system and court procedures, get legal information, locate and fill out the relevant court forms, find out about free legal advice, and find alternatives to court. More information about these services is available at:

[www.supremecourtselfhelp.bc.ca](http://www.supremecourtselfhelp.bc.ca)

The Self-Help and Information Service does not accept telephone, email or written inquiries; it provides services in person only. You can visit the Vancouver Justice Access Centre and its Self-Help and Information Services, using the Smithe Street entrance at the corner of Smithe and Hornby. Hours of operation are indicated at the websites noted above. The street address is as follows:

Vancouver Justice Access Centre  
Self-Help and Information Services  
274 – 800 Hornby Street  
Vancouver BC V6Z 2C5

You may wish to read the articles on trial preparation and note-making skills, legal research and resources, and court etiquette, published on the official website of the Provincial Court of British Columbia. This information can be accessed at:

[www.provincialcourt.bc.ca/downloads/pdf/trialpreparation.pdf](http://www.provincialcourt.bc.ca/downloads/pdf/trialpreparation.pdf)

General information for self-represented litigants is posted on the Supreme Court of British Columbia website at: [www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/](http://www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/)

In addition, the Legal Services Society provides information services to self-represented litigants, including:

- LawLINK - a website designed to help low-income individuals and their advocates find current, relevant and usable self-help legal information on the Internet. This website contains useful self-help information, including fact sheets to assist with a number of issues; and
- free legal information and self-help publications in plain language to help clients identify, avoid or resolve common legal problems.

For your reference, the Legal Services Society maintains a website with links to the legal aid services noted above at: [www.lss.bc.ca/](http://www.lss.bc.ca/).

The website of the Justice Education Society of British Columbia offers a series of documents that provide information about representing yourself in civil, non-family, matters in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Those documents can be accessed at: [www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court](http://www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court).

The Court of Appeal for British Columbia has added two civil self-help guidebooks to its website: "*How to Conduct an Appeal — Civil Cases*" and "*Responding to an Appeal — Civil Cases*". These guides were prepared by the Justice Education Society of British Columbia and are designed to provide information about dealing with the court system. Electronic copies are available at: [www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters](http://www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters)

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,

Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q.C.  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General

(Email to Kurt J. W. Sandstrom)

Sep 23, 2015

**Mr. Sandstrom,**  
**Would you please send me a signed hard copy of your response? Otherwise, your response will not be considered VALID. Thanks.**  
Ron Korkut  
Ethics First



SEP 28 2015

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Your letters dated September 3 and 15, 2015, addressed to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice of British Columbia, and enclosures have been forwarded to me for response on her behalf.

I note your concerns regarding civil litigation in which you have been involved. Many people write to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice believing that she can intervene in their legal matters and bring about the outcome they would like to see. But that is not something she can do.

In British Columbia, it is the responsibility of the judiciary to make decisions in disputes brought before the courts. Parties to court proceedings are entitled to have legal issues decided by an **independent judiciary**. Among other things, having an independent judiciary means that the Attorney General and Minister of Justice has no role in reviewing specific decisions of the court. Litigants who are dissatisfied with a judicial ruling have the option of appealing that decision within certain time limitations.

Although responsible for the general administration of the courts, the Attorney General and **Minister of Justice is not involved in the supervision of judges**. For anyone who has a complaint about the conduct of a judge (including the Chief Justice) in the Supreme Court of British Columbia or the Court of Appeal for British Columbia (as opposed to the correctness of his or her decision), the appropriate course of action is to bring a complaint before the Canadian Judicial Council. Pursuant to the federal *Judges Act*, R.S.C. 1985, the council is the only body that can recommend that disciplinary action should be taken against a judge. A complaint can be forwarded to the Canadian Judicial Council at the following address:

Canadian Judicial Council  
150 Metcalfe Street  
Ottawa ON K1A 0W8  
Telephone: 613-288-1566  
Facsimile: 613-288-1575  
[Email: info@cjc-ccm.gc.ca](mailto:info@cjc-ccm.gc.ca)

.../2

Ministry of Justice

Legal Services Branch  
Office of the  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General

Mailing Address:  
PO BOX 9280 STN PROV GOVT  
Victoria BC V8W 9J7

Telephone: 250 356-9260  
Facsimile: 250 356-5111

Location:  
1001 Douglas Street  
Victoria BC

Website: [www.gov.bc.ca/ag](http://www.gov.bc.ca/ag)

The **Office of the Ombudsperson** can be reached at the following address:

Office of the Ombudsperson  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor - 947 Fort Street  
PO Box 9039 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9A5  
Telephone: 250-387-5855  
Toll-free: 1-800-567-3247

Information about the role of the Ombudsperson is also available at the following website: [www.ombudsman.bc.ca](http://www.ombudsman.bc.ca).

A lawyer in private practice would be able to advise you of your legal rights and options at this time. **The role of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public.** A number of groups in this province provide free legal services and information under certain circumstances. Although this ministry does not endorse or confirm the accuracy or completeness of information or advice provided by any of the following resources, I understand that they are currently available to British Columbians.

Access Pro Bono Society  
of British Columbia  
106 - 873 Beatty Street  
Vancouver BC V6B 2M6  
Telephone: 604-482-3195  
Toll-free in BC: 1-877-762-6664  
Facsimile: 604-893-8934  
[Email: help@accessprobono.ca](mailto:help@accessprobono.ca)  
[Website: www.accessprobono.ca](http://www.accessprobono.ca)

Clicklaw: [www.clicklaw.bc.ca](http://www.clicklaw.bc.ca)

The Law Centre  
Suite 225 - 850 Burdett Avenue  
Victoria BC V8W 007  
Telephone: 250-385-1221  
Facsimile: 250-385-1226  
[Email: reception@thelawcentre.ca](mailto:reception@thelawcentre.ca)  
[Website: http://thelawcentre.ca](http://thelawcentre.ca)

Ron Korkut  
Page 5

General information for self-represented litigants is posted on the Supreme Court of British Columbia website at:

[www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/](http://www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/)

In addition, the Legal Services Society provides information services to self-represented litigants, including:

- LawLINK - a website designed to help low-income individuals and their advocates find current, relevant and usable self-help legal information on the Internet. This website contains useful self-help information, including fact sheets to assist with a number of issues; and
- free legal information and self-help publications in plain language to help clients identify, avoid or resolve common legal problems.

For your reference, the Legal Services Society maintains a website with links to the legal aid services noted above at: [www.lss.bc.ca/](http://www.lss.bc.ca/)

The website of the Justice Education Society of British Columbia offers a series of documents that provide information about representing yourself in civil, non-family, matters in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Those documents can be accessed at:

[www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court](http://www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court)

The Court of Appeal for British Columbia has added two civil self-help guidebooks to its website: "How to Conduct an Appeal — *Civil Cases*" and "*Responding to an Appeal — Civil Cases*". These guides were prepared by the Justice Education Society of British Columbia and are designed to provide information about dealing with the court system. Electronic copies are available at:

[www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters](http://www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters)

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,



Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q.C.  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 5, 2015

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q. C.  
PO BOX 9280 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9J7

Dear Mr. Sandstrom,

**I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime.** My offender was **caught**; nevertheless, he was not arrested or prosecuted; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME.** Not only that, ICBC provided insurance coverage for him, even though it is unlawful to insure hit and run criminals. Furthermore, I discovered that, **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). For a reasonable person, providing insurance benefits to hit and run criminals under the cover of *accident insurance*, and **forcing the Public to pay for hit and run crime**, by the way of selling compulsory insurance service, is NOT A LEGITIMATE BUSINESS PRACTICE.

As you are supposed to know, as a victim of crime, I have a **RIGHT** and **DUTY** to bring my offender to JUSTICE, otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime.**

I notified the Minister of Justice, the Honourable **Suzanne Anton** six times regarding this issue, between August 30, 2013 and September 15, 2015, nevertheless she failed to respond to me. Finally, you responded on behalf of her. Nevertheless, in your letter, dated September 28, 2015:

1. You have **attempted to delute me to believe** that the Minister of Justice is NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LEGAL CHICANERY perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, by referring me to a number of **irrelevant** and **inferior authorities.**
2. Knowing that my complaint was about a **criminal case**, you have deliberately **attempted to strip the significance of it, by calling it “civil litigation”.** That amounts to perverting the facts, and perverting the facts is not consistent with the rules of professional ethics.
3. Even though you knew that my reason for complaint to the Minister of Justice is regarding **the failure of the judiciary to adjudicate disputes before the Court**, you attempted to show me like an ignorant who does not know who is responsible for making decisions on legal disputes, in British Columbia.
4. You have disregarded my complaint about the **bias of the Judiciary**; despite you were aware of the necessity of “independent judiciary”. It is impossible for independent judiciary to dismiss a **legal action filed to prevent hit and run crime.**
5. You have denied that the **Minister of Justice has no responsibility to** supervise court services. If that is true, that means, there is no authority to supervise judges and they can make any decision they like; such as, **dismissing criminal cases to promote crime based business.**
6. You have referred me to Court of Appeal, knowing that **unauthorized court decisions cannot be appealed.** No reasonable person would appeal a decision that is not properly signed by a justice.
7. You have referred me to Canadian Judicial Counsel knowing that they are involved with the **judiciary appointed to federal courts**; not provincial. That was the reason for they did not investigate my complaint.

8. You have denied that the **Minister of Justice is not responsible for unlawful business practices**, such as, ICBC insuring hit and run criminals for promoting hit and run crime and rip the benefits of selling compulsory accident insurance. If the Minister of Justice cannot not interfere with unlawful business protected by the lawyers and the judiciary, who can restrain ICBC from insuring criminals?

9. You have referred me to the POLICE, in order to file a criminal action against ICBC, knowing that I have already gone through the same procedure. **If the police fails to discharge his duty to prosecute criminals, that cannot relax my obligation to bring my offender to justice.**

10. You have advised me that the Minister of Justice have no authority to intervene in the complaint review process established by the Law Society. Your statement is tantamount to the fact that the Law Society is an organization *above the Law* and the *lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the Public*. As you may know, the Law Society is bound with the Law of the Land and the Minister of Justice, has the **authority to interfere with the operations of the Law Society, where it is necessary to protect the credibility of the Administration of Justice.**

11. You have **misinterpreted this issue as a trivial-unfair treatment** of a citizen and you referred me to ombudsperson knowing that the ombudsperson does not have authority to investigate or review court proceedings.

12. You have referred me to Pro Bono Society and Law Students, knowing that **I had no issue with paying for the lawyers' fees**; because, I - clearly - stated that the issue was the *failure of the lawyers to provide legal service*; NOT, the shortage of money.

13. You have referred me to Justice Access Centre and Self-Help websites, assuming that I have no clue about **Substantive Law** and **Natural Justice**. Certainly, no one needs a law degree to understand that **assuming the liability of hit and run crimes, and insuring hit and run criminals** under the coverage of "*accident insurance*" is **NOT A LAWFUL BUSINESS PRACTICE**. The intend of the LAW is to prevent crime; NOT TO PROMOTE IT.

**None of the above conducts of yours reflect any sign of GOOD WILL or professionalism.**

14. *Worst of all*, you have **no authority to make a serious decision** on behalf of the Justice Minister, without her consent; especially, where the issue is concern for the credibility of the Ministry.

**Therefore, please get your decision approved by the Honourable Suzanne Anton and send me the signed copy. That is the PROPER LEGAL PROCEDURE.**

That is the **REQUIREMENT** of the LAW.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First



Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 26, 2015

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q. C.  
PO BOX 9280 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9J7

Dear Mr. Sandstrom,

I have been trying to report a SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC INTEREST ISSUE to the Minister of Justice, Suzanne Anton, since August 30, 2013. You have kept **intercepting** my communications with her and attempted to fool me to believe that the Minister of Justice is NOT responsible for the OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO THE PUBLIC. You must understand that **I have nothing to communicate with you; you are NOT the Minister of Justice. I do NOT NEED your misleading advice on this matter.**

As a **member of the Public**, I have a RIGHT to communicate with the Minister of Justice regarding serious Public issues and expect an **authorized response** from her. As a Lawyer, you are **supposed to be respectful** to my RIGHT and help me, instead of making me run around a vicious circle, by referring me to ombudsperson and other irrelevant tribunals. **PLEASE**, try to understand that:

1. The issue I am trying to raise to the attention of the Minister of Justice, is **vitaly significant** issue from the point of protection of the VICTIMS' fundamental RIGHT and DUTY to bring their offenders to JUSTICE.
2. It is NECESSARY to **prevent hit and run crime** and the **legal chicanery** perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia,
3. You have a DUTY to serve the CAUSE OF JUSTICE; NOT to defeat it by creating hardship to a victim of crime who is struggling to discharge his **duty to bring his offender to JUSTICE**. And,
4. PLEASE, refrain from intercepting the communication between me and the Minister of Justice who has the **authority to resolve this vitally important Public interest issue**.

Thanks for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**NO RESPONSE**

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 4, 2015

Seventh Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

### 1. UNUSUAL BUSINES PRACTICE

I am a victim of **potentially fatal hit and run crime** committed under the *liability* of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was NOT charged with criminal offence; even though he was identified on the next day. After searching the frequency of hit and run crimes, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Furthermore, ICBC, **forces the Public to pay** (estimated half a billion dollars) for the damages done by the hit and run criminals, by the way of selling **compulsary insurance service**. For a reasonable person, **selling insurance service**, under the threat of restricting the peoples' RIGHT to use their vehicles, cannot be associated with the LAW and JUSTICE; sales contracts - **under threat** - have **NO legal merits**.

### 2. HIT AND RUN IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE

Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing insurance benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of "accident insurance"**, where criminal offenders are identified.

### 3. DUTY OF VICTIMS OF CRIME

Victims of crime have DUTY to take their offenders to COURT; otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime**. Therefore, it was my DUTY bring my offender to JUSTICE. In order to discharge my DUTY, I have struggled to file a legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC, for over six years. Nevertheless, the members of the Law Society and the Judiciary obstructed my access to Court Services.

### 4. OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE TO VICTIMS OF CRIME

Here is the list of the OBSTRUCTIONS, I have faced, since May 2009.

**1. The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file legal action against my offender.** If the lawyers fail to provide legal service to the victims of crime, they cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

**2. The Law Society failed to investigate the issue. The Executive Director of the Law Society, Timothy E. McGee stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime.** If the Law Society fails to enforce the rules of professional conduct, the lawyers may only provide legal service to the persons they like, and legal service may not be available for everyone.

**3. Justice Nathan H. Smith dismissed my legal action against Timothy E. McGee with costs and refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms.** If the members of the judiciary fail to enforce the Law to ensure that legal services are available for everyone - including the victims of crime - victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

**4. Legal representative of Timothy E. McGee, Michael G. Armstrong, attempted to exact the court costs based on the unsigned - INVALID - court order.** If the members of the Law Society have no

hesitation to swindle money from the victims of crime, *using unsigned court orders*, it impossible to trust the members of the Law Society.

**5. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, refused to investigate my complaint regarding the enforcement of INVALID court orders.** If the Chief Justice turns blind eye to the legal chicaneries perpetrated under his supervision, it is impossible to prevent corruption in the Court Services.

**6. Justice Austin F. Cullen dismissed my legal action against the Chief Justice, without referring to any authority that relaxes the Chief Justice's DUTY to investigate unusual practice of Law in the Courts.** Therefore, he refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. An Honourable Justice cannot be associated with dismissing the legal action of a victim of crime, *without adjudicating the issue before the Court*, and refusing to sign the dismissal order, in compliance with the procedural norms.

**7. Justice Janice R. Dillon dismissed my legal action against Austin F. Cullen and declared me "vexatious litigant".** If a member of the judiciary dismisses the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to Justice and declares him "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT", obviously, her status is NOT any better than the CRIMINAL WHO OFFENDED THE VICTIM.

**8. The Chief Justice, issued a court order stating that no person has obligation to respond to my civil claim against Janice R. Dillon and he refused to sign his order, despite my numerous requests.**

The Chief Justice have disregarded my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to Justice and OBSTRUCTED my access to the Court Services. Under the circumstances, I am legally obliged to publicize this issue for the protection of the PUBLIC.

## **5. DUTY OF JUSTICE MINISTER**

**The DUTY of the Minister of Justice is to ensure that JUSTICE IS SERVED.** Therefore, I tried to raise the above issue to your attention, many times, but I was NOT able to get a response. Finally, Kurt J. W. Sandstrom responded on your behalf; I believe without your knowledge. Mr. Sandstrom denied your DUTY tacitly and he referred me to ombudsperson, stating that "*the Ombudsperson does not have authority to investigate or review court proceedings*". Obviously, no reasonable person can expect such an *irrational response* from the Minister of Justice.

## **6. MY REQUEST**

It is a **dishonourable** conduct for the Chief Justice to protect hit and run criminals by restricting the victim's RIGHT to bring his offender to JUSTICE. Since his conduct is contradicting with the LAW and his DUTIES, the **publication of this issue, may bring the Administration of Justice into disrepute.** Therefore, considering the significance and the consequences of the issue; **please, advise the Chief Justice** in the right direction; so that, he should respect my RIGHT and DUTY TO BRING MY OFFENDER TO JUSTICE, and allow me to file a **criminal action** against my offender-in-law, ICBC. **That is the requirement of the LAW.**

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

December 7, 2015

FINAL Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail.

Honourable Suzanne Anton  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. ISSUES:**

- 1. ICBC** assumes the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes** that **kill 8, injure and maim 2,200** innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year. ICBC promotes hit and run crime by providing insurance benefits for the hit and run criminals, under the name of “*accident insurance*”, where the offenders are identified.
- 2. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson** restricts the RIGHTS of the hit and run victims to **bring their offenders to JUSTICE.**

I have attempted to raise the above issues to your attention, by sending seven letters to you; nevertheless, I have not received an authorized answer so far. As you may know, as a member of the Public, I am entitled to get an **authorized answer** to those vitally important issues; that is **absolutely necessary** for the protection of the Public.

Please, let me know, if you are **comfortable** with the above mentioned issues, or not, by signing one of the attached answers I drafted for your convenience. Thanks, in advance.

If you fail to respond, I will construe that **you are comfortable** with those issues and I will be obliged to inform the Public accordingly.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Encl.** My letter dated November 4, 2015, two draft answers.

Suzanne Anton  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

## SUZANNE ANTON'S RESPONSE TO RON KORKUT

1. **“Hit and run”** is **“NOT crime”**; it is **“accident”**; therefore, it is legitimate for ICBC to sell compulsory accident insurance to the Public and provide insurance benefits to the persons who are liable for “hit and run accidents”, where the offenders are identified.

2. Therefore, the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson is entitled to declare Ron Korkut - a victim of potentially fatal hit and run accident - “vexatious litigant”, and restrict his RIGHT to bring his offender to JUSTICE.

I concur with the decision of the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson.

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
**Suzanne Anton,**

---

Signature

---

Date

Suzanne Anton  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

## SUZANNE ANTON'S RESPONSE TO RON KORKUT

I am not comfortable with the decision of the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, because;

1. Hit and run is a criminal offence, under the section 252 of the Criminal Code of Canada; therefore, it is impossible to assume the liability of hit and run crimes, where the offenders are identified.
2. As a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime, Ron Korkut has a RIGHT and DUTY to bring your offender to JUSTICE, otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent CRIME.**
3. The Chief Justice's DUTY is to ensure JUSTICE service is available to the Public; **NOT to deny justice**, by restricting the victim's access to the Court Services.
4. Restricting the hit and run victims' access to Court Services is tantamount to promoting hit and run crime.

Therefore, I will advise the Chief Justice to respect Ron Korkut's RIGHT and DUTY to bring his offender to JUSTICE.

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
**Suzanne Anton,**

---

Signature

---

Date

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 29, 2016

Seventh Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT - FINAL

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable **Suzanne Anton**  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

Please let me know if you will take the NECESSARY ACTIONS to prevent the ongoing  
CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA?

**It is IMPOSSIBLE to prevent CRIME, where the  
victims cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE.**

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

**Encl.:** The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; Declaration of Indemnity;  
CD (Legal Documents)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

December 04, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable **Suzanne Anton**  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2


**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

So far, I have sent you **ten** letters to take your attention to the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Nevertheless, I have not received an **authorized response** from you. Under the circumstances, any reasonable person can conclude that you are **reluctant** to DISCHARGE YOUR DUTY TO SUPERVISE THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, for the protection of the PUBLIC.

Your ignorance of your DUTY **will not discourage me** from discharging my DUTY TO PUBLICIZE the Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC. You must understand that I will **NOT accept any responsibility** for your loss of credibility, since **the protection of the PUBLIC** is a more **significant** issue than your *political interests*.

Besides BREACH of DUTY and PUBLIC TRUST, you may be liable for the HARM INFLICTED ON THE PUBLIC, because:



Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

**Encl.:** My letter to the Minister of Justice of Canada.



Aug. 28 2017

JAG WEBFEEDBACK JAG:EX <AGWEBFEEDBACK@gov.bc.ca>

9:09 AM

(1 hour ago)

to ron, Office

Mr. Ron Korkut

Email: [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Your email and letter of August 2, 2017, addressed to the Honourable John Horgan, Premier, have been referred to me.

I note your concerns regarding a legal dispute that you have had with the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia (ICBC) following a motor vehicle accident, which also constituted a hit-and-run. I understand that this ministry has received a considerable amount of correspondence from you regarding this matter and that a number of responses from ministry officials have been sent to you. Those responses include former Assistant Deputy Attorney General Kurt J. W. Sandstrom's email of September 15, 2015, his email of September 22, 2015, his letter of September 28, 2015, and his letter of October 14, 2015.

In those responses, ministry officials have explained to you that **it would not be appropriate for the Attorney General to comment on your specific concerns about ICBC**. As Attorney General, I cannot intervene in ICBC's day-to-day operations or decisions on specific cases. Further, it is not within my mandate as Attorney General to become involved in **disputes between individuals and ICBC**.

In addition, as Attorney General, I have no role in reviewing a judicial decision concerning a private dispute. Such powers rest only with appellate courts, including, in certain circumstances, the Supreme Court of Canada.

For these reasons, this is not a matter in which I can become involved. Therefore, it would not be appropriate for me to meet with you to discuss this matter, as you request.

If you need advice about your legal rights and options, you may wish to consult a lawyer in private practice. My role as Attorney General does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public. However, a number of groups in this province provide free legal services and information under certain circumstances. Although this ministry does not endorse or confirm the accuracy or completeness of information or advice provided by any of the following resources, I understand that they are currently available to British Columbians.

Access Pro Bono Society  
of British Columbia  
300 – 845 Cambie Street  
Vancouver BC V6B 4Z9  
Telephone: [604-878-7400](tel:604-878-7400)  
Toll-free telephone in BC: [1-877-762-6664](tel:1-877-762-6664)

Facsimile: [604-893-8934](tel:604-893-8934)  
Email: [help@accessprobono.ca](mailto:help@accessprobono.ca)  
Website: [www.accessprobono.ca](http://www.accessprobono.ca)

Clicklaw: [www.clicklaw.bc.ca](http://www.clicklaw.bc.ca)

The Law Centre  
Suite 225 – 850 Burdett Avenue  
Victoria BC V8W 0C7  
Telephone: [250-385-1221](tel:250-385-1221)  
Facsimile: [250-385-1226](tel:250-385-1226)  
Email: [reception@thelawcentre.ca](mailto:reception@thelawcentre.ca)  
Website: <http://thelawcentre.ca>

UBC Law Students' Legal Advice Program  
University of British Columbia  
Faculty of Law – Room 158  
1822 East Mall  
Vancouver BC V6T 1Z1  
Telephone: [604-822-5791](tel:604-822-5791)  
Facsimile: [604-822-1661](tel:604-822-1661)

For those who are unable to find free legal advice, the following service can refer individuals to a lawyer in their area who will meet with them for up to 30 minutes for a fee of \$25 plus applicable taxes.

Lawyer Referral Service  
Telephone: [604-687-3221](tel:604-687-3221)  
Toll-free telephone in B.C.: [1-800-663-1919](tel:1-800-663-1919)  
Website: [www.cbabc.org/For-the-Public/Lawyer-Referral-Service](http://www.cbabc.org/For-the-Public/Lawyer-Referral-Service)

I appreciate your taking the time to write.

Yours truly,

David Eby, QC  
Attorney General

pc: The Honourable John Horgan

August 28, 2017

Dear Mr. Eby,

Corruption is a crime against the PUBLIC; therefore, this issue is VITALLY important for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC. Please, visit my websites to find out the extents of THE HARMs INFLICTED ON THE PUBLIC with no tangible reason. Thanks for your email, nevertheless, I do NOT NEED your personal opinion regarding the DUTIES OF the Attorney General of British Columbia. At the present, it is impossible to get any legal advice from the resources you referred me. Please, remind the authorized persons to RESPOND in compliance with the procedural norms. As a member of the PUBLIC, I am entitled to get an AUTHORIZED reply from Mr. John Horgan and the Attorney General, regarding this issue.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site), [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca), [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)

October 12, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable **David Eby**  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

I have sent **ten** letters to Suzanne Anton's attention regarding the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Nevertheless, I have not received any **authorized response** from her.

I am confident that you would pay attention to the conduct of the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** and remind him that:

**"The intent of the LAW IS NOT TO PROTECTS CRIMINALS by dismissing the legals actions of their victims."**

Chief Justice Hinkson obstructed my access to JUSTICE, therefore I am not able to file a legal action against Stephanie Smith, BCGEU on the grounds of breach of duty pursuant to S.122 of the Criminal Code of Canada. BCIT-BCGEU For further information please visit the websites above.

Please, take necessary actions so that I can use court services for the **protection of the Public** and my **employment RIGHTS**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Encl.** The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site), [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca), [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)

November 3, 2017

**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** – Second request

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

I have sent **ten** letters to Suzanne Anton's attention regarding the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Nevertheless, I have not received any **authorized response** from her.

I am confident that you would pay attention to the conduct of the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** and remind him that:

**“The intent of the LAW IS NOT TO PROTECTS CRIMINALS by dismissing the legals actions of their victims.”**

Chief Justice Hinkson obstructed my access to JUSTICE, therefore I am not able to file a legal action against Stephanie Smith, BCGEU on the grounds of breach of duty pursuant to S.122 of the Criminal Code of Canada. For further information please visit the websites above. BCIT-BCGEU

Please, take necessary actions so that I can use court services for the **protection of the Public** and my **employment RIGHTS**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

EXH. 1

N691475 5  
PRINTED FOR:

STATEMENT - TAKEN ON 03JUL09

PAGE: 1 OF 3

STATEMENT FROM: STEWART TAYLOR

THIS IS THE VOLUNTARY TELEPHONE STATEMENT OF  
GIVEN TO ROBIN SMITH ON JULY 3, 2009. I HOLD VALID BC  
DRIVERS LICENSE NUMBER I LIVE AT  
. MY PHONE NUMBER IS  
I AM THE REGISTERED OWNER OF A  
' LICENSE PLATE . I WAS INVOLVED IN  
A MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT ON MAY 31, 2009. IT TOOK A LONG  
TIME FOR IT TO HAPPEN. IT DIDN'T ALL HAPPEN THAT DAY. I HAD  
TOO MUCH STRESS. I HAD TO HAVE MY UNCLE WITH ME BECAUSE OF  
MY DRIVERS LICENSE. I HAD TO TAKE MY SON TO THE AIRPORT. I  
HAD TO CASH TWO DIFFERENT PAYCHEQUES AT TWO DIFFERENT  
PLACES. I WAS VERY AGITATED. I COULDN'T HANDLE NO MORE  
EXPLANATIONS. I DECIDED TO CALL EVERYTHING OFF FOR THE NEXT  
DAY. MY SEATBELT KEPT COMING OPEN. IT WAS CAUSING ME TO BE  
AGITATED. I WAS FOCUSED ON THE SEATBELT. THE NEXT THING I  
KNEW I WAS COMING AROUND THE CURVE ON THE PATULLO BRIDGE. I  
HIT THE REAR BUMPER OF THE GRAY CAR. I SWERVED OUT OF THE  
WAY BECAUSE I WAS GOING TOWARD THE BRIDGE RAIL. THE TRAFFIC  
IN THE OTHER DIRECTION STOPPED. WHEN I SWERVED AWAY FROM  
THE GRAY CAR I SWERVED SIDWAYS AND INTO THE CAR IN THE  
OPPOSITE DIRECTION. IT WAS ALL IN THE SAME MOTION. AT NO  
TIME DID MY VEHICLE GO INTO REVERSE. IF YOU KNEW ME AND  
KNEW HOW AGITATED I WAS BY THE WAY OTHER PEOPLE DRIVE IT  
WASN'T A GOOD IDEA FOR ME TO TALK TO ANYONE. I HAVE THREE  
KIDS AND I HAVE BEEN OUT OF CONTACT WITH SOCIETY FOR A  
WHILE. MY CONSCIENCE JUST WASN'T THERE. IT'S LIKE  
EVERYTHING I SEE IN TRAFFIC MADE ME THINK THAT I WAS GIVING

IT BACK. IT'S PART OF MY JOB TO FIND 3 ADDRESSES A DAY.

IT WASN'T LIKE THEY DIDN'T SEE ME COMING. I THOUGHT THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO REACT. I KNOW THAT FOR ME IT WAS NO GOOD TO STAY THERE AND HAVE SOMEBODY SAY SOMETHING THAT I HAVE HEARD BEFORE. AFTER THE ACCIDENT I WAS FACED IN THE OTHER DIRECTION. I DROVE OFF THE BRIDGE IN THE SAME DIRECTION THAT I HAD COME FROM. THE POLICE TALKED TO ME ABOUT THIS. I WAS GIVEN A TICKET FOR AN ILLEGAL U-TURN, FOR LEAVING THE SCENE AND FOR DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND ATTENTION. I DON'T HAVE A COURT DATE FOR ANY OF THESE TICKETS. THER'S NO SENSE HANGING ME FROM A ROPE. I MEAN IT'S NO BIG DEAL. I FORGOT WHY I DIDN'T GET AGITATED BEFORE. I DON'T WANT TO BE ONE OF THOSE AGITATED PEOPLE IN TRAFFIC. I'VE LIVED IN BC FOR ALMOST 20 YEARS. I'VE HAD MY NEW DRIVERS LICENSE FOR ABOUT 12 YEARS. I KNEW THAT I HAD TO RE-ENTER SOCIETY SLOWLY. I DIDN'T WANT TO HUSTLE AND BUSTLE MYSELF. I LEFT MY DRIVERS LICENSE AS A NEW DRIVERS LICENSE BECAUSE THERE ARE MORE RESTRICTIONS. I COULDN'T STAY THERE. MY TEMPER IS BAD. I DIDN'T REALIZE I KEPT IT INSIDE. IT'S NOT THE OTHER DRIVERS. IT'S ME, IT WOULD HAVE

**Exh. 2**

Cheque Item Image

User: Elizabeth Moore

Request #:	4029544	Request Desc:	
Transit - FI #:	09150-003	Account #:	00000017730
Locator #:	2200439530	Amount:	\$9,426.70 CAD
Date:	06/08/2009		

		8776167	
The Royal Bank of Canada Main Branch Royal Centre, Vancouver, BC	Cheque Number 0C387761671	Claim Number N5817041	Adjuster No. D6266
			Location 060
Pay the sum of: Nine Thousand Four Hundred Twenty Six and 70/100 Dollars		DATE 2009-06-06 TIME 09:30	
To the order of:  KOKUT, RON O		\$ 9,426.70	
⑆ 228 776 167 ⑆ ⑆ 09150-003 ⑆ 00000017730 ⑆		⑆ 0000942670 ⑆	

60020-002 3 SCOTIABANK 728 COLUMBIA STREET NEW WESTMINSTER, BC JUN 08 2009 3 60020-002	Endorsement - Signature or Stamp 	60020 0116920 00120 08 SCOTIABANK NEW WESTMINSTER 6001871002 0104 41534
BACK/ENDOS		

print close

60020-002 45  
 SCOTIABANK  
 728 COLUMBIA STREET  
 NEW WESTMINSTER, BC  
 NOV - 1 2011  
 45 60020-002

KOSTB Williams



**EXH. 3****Hit-and-run****Hit and run crashes in B.C.**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	5-year average
Incidents	52,000	47,000	48,000	48,000	49,000	49,000
Injured victims	2,200	2,200	2,100	2,100	2,300	2,200
Fatal victims	9	11	8	4	6	8

**Hit and run crashes, by region**

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	5-year average
Lower Mainland	Incidents	37,000	33,000	33,000	34,000	34,000	34,000
	Injured victims	1,700	1,700	1,500	1,600	1,800	1,700
	Fatal victims	6	5	7	2	5	5
Vancouver Island	Incidents	6,000	6,000	6,300	6,000	5,900	6,100
	Injured victims	260	210	240	230	230	240
	Fatal victims	1	2	0	1	0	1
Southern Interior	Incidents	5,900	5,300	5,400	5,400	5,600	5,500
	Injured victims	220	230	230	210	200	220
	Fatal victims	2	2	1	1	1	2
North Central	Incidents	2,400	2,100	2,400	2,500	2,500	2,400
	Injured victims	46	79	83	70	34	62
	Fatal victims	0	2	0	0	0	1
Unknown	Incidents	550	580	730	720	700	660
	Injured victims	20	13	12	19	10	15
	Fatal victims	0	0	0	0	0	0

Fatal victim: Refers to a road user who died within 30 days after the date when an injury was sustained in a crash involving at least one motor vehicle. Excludes roads where the Motor Vehicle Act does not apply, such as forest-service roads, industrial roads and private driveways. Also excludes off-road snowmobile crashes, homicides and suicides.

Counts include incidents that occurred in parking lots or involved parked vehicles.

Incident and injury victim counts over one hundred have been rounded.

5-year averages for fatal victim counts are rounded up to the nearest whole number.

ICBC's 2013 crash data for the North Central region is not yet fully available. As a result, in this table, B.C. and North Central totals for crash counts include a small number of estimated incidents (injury counts in this table do not contain estimates). Final statistics are expected later this year and this document will be updated soon after.

Source: Business Information Warehouse (as of March 31, 2014) for incidents and injured victim counts. Police Traffic Accident System (as of June 30, 2014) for fatal victim counts.

Exh. 4



No. S155390  
Vancouver Registry

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Between:

RON KORKUT

PLAINTIFF

And:

JANICE R. DILLON

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

BEFORE ( THE HONOURABLE )  
( CHIEF JUSTICE HINKSON ) 13 July 2015  
( )  
( )

THIS COURT, on its own motion and without a hearing, at Vancouver, British Columbia, on Monday, July 13, 2015 **ORDERS AND DECLARES THAT:**

1. The Notice of Civil Claim filed by Ron Korkut in Vancouver on July 2, 2015 in Supreme Court file No. S155390 Vancouver Registry is a nullity and is set aside as being filed in contravention of the Order of Madam Justice Dillon made March 19, 2015 in Supreme Court file No.S150231 Vancouver Registry.
2. No person is obliged to respond to the Notice of Civil Claim described in paragraph 1, nor to any other process or document filed in contravention of the Order of Madam Justice Dillon made March 19, 2015 that a court registry may have inadvertently filed or received.

  
By the Court

  
Registrar

**Ron Korkut**  
**5249 Laurel Street**  
**Burnaby BC V5G 1N1**  
**778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca**

**August 30, 2013**

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run offence. Hit and run is a criminal offence under the section 252 of the Canadian Criminal Code; therefore, I reported the incident to RCMP. RCMP did not charge my offender with criminal offence; because, ICBC assumed the liability of the crime. I have the conclusive and incontrovertible evidence of it. As everyone knows, assuming the liability of a criminal offence is the same as committing it. Later on, I found out that my case was not an isolated one. ICBC is assuming the liability of 49000 hit and run incidents that kill 10, injure and cripple 2200 innocent citizens of British Columbia every year. ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

Therefore, as a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime.

To file a criminal action against ICBC, I applied to the court registry. They told me that as member of the public I was not able to file a criminal action. Therefore, consulted with the lawyers. All the lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service did not give me the information I need for filing a criminal action, even though I was willing to pay for their service.

I reported the issue to the Law Society. The Law Society Executive Director told me that the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice/service to the victims of crime. I asked him who had the professional obligation to provide legal service to the public; but he failed to answer my question. Therefore, I filed a civil claim (S-132382) against him for failing to enforce the Code of Professional Conduct for BC. Nevertheless, the Honourable Mr. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my case with court costs on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013.

Now, I am in the process of appealing it. (CA041144) Since the case is involved with my constitutional right to a fair hearing and obstruction of justice, I felt obliged to notify you.

Please let me know, if you will interfere with this case.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Ron Korkut**  
**5249 Laurel Street**  
**Burnaby BC V5G 1N1**  
**778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca**

**September 30, 2013**

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run offence. Hit and run is a criminal offence under the section 252 of the Canadian Criminal Code; therefore, I reported the incident to RCMP. RCMP did not charge my offender with criminal offence; because, ICBC assumed the liability of the crime. I have the conclusive and incontrovertible evidence of it. As everyone knows, assuming the liability of a criminal offence is the same as committing it. Later on, I found out that my case was not an isolated one. ICBC is assuming the liability of 49000 hit and run incidents that kill 10, injure and cripple 2200 innocent citizens of British Columbia every year. ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

Therefore, as a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime.

To file a criminal action against ICBC, I applied to the court registry. They told me that as member of the public I was not able to file a criminal action. Therefore, consulted with the lawyers. All the lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service did not give me the information I need for filing a criminal action, even though I was willing to pay for their service.

I reported the issue to the Law Society. The Law Society Executive Director told me that the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice/service to the victims of crime. I asked him who had the professional obligation to provide legal service to the public; but he failed to answer my question. Therefore, I filed a civil claim (S-132382) against him for failing to enforce the Code of Professional Conduct for BC. Nevertheless, the Honourable Mr. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my case with court costs on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013.

Now, I am in the process of appealing it. (CA041144) Since the case is involved with my constitutional right to a fair hearing and obstruction of justice, I felt obliged to notify you.

Please let me know, if you will interfere with this case.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Encl. Letter to Michael Armstrong, dated Sep. 23, 2013.

**Ron Korkut**  
**5249 Laurel Street**  
**Burnaby BC V5G 1N1**  
**778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca**

**October 30, 2013**

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run offence. Hit and run is a criminal offence under the section 252 of the Canadian Criminal Code; therefore, I reported the incident to RCMP. RCMP did not charge my offender with criminal offence; because, ICBC assumed the liability of the crime. I have the conclusive and incontrovertible evidence of it. As everyone knows, assuming the liability of a criminal offence is the same as committing it. Later on, I found out that my case was not an isolated one. ICBC assumes the liability of 49000 hit and run crimes that kill 10, injure and cripple 2200 innocent citizens of British Columbia every year. ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

Therefore, as a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime.

To file a criminal action against ICBC, I applied to the court registry. They told me that as member of the public I was not able to file a criminal action. Therefore, consulted with the lawyers. All the lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service did not give me the information I need for filing a criminal action, even though I was willing to pay for their service.

I reported the issue to the Law Society. The Law Society Executive Director, Timothy McGee told me that the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice/service to the victims of crime. I asked him who had the professional obligation to provide legal service to the public; but he failed to answer my question. Therefore, I filed a civil claim (S-132382) against him for failing to enforce the Code of Professional Conduct for BC. Nevertheless, the Honourable Mr. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my case with court costs on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013.

Mr. Justice Smith's decision is not authorized for the following reasons:

1. Mr. Justice Smith decided that ICBC has an obligation to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and provide compensation for victims of hit and run crimes, where criminal offenders are identified, under the Insurance Vehicle Act C.231. (p.2)
2. I asked the representative of Timothy McGee, Michael Armstrong, under what section and subsection ICBC is entitled to assume the liability of hit and run crimes where offenders are identified. He failed to show me the authority I requested.
3. It is impossible to assume the liability of potentially fatal hit and run crime because it is in conflict with the principle of law that "a person who assumes the liability of wrong is the same as wrong doer."
4. Mr. Justice Smith relied on the validity of "Lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal advice to the victims of crime" Nevertheless, he failed to refer to any authority in his reasons

for Judgment. Mr. Armstrong failed to answer my following question and Mr. Justice Smith responded as follows:(Transcript page 18)

RON KORKUT: Who has the obligation to provide legal service to the public if the lawyers have not such an obligation? Please answer this question before the court.

THE COURT: All right.

Obviously, for any reasonable person, on the part of Mr. Justice Smith, dismissing a case originated from assuming the liability of potentially fatal hit and run crime is perfect example of miscarriage of justice. It is impossible to trust a court system where judges ignore the substantive law and substantiated facts. Under the circumstances there is no reason for me to pursue my appeal.

Furthermore, Mr. Armstrong refused to file the order he drafted and Court of Appeal informed me that if the order is not filed the appeal will not be set for hearing. That means my appeal is obstructed.

As a responsible member of the public, it is my duty to inform you about this legal chicanery and expect you resolve this conflict in order to protect the credibility of the administration of justice.

Please let me know, if you will take due action for the resolution of this matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

April 27, 2014

Registered mail, Fourth Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

Stewart Taylor hit my car and ran away, on Pattullo Bridge, March 31, 2009. I lost the control of my car, after three impacts, my car was totally destroyed. I was very lucky to survive the collision; because, I was driving on the left lane and my car did not skid into the oncoming traffic. Stewart Taylor was caught, but RCMP did not arrest or prosecute him; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME Stewart Taylor committed. ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 10, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** ([http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news\\_room/icbc\\_stats](http://www.icbc.com/about-ICBC/news_room/icbc_stats)).

As a surviving victim of hit and run crime, I have a legal obligation and civic duty to take my case to the Court. Otherwise, if the victims do not bring their offenders to justice, it is impossible to prevent crime. I have been struggling for justice for five years. I wrote you three letters dated August 30, 2013, September 30, 2013 and October 30, 2013. Nevertheless, I did not receive any response from you. This is my fourth attempt to raise this issue to your attention.

I would like to inform you that I have filed a legal action against the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson. This case is about **breach of duty** and **obstruction of justice**; therefore, it is a concern for our fundamental right to a fair hearing and necessary to prevent hit and run crime in the Province of British Columbia.

Please let me know if you will interfere with this case.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Encl. Notice of Civil Claim, S-143080

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

September 3, 2015

Fifth Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

**Re. Hit and run crime prevention is IMPOSSIBLE where the victims cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE:**

1. ASSUMING THE LIABILITY OF CRIMINAL OFFENCE: On March 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit my car and ran away, on the Pattullo Bridge. My car was totally destroyed; but, I was extremely lucky to survive without any serious injuries. Stewart Taylor was caught; nevertheless, he was not arrested or prosecuted; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME**. Even though ICBC was 100% liable for the incident, ICBC representative, **Jason Gray** refused to pay my non-pecuniary damages. Later on, I found out that, **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Therefore, this case is an extremely sensitive issue, due to the extend of the harm inflicted on the PUBLIC. This case is the incontrovertible evidence of the fact that: **ICBC is providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of “accident insurance benefits”, where criminal offenders are identified.**

2. MY DUTY TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST CRIME: As a surviving **victim of hit and run crime**, I have a legal obligation to take legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC; because, it is impossible to prevent crime, if victims fail **to take legal action** against their offenders or their sponsors.

3. LAWYERS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE: In order to file my case, I consulted with 10 lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service. All of the ten lawyers were declined to provide me with the legal service I needed to file my case, despite I was willing to pay for their services. Lawyers' refusing to provide legal service to a member of the Public is tantamount to **obstruction of justice**; because, the lawyers are the only professionals who are knowledgeable and qualified to provide legal service to the Public. The lawyers' professional-obligation is also clearly stated in the Canons of Legal Ethics. **“A lawyer should make legal services available to the Public** in an efficient and convenient manner that will command respect and confidence..”

4. LAW SOCIETY STATED THAT LAWYERS HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC: In order to resolve this issue, I got in touch with the Law Society of British Columbia. After seven months of communication, the Law Society Executive Director, **Timothy E. McGee** confirmed that the lawyers of British Columbia have **no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime**, in his letter dated January 8, 2013. I asked him who had that obligation; but, he failed to respond.



5. LEGAL ACTION AGAINST McGEE, S132382: To find out **who has legal obligation to provide legal service to the public**, I filed a legal action against Timothy E. McGee, Executive Director of the Law Society. Nevertheless, legal representative of Mr. McGee, **Michael Armstrong** filed a court application and Mr. **Justice Nathan H. Smith** dismissed my case with costs, on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013, without answering my question and without referring to any authority that relaxes lawyers' obligation to provide legal service to the Public. At the hearing, I asked to Michael Armstrong the following question. He was silent; instead, Justice Nathan Smith responded as follows: (Transcript, page 18)

RON KORKUT: Who has the obligation to provide legal service to the public if the lawyers have not such an obligation? Please answer this question before the court.

THE COURT: All right.

6. DISMISSAL OF LEGAL ACTION WITHOUT AN APPLICABLE AUTHORITY: Justice Nathan Smith concurred with Michael Armstrong's argument and decided that ICBC had an obligation to **assume the liability of hit and run crimes** and pay damages on behalf of criminal offenders, where criminal offenders were identified, under the Insurance Vehicle Act C.231. Nevertheless, there is no provision in C.231 of the Act that entitles ICBC to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and pay the damages on behalf of the criminals, where offenders are identified. It is impossible to have such a provision in the Act; because, **it is impossible to assume the liability of a criminal offence and let the criminal offender be free**, as long as the Law is enforced effectively. Therefore, **Justice Nathan Smith refused to sign his dismissal order.**

7. ATTEMPTING TO EXACT MONEY BY USING UNSIGNED COURT ORDER: I filed an appeal for Justice Nathan Smith's decision with the Court of Appeal. Nevertheless, I was not able to proceed with the appeal; because, Justice Nathan Smith **did not sign his order**. Instead, Michael Armstrong drafted an order on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith and asked me to sign it; arguing that signing a legal document does not mean "**acceptance**". Michael Armstrong, attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith. Furthermore, he demanded \$5,000 under the name of "*security deposit*" for appeal court costs, assuming he would abort my appeal, as well.

8. COMPLAINT TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE: Since I was not able to proceed with my appeal in the Court of Appeal, I had no choice, other than reporting this issue to the Chief Justice, **Christopher E. Hinkson** and seeking help. I wrote four letters dated: Nov. 25, 2013, Jan. 13, 2014, Mar. 5, 2014 and Mar. 25, 2014. I raised the following issues to the attention of the Chief Justice:

1. Michael Armstrong filed an application to abort my legal action prematurely, **without citing any authority** to justify that ***the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the Public.***
2. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my legal action **without answering the question before the Court** and **declined to sign his order.**
3. Michael Armstrong, by using his professional influence, attempted to mislead me to believe that **signing a legal document does not mean acceptance** and asked me to sign the order he drafted on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith. He attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith.
4. Master Dennis Tokarek signed a "Certificate of Costs" **without printing his name** on the legal document. I attempted to confirm the signature, but Master Tokarek failed to confirm his signature, in writing.

9. THE CHIEF JUSTICE DISREGARDED MY COMPLAINT: As we all know, the Chief Justice is responsible for supervising the court services and ensure that court services are provided to the Public within reason. Nevertheless, he failed to respond to my complaint. Instead, **K. Jill Leacock** wrote a letter to me, dated January, 15, 2014. She interpreted my complaint as a “*request of legal advice*” and she stated that: “*Chief Justice Hinkson is not able to provide you with any advice. .... will not respond further to your inquiry.*” Therefore, I filed a legal action, S143080, against the Chief Justice, on the grounds of **breach of duty**.

10. JOHN D. WADDELL PROCURED THE ABORTION OF MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE: **John D. Waddell** filed an application and procured the dismissal of my legal action without citing any **authority** that relaxes the Chief Justice’s duty to pay attention to improper court procedures; such as, *aborting criminal cases, failure to sign court orders* and *exacting money* from plaintiffs, by using unsigned court orders.

11. JUSTICE AUSTIN F. CULLEN DISMISSED MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE, WITH COURT COSTS: Like, Justice Nathan Smith, Justice Austin Cullen **failed to sign his dismissal order**; because, the dismissal of the case was tantamount to declaring that: “*the Chief Justice had NO obligation to supervise court services*”. Obviously, an Honourable person who acts in good faith, never hesitates to sign his own decision. John D. Waddell attempted to exact court costs from me, by diluting me to believe that the *unsigned court order was a valid legal document*.

12. Therefore, I WAS OBLIGED TO FILE MY THIRD CIVIL CLAIM, S150231, AGAINST, **Austin F. Cullen, John D. Waddell and K. Jill Leacock** and sought an order for the trial of the case against the Chief Justice.

13. ANTHONY LEONI AND RICHARD MARGETS ABORTED THE CASE. **Anthony Leoni** acting on behalf of John D. Waddell, and **Richard S. Margetts**, acting on behalf of Austin F. Cullen and K. Jill Leacock, filed an application, scheduled on March 19, 2015. I did not attend the hearing of the application due to the legal chicanery perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; because, it is impossible to serve justice in a Court of Law where:

1. Judges **disregard the substantiated facts and the applicable law**; in my case, the Criminal Code of Canada, Section 252.
2. Judges **fail to sign their decisions** according to established rules of Law.
3. Lawyers attempt to exact court costs from the victims relying on **unauthorized court orders**.

14. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON DECLARED ME “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT”. On April 16, 2015, Anthony Leoni, sent me a court order, allegedly issued by Madam **Justice Janice R. Dillon**. The order was not signed according to the established rules of Law. The order declared me “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT” and I was enjoined from instituting any legal proceeding, except the leave of the court.

15. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON REFUSED TO CONFIRM THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE ORDER SENT BY ANTHONY LEONI. Since I was not sure that the order was signed by Justice Janice Dillon, due to the fact that **her full name was not printed above the signature**, I decided to confirm the authenticity of the order by writing to Justice Janice Dillon. Nevertheless, she Dillon refused to confirm the authenticity of the order by failing to respond to my letters dated April 24, May 16, and June 9, 2015. Obviously, an Honourable person who presides in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, never hesitates to confirm the validity of the order she made in good faith. Therefore, I was obliged to file my fourth Civil Claim, S155390, against Justice Janice Dillon, because, the order was **overriding my right and duty to bring my offender to justice**, and the order was **not signed properly**.

16. I REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING JUDGMENT: **Is it LAWFUL for Justice Janice R. Dillon to override my right and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE, by the way of declaring me “vexatious litigant”, knowing that I was a victim of hit and run crime and the legal actions I had filed were ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY for bring my offender to justice?**

17. I SOUGHT THE FOLLOWING RELIEF: 1. An order to prohibit her from sitting in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the Public. 2. The leave of the Court, so that I can file a **criminal legal action** against my offender-in-law, ICBC.

18. DEAD END FOR JUSTICE: The Chief Justice, (Honourable) Christopher E. Hinkson issued an order stating that person was obliged to respond to my notice of civil claim. Nevertheless, the order was **not signed** by the Chief Justice, because **his full name did not exist above the signature**. Therefore, I retyped the order word by word with his full name and asked him to sign it. Despite my numerous requests, he declined to sign it. Obviously, an Honourable person never hesitates to sign his order made in good faith. Refusing to sign the order is conclusive to the fact that he was aware of the consequences of his WRONG. It is not necessary to be a lawyer to understand that aborting the legal action of a **victim of crime** is an open invitation to criminal offenders. Obviously, **a person who aborts and obstructs the trial of a criminal offence can be held liable for the crime**. Therefore, the Chief Justice refused to sign his order.

19. As a result of the above *legal chicanery, perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia*, within the last six years, my offender-in-law, ICBC assumed the liability of **294,000 counts of hit and run crimes** that **killed 48, injured and crippled 13,200** innocent citizens of British Columbia, and forced the Public pay the damages (about \$3billion) caused by hit and run criminals, by selling compulsory insurance. (ICBC quick statistics)

## **MY REQUEST:**

Please verify the following facts:

1. On May 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit Ron Korkut’s car on Pattullo Bridge and ran away. Next day he was caught but not charged with criminal offence. (Exh. 1 Statement of the offender, ICBC office in New Westminster Claim # N581704-1, RCMP Report#09-63940.)
2. ICBC assumed the liability of the hit and run crime and paid Ron Korkut \$9,426.70 on behalf of the offender under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”. Stewart Taylor did not have coverage for hit and run crime; because; it is impossible insure criminal offence and let the offender be free. (Exh. 2 ICBC check of \$9,426.70. Claim # N581704-1 as proof of assuming the liability of the hit and run crime.)
3. ICBC assumes the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens** of British Columbia, every year. ICBC forces the Public to pay all the damages caused by the hit and run criminals (estimated half a billion dollars every year), by selling compulsory insurance service. No person has the right to sell any goods or services under coercion. (Exh. 3 “ICBC quick statistics”, or web search.)

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 1:** After verifying the above facts, you can conclude - beyond any doubts - that ICBC is guilty for providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified.

4. Please, also verify with the court registry that, I filed four notice of civil claims; because, I was not allowed to file a criminal action against ICBC. My four cases (S132382, S143080, S150231, S155390) were dismissed under the supervision of the Chief Justice Christopher E. Hinkson and he issued an order stating that no person was obliged to respond to my civil claims; yet he refused to sign it according to the established rule of legal procedures. (Exh. 4, Order with unidentified signature. For more evidence [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca))

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 2:** After verifying the above fact with the Chief Justice you can draw your second conclusion: On the part of Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson, it is a dishonourable conduct to abort the legal action of a victim of crime, Ron Korkut; because, it defeats the cause of justice. Therefore, Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson must be removed from the position of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the PUBLIC.

Considering the extends of the harm inflicted on the Public and relying on your **sound judgment**, please take necessary actions so that I can bring my offender-in-law, ICBC to justice; that is **absolutely necessary** for **preventing hit and run crime**, in our Province.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

(For scanned litigation files: [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca).)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

September 15, 2015

Sixth Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

**Re. Hit and run crime prevention is IMPOSSIBLE where the victims cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE:**

1. ASSUMING THE LIABILITY OF CRIMINAL OFFENCE: On March 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit my car and ran away, on the Pattullo Bridge. My car was totally destroyed; but, I was extremely lucky to survive without any serious injuries. Stewart Taylor was caught; nevertheless, he was not arrested or prosecuted; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME**. Even though ICBC was 100% liable for the incident, ICBC representative, **Jason Gray** refused to pay my non-pecuniary damages. Later on, I found out that, **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year**. (ICBC quick statistics). Therefore, this case is an extremely sensitive issue, due to the extent of the harm inflicted on the PUBLIC. This case is the incontrovertible evidence of the fact that: **ICBC is providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of “accident insurance benefits”, where criminal offenders are identified**.

2. MY DUTY TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST CRIME: As a surviving **victim of hit and run crime**, I have a legal obligation to take legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC; because, it is impossible to prevent crime, if victims fail **to take legal action** against their offenders or their sponsors.

3. LAWYERS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE: In order to file my case, I consulted with 10 lawyers referred by the Lawyer Referral Service. All of the ten lawyers declined to provide me with the legal service I needed to file my case, despite I was willing to pay for their services. Lawyers’ refusing to provide legal service to a member of the Public is tantamount to **obstruction of justice**; because, the lawyers are the only professionals who are knowledgeable and qualified to provide legal service to the Public. The lawyers’ professional-obligation is also clearly stated in the Canons of Legal Ethics. “**A lawyer should make legal services available to the Public** in an efficient and convenient manner that will command respect and confidence..”

4. LAW SOCIETY STATED THAT LAWYERS HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC: In order to resolve this issue, I got in touch with the Law Society of British Columbia. After seven months of communication, the Law Society Executive Director, **Timothy E. McGee** confirmed that the lawyers of British Columbia have **no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime**, in his letter dated January 8, 2013. I asked him who had that obligation; but, he failed to respond.

5. LEGAL ACTION AGAINST McGEE, S132382: To find out **who has legal obligation to provide legal service to the Public**, I filed a legal action against Timothy E. McGee, Executive Director of the Law Society. Nevertheless, legal representative of Mr. McGee, **Michael G. Armstrong** filed a court application and Mr. **Justice Nathan H. Smith** dismissed my case with costs, on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2013, without answering my question and without referring to any authority that relaxes lawyers' obligation to provide legal service to the Public. At the hearing, I asked to Michael Armstrong the following question. He was silent; instead, Justice Nathan Smith responded as follows: (Transcript, page 18)

RON KORKUT: Who has the obligation to provide legal service to the public if the lawyers have not such an obligation? Please answer this question before the court.

THE COURT: All right.

6. DISMISSAL OF LEGAL ACTION WITHOUT AN APPLICABLE AUTHORITY: Justice Nathan Smith concurred with Michael Armstrong's argument and decided that ICBC had an obligation to **assume the liability of hit and run crimes** and pay damages on behalf of criminal offenders, where criminal offenders were identified, under the Insurance Vehicle Act C.231. Nevertheless, there is no provision in C.231 of the Act that entitles ICBC to assume the liability of hit and run crimes and pay the damages on behalf of the criminals, where offenders are identified. It is impossible to have such a provision in the Act; because, **it is impossible to assume the liability of a criminal offence and let the criminal offender be free**, as long as the Law is enforced effectively. Therefore, **Justice Nathan Smith refused to sign his dismissal order.**

7. ATTEMPTING TO EXACT MONEY BY USING UNSIGNED COURT ORDER: I filed an appeal for Justice Nathan Smith's decision with the Court of Appeal. Nevertheless, I was not able to proceed with the appeal; because, Justice Nathan Smith **did not sign his order**. Michael Armstrong asked me to sign an order he drafted on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith, and attempted to fool me to believe that signing a legal document does not mean "**acceptance**". Michael Armstrong, attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith. Furthermore, he demanded \$5,000 under the name of "*security deposit*" for appeal court costs, assuming he would abort my appeal, as well.

8. COMPLAINT TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE: Since I was not able to proceed with my appeal in the Court of Appeal, I had no choice, other than reporting this issue to the Chief Justice, **Christopher E. Hinkson** and seeking help. I wrote four letters dated: Nov. 25, 2013, Jan. 13, 2014, Mar. 5, 2014 and Mar. 25, 2014. I raised the following issues to the attention of the Chief Justice:

1. Michael Armstrong filed an application to abort my legal action prematurely, **without citing any authority** to justify that ***the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the Public.***
2. Justice Nathan Smith dismissed my legal action **without answering the question before the Court** and **declined to sign his order.**
3. Michael Armstrong, by using his professional influence, attempted to mislead me to believe that **signing a legal document does not mean acceptance** and asked me to sign the order he drafted on behalf of Justice Nathan Smith. He attempted to exact \$6165.77, from me, relying on the court order that was not signed by Justice Nathan Smith.
4. Master Dennis Tokarek signed a "Certificate of Costs" **without printing his name** on the legal document. I attempted to confirm the signature, but Master Tokarek failed to confirm his signature, in writing.

9. THE CHIEF JUSTICE DISREGARDED MY COMPLAINT: As we all know, the Chief Justice is responsible for supervising the court services and ensure that court services are provided to the Public within reason. Nevertheless, he failed to respond to my complaint. Instead, **K. Jill Leacock** wrote a letter to me, dated January, 15, 2014. She interpreted my complaint as a “*request of legal advice*” and she stated that: “*Chief Justice Hinkson is not able to provide you with any advice. .... will not respond further to your inquiry.*” Therefore, I filed a legal action, S143080, against the Chief Justice, on the grounds of **breach of duty**.

10. JOHN D. WADDELL PROCURED THE ABORTION OF MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE: **John D. Waddell** filed an application and procured the dismissal of my legal action without citing any **authority** that relaxes the Chief Justice’s duty to pay attention to improper court procedures; such as, *aborting criminal cases, failure to sign court orders* and *exacting money* from plaintiffs, by using unsigned court orders.

11. JUSTICE AUSTIN F. CULLEN DISMISSED MY LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE CHIEF JUSTICE, WITH COURT COSTS: Like, Justice Nathan Smith, Justice Austin Cullen **failed to sign his dismissal order**; because, the dismissal of the case was tantamount to declaring that: “*the Chief Justice had NO obligation to supervise court services*”. Obviously, an Honourable person who acts in good faith, never hesitates to sign his own decision. John D. Waddell attempted to exact court costs from me, by diluting me to believe that the *unsigned court order was a valid legal document*.

12. Therefore, I WAS OBLIGED TO FILE MY THIRD CIVIL CLAIM, S150231, AGAINST, **Austin F. Cullen, John D. Waddell and K. Jill Leacock** and sought an order for the trial of the case against the Chief Justice.

13. ANTHONY LEONI AND RICHARD MARGETS ABORTED THE CASE. **Anthony Leoni** acting on behalf of John D. Waddell, and **Richard S. Margetts**, acting on behalf of Austin F. Cullen and K. Jill Leacock, filed an application, scheduled on March 19, 2015. I did not attend the hearing of the application due to the **legal chicanery** perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; because, it is impossible to serve justice in a Court of Law where:

1. Judges **disregard the substantiated facts and the applicable law**; in my case, the Criminal Code of Canada, Section 252.
2. Judges **fail to sign their decisions** according to established rules of Law.
3. Lawyers attempt to exact court costs from the victims relying on **unauthorized court orders**.

14. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON DECLARED ME “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT”. On April 16, 2015, Anthony Leoni, sent me a court order, allegedly issued by Madam **Justice Janice R. Dillon**. The order was not signed according to the established rules of Law. The order declared me “VEXATIOUS LITIGANT” and I was enjoined from instituting any legal proceeding, except the leave of the court.

15. JUSTICE JANICE DILLON REFUSED TO CONFIRM THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE ORDER SENT BY ANTHONY LEONI. Since I was not sure that the order was signed by Justice Janice Dillon, due to the fact that **her full name was not printed above the signature**, I decided to confirm the authenticity of the order by writing to Justice Janice Dillon. Nevertheless, she refused to confirm the authenticity of the order by failing to respond to my letters dated April 24, May 16, and June 9, 2015. Obviously, an Honourable person who presides in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, never hesitates to confirm the validity of the order she made in good faith. Therefore, I was obliged to file my fourth Civil Claim, S155390, against Justice Janice Dillon, because, the order was **overriding my right and duty to bring my offender to justice**, and the order was **not signed properly**.

16. I REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING JUDGMENT: **Is it LAWFUL for Justice Janice R. Dillon to override my right and DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE, by the way of declaring me “vexatious litigant”, knowing that I was a victim of hit and run crime and the legal actions I had filed were ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY for bring my offender to justice?**

17. I SOUGHT THE FOLLOWING RELIEF: 1. An order to prohibit her from sitting in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the Public. 2. The leave of the Court, so that I can file a **criminal legal action** against my offender-in-law, ICBC.

18. DEAD END FOR JUSTICE: The Chief Justice, (Honourable) Christopher E. Hinkson issued an order stating that no person was obliged to respond to my notice of civil claim. Nevertheless, the order was **not signed** by the Chief Justice, because **his full name did not exist above the signature**. Therefore, I retyped the order word by word with his full name and asked him to sign it. Despite my numerous requests, he declined to sign it. Obviously, an Honourable person never hesitates to sign his order made in good faith. Refusing to sign the order is conclusive to the fact that he was aware of the consequences of his WRONG. It is not necessary to be a lawyer to understand that aborting the legal action of a **victim of crime** is an open invitation to criminal offenders. Obviously, **a person who aborts and obstructs the trial of a criminal offence can be held liable for the crime**. Therefore, the Chief Justice refused to sign his order.

19. As a result of the above *legal chicanery, perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia*, within the last six years, my offender-in-law, ICBC assumed the liability of **294,000 counts of hit and run crimes** that **killed 48, injured and crippled 13,200** innocent citizens of British Columbia, and forced the Public pay the damages (about \$3billion) caused by hit and run criminals, by selling compulsory insurance. (ICBC quick statistics)

## **MY REQUEST:**

Please verify the following facts:

1. On May 31, 2009, Stewart Taylor hit Ron Korkut’s car on Pattullo Bridge and ran away. Next day he was caught but not charged with criminal offence. (Exh. 1 Statement of the offender, ICBC office in New Westminster Claim # N581704-1, RCMP Report#09-63940.)
2. ICBC assumed the liability of the hit and run crime and paid Ron Korkut \$9,426.70 on behalf of the offender under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”. Stewart Taylor did not have coverage for hit and run crime; because; it is impossible insure criminal offence and let the offender be free. (Exh. 2 ICBC check of \$9,426.70. Claim # N581704-1; proof of assuming the liability of the hit and run crime.)
3. ICBC assumes the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens** of British Columbia, every year. ICBC forces the Public to pay all the damages caused by the hit and run criminals (estimated half a billion dollars every year), by selling compulsory insurance service. No person has the right to sell any goods or services under coercion. (Exh. 3 “ICBC quick statistics”, or web search.)

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 1:** After verifying the above facts, you can conclude - beyond any doubts - that ICBC is guilty for providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the cover of “*accident insurance benefits*”, where offenders are identified.



4. Please, also verify with the court registry that, I filed four notice of civil claims; because, I was not allowed to file a criminal action against ICBC. My four cases (S132382, S143080, S150231, S155390) were dismissed under the supervision of the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** and he issued an order stating that no person was obliged to respond to my civil claims; yet he refused to sign it according to the established rule of legal procedures. (Exh. 4, Order with unidentified signature. For more evidence visit [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca))

**CONCLUSIVE DECISION - Judgment 2:** After verifying the above fact with the Chief Justice you can draw your second conclusion: On the part of Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson, it is a dishonourable conduct to abort the legal action of a victim of crime, Ron Korkut; because, it defeats the cause of justice. Therefore, Mr. Christopher E. Hinkson must be removed from the position of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia for the protection of the PUBLIC.

Considering the extends of the harm inflicted on the Public and relying on your **sound judgment**, please take necessary actions so that I can bring my offender-in-law, ICBC to justice; that is **absolutely necessary** for **preventing hit and run crime**, in our Province.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

(For scanned litigation files: [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca).)

(Email response from AG.)

8:35 AM  
(11 hours  
ago)

**AG LSB CSD Mail AG:EX <AGLSBCSDMail@gov.bc.ca>**  
Sep. 22, 2015

to ron

Ron Korkut  
Email: [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Your letters dated September 3 and 15, 2015, addressed to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice of British Columbia, and enclosures have been forwarded to me for response on her behalf.

I note your concerns regarding civil litigation in which you have been involved. Many people write to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice believing that she can intervene in their legal matters and bring about the outcome they would like to see. But that is not something she can do.

In British Columbia, it is the responsibility of the judiciary to make decisions in disputes brought before the courts. Parties to court proceedings are entitled to have legal issues decided by an independent judiciary. Among other things, having an independent judiciary means that the Attorney General and Minister of Justice has no role in reviewing specific decisions of the court. Litigants who are dissatisfied with a judicial ruling have the option of appealing that decision within certain time limitations.

Although responsible for the general administration of the courts, the Attorney General and Minister of Justice is not involved in the supervision of judges. For anyone who has a complaint about the conduct of a judge (including the Chief Justice) in the Supreme Court of British Columbia or the Court of Appeal for British Columbia (as opposed to the correctness of his or her decision), the appropriate course of action is to bring a complaint before the Canadian Judicial Council. Pursuant to the federal *Judges Act*, R.S.C. 1985, the council is the only body that can recommend that disciplinary action should be taken against a judge. A complaint can be forwarded to the Canadian Judicial Council at the following address:

Canadian Judicial Council  
150 Metcalfe Street  
Ottawa ON K1A 0W8  
Telephone: [613-288-1566](tel:613-288-1566)  
Facsimile: [613-288-1575](tel:613-288-1575)  
Email: [info@cjccm.gc.ca](mailto:info@cjccm.gc.ca)

More information about the complaint review process is available at the following website: [www.cjc-ccm.gc.ca/english/conduct\\_en.asp?selMenu=conduct\\_complaint\\_en.asp](http://www.cjc-ccm.gc.ca/english/conduct_en.asp?selMenu=conduct_complaint_en.asp)

With respect to your specific concerns about the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia (ICBC), it would not be appropriate for the Attorney General and Minister of Justice to comment. The Ministry of Justice has no authority over ICBC – a Crown corporation – with respect to how it carries out its statutory duties under the *Motor Vehicle Act*. She cannot provide direction to ICBC or intervene in

ICBC's day-to-day operations or decisions. Further, it is not within the mandate of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice to become involved in disputes between individuals and ICBC.

You mention that you have previously sought to file a "criminal legal action" against ICBC. Whenever an individual has reason to believe that someone has been involved in criminal activity, the proper course of action is for that individual to report his or her allegations to the police in the community where the alleged criminal activity occurred. If the police determine that an investigation is warranted, they will conduct one. They are also in the best position to determine whether a particular allegation involves a criminal matter or if the allegation, if pursued, would have to be addressed through civil law.

You also indicate that you have consulted 10 lawyers referred through the Lawyer Referral Service, and they all declined to provide you with legal services. I note your dissatisfaction with the response of the Law Society of British Columbia in that regard. Under the *Legal Profession Act*, the Law Society of British Columbia has jurisdiction to investigate complaints about lawyers. The Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not have the authority to intervene in the complaint review process established by the Law Society.

Individuals who believe that they have been treated unfairly by the Law Society may consider contacting the Office of the Ombudsperson of British Columbia. As an independent Officer of the Legislature, the Ombudsperson has the authority to investigate complaints about the actions and decisions of public agencies, including the Law Society. Before considering an investigation, however, I understand that office generally requires that other avenues of complaint and appeal have first been exhausted. You should also be aware that the Ombudsperson does not have authority to investigate or review court proceedings. The Office of the Ombudsperson can be reached at the following address:

Office of the Ombudsperson  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor – 947 Fort Street  
PO Box 9039 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9A5  
Telephone: [250-387-5855](tel:250-387-5855)  
Toll-free: [1-800-567-3247](tel:1-800-567-3247)

Information about the role of the Ombudsperson is also available at the following website: [www.ombudsman.bc.ca](http://www.ombudsman.bc.ca).

A lawyer in private practice would be able to advise you of your legal rights and options at this time. The role of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public. A number of groups in this province provide free legal services and information under certain circumstances. Although this ministry does not endorse or confirm the accuracy or completeness of information or advice provided by any of the following resources, I understand that they are currently available to British Columbians.

Access Pro Bono Society  
of British Columbia  
106 – 873 Beatty Street  
Vancouver BC V6B 2M6  
Telephone: [604-482-3195](tel:604-482-3195)  
Toll-free in BC: [1-877-762-6664](tel:1-877-762-6664)

Facsimile: [604-893-8934](tel:604-893-8934)  
Email: [help@accessprobono.ca](mailto:help@accessprobono.ca)  
Website: [www.accessprobono.ca](http://www.accessprobono.ca)

Clicklaw: [www.clicklaw.bc.ca](http://www.clicklaw.bc.ca)

The Law Centre  
Suite 225 – 850 Burdett Avenue  
Victoria BC V8W 0C7  
Telephone: [250-385-1221](tel:250-385-1221)  
Facsimile: [250-385-1226](tel:250-385-1226)  
Email: [reception@thelawcentre.ca](mailto:reception@thelawcentre.ca)  
Website: <http://thelawcentre.ca>

UBC Law Students' Legal Advice Program  
University of British Columbia  
Faculty of Law – Room 158  
1822 East Mall  
Vancouver BC V6T 1Z1  
Telephone: [604-822-5791](tel:604-822-5791)  
Facsimile: [604-822-1661](tel:604-822-1661)

You may wish to visit the Vancouver Justice Access Centre to have your situation assessed. Where appropriate, you may be referred to other available services. Clients who meet the criteria of the service-providing agencies may also be referred for limited legal advice from volunteer lawyers. More information is available at: [www.ag.gov.bc.ca/justice-access-centre/vancouver/index.htm](http://www.ag.gov.bc.ca/justice-access-centre/vancouver/index.htm)

If you decide to appear in court without a lawyer, the Self-Help and Information Service of the Vancouver Justice Access Centre can help you get the information you need to prepare your civil case. You can use the service to learn about the court system and court procedures, get legal information, locate and fill out the relevant court forms, find out about free legal advice, and find alternatives to court. More information about these services is available at:

[www.supremecourtselfhelp.bc.ca](http://www.supremecourtselfhelp.bc.ca)

The Self-Help and Information Service does not accept telephone, email or written inquiries; it provides services in person only. You can visit the Vancouver Justice Access Centre and its Self-Help and Information Services, using the Smithe Street entrance at the corner of Smithe and Hornby. Hours of operation are indicated at the websites noted above. The street address is as follows:

Vancouver Justice Access Centre  
Self-Help and Information Services  
274 – 800 Hornby Street  
Vancouver BC V6Z 2C5

You may wish to read the articles on trial preparation and note-making skills, legal research and resources, and court etiquette, published on the official website of the Provincial Court of British Columbia. This information can be accessed at:

[www.provincialcourt.bc.ca/downloads/pdf/trialpreparation.pdf](http://www.provincialcourt.bc.ca/downloads/pdf/trialpreparation.pdf)

General information for self-represented litigants is posted on the Supreme Court of British Columbia website at: [www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/](http://www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/)

In addition, the Legal Services Society provides information services to self-represented litigants, including:

- LawLINK - a website designed to help low-income individuals and their advocates find current, relevant and usable self-help legal information on the Internet. This website contains useful self-help information, including fact sheets to assist with a number of issues; and
- free legal information and self-help publications in plain language to help clients identify, avoid or resolve common legal problems.

For your reference, the Legal Services Society maintains a website with links to the legal aid services noted above at: [www.lss.bc.ca/](http://www.lss.bc.ca/).

The website of the Justice Education Society of British Columbia offers a series of documents that provide information about representing yourself in civil, non-family, matters in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Those documents can be accessed at: [www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court](http://www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court).

The Court of Appeal for British Columbia has added two civil self-help guidebooks to its website: "*How to Conduct an Appeal — Civil Cases*" and "*Responding to an Appeal — Civil Cases*". These guides were prepared by the Justice Education Society of British Columbia and are designed to provide information about dealing with the court system. Electronic copies are available at: [www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters](http://www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters)

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,

Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q.C.  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General

(Email to Kurt J. W. Sandstrom)

Sep 23, 2015

**Mr. Sandstrom,**  
**Would you please send me a signed hard copy of your response? Otherwise, your response will not be considered VALID. Thanks.**  
Ron Korkut  
Ethics First



SEP 28 2015

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Your letters dated September 3 and 15, 2015, addressed to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice of British Columbia, and enclosures have been forwarded to me for response on her behalf.

I note your concerns regarding civil litigation in which you have been involved. Many people write to the Attorney General and Minister of Justice believing that she can intervene in their legal matters and bring about the outcome they would like to see. But that is not something she can do.

In British Columbia, it is the responsibility of the judiciary to make decisions in disputes brought before the courts. Parties to court proceedings are entitled to have legal issues decided by an independent judiciary. Among other things, having an independent judiciary means that the Attorney General and Minister of Justice has no role in reviewing specific decisions of the court. Litigants who are dissatisfied with a judicial ruling have the option of appealing that decision within certain time limitations.

Although responsible for the general administration of the courts, the Attorney General and Minister of Justice is not involved in the supervision of judges. For anyone who has a complaint about the conduct of a judge (including the Chief Justice) in the Supreme Court of British Columbia or the Court of Appeal for British Columbia (as opposed to the correctness of his or her decision), the appropriate course of action is to bring a complaint before the Canadian Judicial Council. Pursuant to the federal *Judges Act*, R.S.C. 1985, the council is the only body that can recommend that disciplinary action should be taken against a judge. A complaint can be forwarded to the Canadian Judicial Council at the following address:

Canadian Judicial Council  
150 Metcalfe Street  
Ottawa ON K1A 0W8  
Telephone: 613-288-1566  
Facsimile: 613-288-1575  
[Email: info@cjc-ccm.gc.ca](mailto:info@cjc-ccm.gc.ca)

.../2

Ministry of Justice

Legal Services Branch  
Office of the  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General

Mailing Address:  
PO BOX 9280 STN PROV GOVT  
Victoria BC V8W 9J7

Telephone: 250 356-9260  
Facsimile: 250 356-5111

Location:  
1001 Douglas Street  
Victoria BC

Website: [www.gov.bc.ca/ag](http://www.gov.bc.ca/ag)

The Office of the Ombudsperson can be reached at the following address:

Office of the Ombudsperson  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor - 947 Fort Street  
PO Box 9039 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9A5  
Telephone: 250-387-5855  
Toll-free: 1-800-567-3247

Information about the role of the Ombudsperson is also available at the following website: [www.ombudsman.bc.ca](http://www.ombudsman.bc.ca).

A lawyer in private practice would be able to advise you of your legal rights and options at this time. The role of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public. A number of groups in this province provide free legal services and information under certain circumstances. Although this ministry does not endorse or confirm the accuracy or completeness of information or advice provided by any of the following resources, I understand that they are currently available to British Columbians.

Access Pro Bono Society  
of British Columbia  
106 - 873 Beatty Street  
Vancouver BC V6B 2M6  
Telephone: 604-482-3195  
Toll-free in BC: 1-877-762-6664  
Facsimile: 604-893-8934  
[Email: help@accessprobono.ca](mailto:help@accessprobono.ca)  
[Website: www.accessprobono.ca](http://www.accessprobono.ca)

Clicklaw: [www.clicklaw.bc.ca](http://www.clicklaw.bc.ca)

The Law Centre  
Suite 225 - 850 Burdett Avenue  
Victoria BC V8W 007  
Telephone: 250-385-1221  
Facsimile: 250-385-1226  
[Email: reception@thelawcentre.ca](mailto:reception@thelawcentre.ca)  
[Website: http://thelawcentre.ca](http://thelawcentre.ca)

Ron Korkut  
Page 5

General information for self-represented litigants is posted on the Supreme Court of British Columbia website at:

[www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/](http://www.courts.gov.bc.ca/supreme%5Fcourt/self%2Drepresented%5Flitigants/)

In addition, the Legal Services Society provides information services to self-represented litigants, including:

- LawLINK - a website designed to help low-income individuals and their advocates find current, relevant and usable self-help legal information on the Internet. This website contains useful self-help information, including fact sheets to assist with a number of issues; and
- free legal information and self-help publications in plain language to help clients identify, avoid or resolve common legal problems.

For your reference, the Legal Services Society maintains a website with links to the legal aid services noted above at: [www.lss.bc.ca/](http://www.lss.bc.ca/)

The website of the Justice Education Society of British Columbia offers a series of documents that provide information about representing yourself in civil, non-family, matters in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Those documents can be accessed at:

[www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court](http://www.justiceeducation.ca/resources/Representing-Yourself-In-Supreme-Court)

The Court of Appeal for British Columbia has added two civil self-help guidebooks to its website: "How to Conduct an Appeal — *Civil Cases*" and "*Responding to an Appeal — Civil Cases*". These guides were prepared by the Justice Education Society of British Columbia and are designed to provide information about dealing with the court system. Electronic copies are available at:

[www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters](http://www.courtofappealbc.ca/civil-family-matters)

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,



Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q.C.  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General



Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 5, 2015

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q. C.  
PO BOX 9280 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9J7

Dear Mr. Sandstrom,

**I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime.** My offender was **caught**; nevertheless, he was not arrested or prosecuted; because, **ICBC assumed the liability of the HIT and RUN CRIME.** Not only that, ICBC provided insurance coverage for him, even though it is unlawful to insure hit and run criminals. Furthermore, I discovered that, **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). For a reasonable person, providing insurance benefits to hit and run criminals under the cover of *accident insurance*, and **forcing the Public to pay for hit and run crime**, by the way of selling compulsory insurance service, is NOT A LEGITIMATE BUSINESS PRACTICE.

As you are supposed to know, as a victim of crime, I have a **RIGHT** and **DUTY** to bring my offender to JUSTICE, otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime.**

I notified the Minister of Justice, the Honourable **Suzanne Anton** six times regarding this issue, between August 30, 2013 and September 15, 2015, nevertheless she failed to respond to me. Finally, you responded on behalf of her. Nevertheless, in your letter, dated September 28, 2015:

1. You have **attempted to delute me to believe** that the Minister of Justice is NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LEGAL CHICANERY perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, by referring me to a number of **irrelevant** and **inferior authorities.**
2. Knowing that my complaint was about a **criminal case**, you have deliberately **attempted to strip the significance of it, by calling it “civil litigation”.** That amounts to perverting the facts, and perverting the facts is not consistent with the rules of professional ethics.
3. Even though you knew that my reason for complaint to the Minister of Justice is regarding **the failure of the judiciary to adjudicate disputes before the Court**, you attempted to show me like an ignorant who does not know who is responsible for making decisions on legal disputes, in British Columbia.
4. You have disregarded my complaint about the **bias of the Judiciary**; despite you were aware of the necessity of “independent judiciary”. It is impossible for independent judiciary to dismiss a **legal action filed to prevent hit and run crime.**
5. You have denied that the **Minister of Justice has no responsibility to** supervise court services. If that is true, that means, there is no authority to supervise judges and they can make any decision they like; such as, **dismissing criminal cases to promote crime based business.**
6. You have referred me to Court of Appeal, knowing that **unauthorized court decisions cannot be appealed.** No reasonable person would appeal a decision that is not properly signed by a justice.
7. You have referred me to Canadian Judicial Counsel knowing that they are involved with the **judiciary appointed to federal courts**; not provincial. That was the reason for they did not investigate my complaint.

8. You have denied that the **Minister of Justice is not responsible for unlawfull business practices**, such as, ICBC insuring hit and run criminals for promoting hit and run crime and rip the benefits of selling compulsory accident insurance. If the Minister of Justice cannot not interfere with unlawful business protected by the lawyers and the judiciary, who can restrain ICBC from insuring criminals?
9. You have referred me to the POLICE, in order to file a criminal action against ICBC, knowing that I have already gone throught the same procedure. **If the police failes to discharge his duty to prosecute criminals, that cannot relax my obligation to bring my offender to justice.**
10. You have advised me that the Minister of Justice have no authority to intervene in the complaint review process established by the Law Society. Your statement is tantamount to the fact that the Law Society is an organization *above the Law* and the *lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the Public*. As you may know, the Law Society is bound with the Law of the Land and the Minister of Justice, has the **authority to interfere with the operations of the Law Society, where it is necessary to protect the credibility of the Administration of Justice.**
11. You have **misinterpreted this issue as a trivial-unfair treatment** of a citizen and you referred me to ombudsperson knowing that the ombudsperson does not have authority to investigate or review court proceedings.
12. You have referred me to Pro Bono Society and Law Students, knowing that **I had no issue with paying for the lawyers' fees**; because, I - clearly - stated that the issue was the *failure of the lawyers to provide legal service*; NOT, the shortage of money.
13. You have referred me to Justice Access Centre and Self-Help websites, assuming that I have no clue about **Substantive Law** and **Natural Justice**. Certainly, no one needs a law degree to understand that **assuming the liability of hit and run crimes, and insuring hit and run criminals** under the coverage of "*accident insurance*" is **NOT A LAWFUL BUSINESS PRACTICE**. The intend of the LAW is to prevent crime; NOT TO PROMOTE IT.

**None of the above conducts of yours reflect any sign of GOOD WILL or professionalism.**

14. *Worst of all*, you have **no authority to make a serious decision** on behalf of the Justice Minister, without her consent; especially, where the issue is concern for the credibility of the Ministry.

**Therefore, please get your decision approved by the Honourable Suzanne Anton and send me the signed copy. That is the PROPER LEGAL PROCEDURE.**

That is the **REQUIREMENT of the LAW.**

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 26, 2015

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Kurt J. W. Sandstrom, Q. C.  
PO BOX 9280 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9J7

Dear Mr. Sandstrom,

I have been trying to report a SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC INTEREST ISSUE to the Minister of Justice, Suzanne Anton, since August 30, 2013. You have kept **intercepting** my communications with her and attempted to fool me to believe that the Minister of Justice is NOT responsible for the OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO THE PUBLIC. You must understand that **I have nothing to communicate with you; you are NOT the Minister of Justice. I do NOT NEED your misleading advice on this matter.**

As a **member of the Public**, I have a RIGHT to communicate with the Minister of Justice regarding serious Public issues and expect an **authorized response** from her. As a Lawyer, you are **supposed to be respectful** to my RIGHT and help me, instead of making me run around a vicious circle, by referring me to ombudsperson and other irrelevant tribunals. **PLEASE**, try to understand that:

1. The issue I am trying to raise to the attention of the Minister of Justice, is **vitaly significant** issue from the point of protection of the VICTIMS' fundamental RIGHT and DUTY to bring their offenders to JUSTICE.
2. It is NECESSARY to **prevent hit and run crime** and the **legal chicanery** perpetrated in the Supreme Court of British Columbia,
3. You have a DUTY to serve the CAUSE OF JUSTICE; NOT to defeat it by creating hardship to a victim of crime who is struggling to discharge his **duty to bring his offender to JUSTICE**. And,
4. PLEASE, refrain from intercepting the communication between me and the Minister of Justice who has the **authority to resolve this vitally important Public interest issue**.

Thanks for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 4, 2015

Seventh Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable Suzanne Anton  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

### 1. UNUSUAL BUSINES PRACTICE

I am a victim of **potentially fatal hit and run crime** committed under the *liability* of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was NOT charged with criminal offence; even though he was identified on the next day. After searching the frequency of hit and run crimes, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Furthermore, ICBC, **forces the Public to pay** (estimated half a billion dollars) for the damages done by the hit and run criminals, by the way of selling **compulsary insurance service**. For a reasonable person, **selling insurance service**, under the threat of restricting the peoples' RIGHT to use their vehicles, cannot be associated with the LAW and JUSTICE; sales contracts - **under threat** - have **NO legal merits**.

### 2. HIT AND RUN IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE

Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing insurance benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of "accident insurance"**, where criminal offenders are identified.

### 3. DUTY OF VICTIMS OF CRIME

Victims of crime have DUTY to take their offenders to COURT; otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime**. Therefore, it was my DUTY bring my offender to JUSTICE. In order to discharge my DUTY, I have struggled to file a legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC, for over six years. Nevertheless, the members of the Law Society and the Judiciary obstructed my access to Court Services.

### 4. OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE TO VICTIMS OF CRIME

Here is the list of the OBSTRUCTIONS, I have faced, since May 2009.

**1. The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file legal action against my offender.** If the lawyers fail to provide legal service to the victims of crime, they cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

**2. The Law Society failed to investigate the issue. The Executive Director of the Law Society, Timothy E. McGee stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime.** If the Law Society fails to enforce the rules of professional conduct, the lawyers may only provide legal service to the persons they like, and legal service may not be available for everyone.

**3. Justice Nathan H. Smith dismissed my legal action against Timothy E. McGee with costs and refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms.** If the members of the judiciary fail to enforce the Law to ensure that legal services are available for everyone - including the victims of crime - victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.

**4. Legal representative of Timothy E. McGee, Michael G. Armstrong, attempted to exact the court costs based on the unsigned - INVALID - court order.** If the members of the Law Society have no

hesitation to swindle money from the victims of crime, *using unsigned court orders*, it impossible to trust the members of the Law Society.

**5. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, refused to investigate my complaint regarding the enforcement of INVALID court orders.** If the Chief Justice turns blind eye to the legal chicaneries perpetrated under his supervision, it is impossible to prevent corruption in the Court Services.

**6. Justice Austin F. Cullen dismissed my legal action against the Chief Justice, without referring to any authority that relaxes the Chief Justice's DUTY to investigate unusual practice of Law in the Courts.** Therefore, he refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. An Honourable Justice cannot be associated with dismissing the legal action of a victim of crime, *without adjudicating the issue before the Court*, and refusing to sign the dismissal order, in compliance with the procedural norms.

**7. Justice Janice R. Dillon dismissed my legal action against Austin F. Cullen and declared me "vexatious litigant".** If a member of the judiciary dismisses the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to Justice and declares him "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT", obviously, her status is NOT any better than the CRIMINAL WHO OFFENDED THE VICTIM.

**8. The Chief Justice, issued a court order stating that no person has obligation to respond to my civil claim against Janice R. Dillon and he refused to sign his order, despite my numerous requests.**

The Chief Justice have disregarded my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to Justice and OBSTRUCTED my access to the Court Services. Under the circumstances, I am legally obliged to publicize this issue for the protection of the PUBLIC.

## **5. DUTY OF JUSTICE MINISTER**

**The DUTY of the Minister of Justice is to ensure that JUSTICE IS SERVED.** Therefore, I tried to raise the above issue to your attention, many times, but I was NOT able to get a response. Finally, Kurt J. W. Sandstrom responded on your behalf; I believe without your knowledge. Mr. Sandstrom denied your DUTY tacitly and he referred me to ombudsperson, stating that "*the Ombudsperson does not have authority to investigate or review court proceedings*". Obviously, no reasonable person can expect such an *irrational response* from the Minister of Justice.

## **6. MY REQUEST**

It is a **dishonourable** conduct for the Chief Justice to protect hit and run criminals by restricting the victim's RIGHT to bring his offender to JUSTICE. Since his conduct is contradicting with the LAW and his DUTIES, the **publication of this issue, may bring the Administration of Justice into disrepute.** Therefore, considering the significance and the consequences of the issue; **please, advise the Chief Justice** in the right direction; so that, he should respect my RIGHT and DUTY TO BRING MY OFFENDER TO JUSTICE, and allow me to file a **criminal action** against my offender-in-law, ICBC. **That is the requirement of the LAW.**

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

December 7, 2015

FINAL Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail.

Honourable Suzanne Anton  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

Re. ISSUES:

1. ICBC assumes the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes** that **kill 8, injure and maim 2,200** innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year. ICBC promotes hit and run crime by providing insurance benefits for the hit and run criminals, under the name of “*accident insurance*”, where the offenders are identified.
2. The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson restricts the RIGHTS of the hit and run victims to **bring their offenders to JUSTICE.**

I have attempted to raise the above issues to your attention, by sending seven letters to you; nevertheless, I have not received an authorized answer so far. As you may know, as a member of the Public, I am entitled to get an **authorized answer** to those vitally important issues; that is **absolutely necessary** for the protection of the Public.

Please, let me know, if you are **comfortable** with the above mentioned issues, or not, by signing one of the attached answers I drafted for your convenience. Thanks, in advance.

If you fail to respond, I will construe that **you are comfortable** with those issues and I will be obliged to inform the Public accordingly.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Encl.** My letter dated November 4, 2015, two draft answers.

Suzanne Anton  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

## SUZANNE ANTON'S RESPONSE TO RON KORKUT

1. **“Hit and run”** is **“NOT crime”**; it is **“accident”**; therefore, it is legitimate for ICBC to sell compulsory accident insurance to the Public and provide insurance benefits to the persons who are liable for “hit and run accidents”, where the offenders are identified.

2. Therefore, the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson is entitled to declare Ron Korkut - a victim of potentially fatal hit and run accident - “vexatious litigant”, and restrict his RIGHT to bring his offender to JUSTICE.

I concur with the decision of the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson.

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
**Suzanne Anton,**

---

Signature

---

Date

Suzanne Anton  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

## **SUZANNE ANTON'S RESPONSE TO RON KORKUT**

I am not comfortable with the decision of the Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, because;

1. Hit and run is a criminal offence, under the section 252 of the Criminal Code of Canada; therefore, it is impossible to assume the liability of hit and run crimes, where the offenders are identified.
2. As a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime, Ron Korkut has a RIGHT and DUTY to bring your offender to JUSTICE, otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent CRIME.**
3. The Chief Justice's DUTY is to ensure JUSTICE service is available to the Public; **NOT to deny justice**, by restricting the victim's access to the Court Services.
4. Restricting the hit and run victims' access to Court Services is tantamount to promoting hit and run crime.

Therefore, I will advise the Chief Justice to respect Ron Korkut's RIGHT and DUTY to bring his offender to JUSTICE.

Minister of Justice and Attorney General of British Columbia  
**Suzanne Anton,**

---

Signature

---

Date



Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 29, 2016

Seventh Notice - PUBLIC DOCUMENT - FINAL

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable **Suzanne Anton**  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

Dear Minister of Justice,

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

Please let me know if you will take the NECESSARY ACTIONS to prevent the ongoing  
CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA?

**It is IMPOSSIBLE to prevent CRIME, where the  
victims cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE.**

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

**Encl.:** The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia; Declaration of Indemnity;  
CD (Legal Documents)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

December 04, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable **Suzanne Anton**  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2


**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

So far, I have sent you **ten** letters to take your attention to the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Nevertheless, I have not received an **authorized response** from you. Under the circumstances, any reasonable person can conclude that you are **reluctant** to DISCHARGE YOUR DUTY TO SUPERVISE THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, for the protection of the PUBLIC.

Your ignorance of your DUTY **will not discourage me** from discharging my DUTY TO PUBLICIZE the Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC. You must understand that I will **NOT accept any responsibility** for your loss of credibility, since **the protection of the PUBLIC** is a more **significant** issue than your *political interests*.

Besides BREACH of DUTY and PUBLIC TRUST, you may be liable for the HARM INFLICTED ON THE PUBLIC, because:



Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

**Encl.:** My letter to the Minister of Justice of Canada.

Aug. 28 2017

JAG WEBFEEDBACK JAG:EX <AGWEBFEEDBACK@gov.bc.ca>

9:09 AM

(1 hour ago)

to ron, Office

Mr. Ron Korkut

Email: [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Your email and letter of August 2, 2017, addressed to the Honourable John Horgan, Premier, have been referred to me.

I note your concerns regarding a legal dispute that you have had with the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia (ICBC) following a motor vehicle accident, which also constituted a hit-and-run. I understand that this ministry has received a considerable amount of correspondence from you regarding this matter and that a number of responses from ministry officials have been sent to you. Those responses include former Assistant Deputy Attorney General Kurt J. W. Sandstrom's email of September 15, 2015, his email of September 22, 2015, his letter of September 28, 2015, and his letter of October 14, 2015.

In those responses, ministry officials have explained to you that **it would not be appropriate for the Attorney General to comment on your specific concerns about ICBC**. As Attorney General, I cannot intervene in ICBC's day-to-day operations or decisions on specific cases. Further, it is not within my mandate as Attorney General to become involved in **disputes between individuals and ICBC**.

In addition, as Attorney General, I have no role in reviewing a judicial decision concerning a private dispute. Such powers rest only with appellate courts, including, in certain circumstances, the Supreme Court of Canada.

For these reasons, this is not a matter in which I can become involved. Therefore, it would not be appropriate for me to meet with you to discuss this matter, as you request.

If you need advice about your legal rights and options, you may wish to consult a lawyer in private practice. My role as Attorney General does not extend to providing legal advice to members of the public. However, a number of groups in this province provide free legal services and information under certain circumstances. Although this ministry does not endorse or confirm the accuracy or completeness of information or advice provided by any of the following resources, I understand that they are currently available to British Columbians.

Access Pro Bono Society  
of British Columbia  
300 – 845 Cambie Street  
Vancouver BC V6B 4Z9  
Telephone: [604-878-7400](tel:604-878-7400)  
Toll-free telephone in BC: [1-877-762-6664](tel:1-877-762-6664)

Facsimile: [604-893-8934](tel:604-893-8934)  
Email: [help@accessprobono.ca](mailto:help@accessprobono.ca)  
Website: [www.accessprobono.ca](http://www.accessprobono.ca)

Clicklaw: [www.clicklaw.bc.ca](http://www.clicklaw.bc.ca)

The Law Centre  
Suite 225 – 850 Burdett Avenue  
Victoria BC V8W 0C7  
Telephone: [250-385-1221](tel:250-385-1221)  
Facsimile: [250-385-1226](tel:250-385-1226)  
Email: [reception@thelawcentre.ca](mailto:reception@thelawcentre.ca)  
Website: <http://thelawcentre.ca>

UBC Law Students' Legal Advice Program  
University of British Columbia  
Faculty of Law – Room 158  
1822 East Mall  
Vancouver BC V6T 1Z1  
Telephone: [604-822-5791](tel:604-822-5791)  
Facsimile: [604-822-1661](tel:604-822-1661)

For those who are unable to find free legal advice, the following service can refer individuals to a lawyer in their area who will meet with them for up to 30 minutes for a fee of \$25 plus applicable taxes.

Lawyer Referral Service  
Telephone: [604-687-3221](tel:604-687-3221)  
Toll-free telephone in B.C.: [1-800-663-1919](tel:1-800-663-1919)  
Website: [www.cbabc.org/For-the-Public/Lawyer-Referral-Service](http://www.cbabc.org/For-the-Public/Lawyer-Referral-Service)

I appreciate your taking the time to write.

Yours truly,

David Eby, QC  
Attorney General

pc: The Honourable John Horgan

August 28, 2017

Dear Mr. Eby,

Corruption is a crime against the PUBLIC; therefore, this issue is VITALLY important for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC. Please, visit my websites to find out the extents of THE HARMs INFLICTED ON THE PUBLIC with no tangible reason. Thanks for your email, nevertheless, I do NOT NEED your personal opinion regarding the DUTIES OF the Attorney General of British Columbia. At the present, it is impossible to get any legal advice from the resources you referred me. Please, remind the authorized persons to RESPOND in compliance with the procedural norms. As a member of the PUBLIC, I am entitled to get an AUTHORIZED reply from Mr. John Horgan and the Attorney General, regarding this issue.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site), [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca), [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)

October 12, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
Honourable **David Eby**  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

I have sent **ten** letters to Suzanne Anton's attention regarding the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Nevertheless, I have not received any **authorized response** from her.

I am confident that you would pay attention to the conduct of the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** and remind him that:

**"The intent of the LAW IS NOT TO PROTECTS CRIMINALS by dismissing the legals actions of their victims."**

Chief Justice Hinkson obstructed my access to JUSTICE, therefore I am not able to file a legal action against Stephanie Smith, BCGEU on the grounds of breach of duty pursuant to S.122 of the Criminal Code of Canada. BCIT-BCGEU For further information please visit the websites above.

Please, take necessary actions so that I can use court services for the **protection of the Public** and my **employment RIGHTS**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Encl.** The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1  
778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site), [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca), [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)

November 3, 2017

**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** – Second request

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.**

I have sent **ten** letters to Suzanne Anton's attention regarding the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Nevertheless, I have not received any **authorized response** from her.

I am confident that you would pay attention to the conduct of the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** and remind him that:

**“The intent of the LAW IS NOT TO PROTECTS CRIMINALS by dismissing the legals actions of their victims.”**

Chief Justice Hinkson obstructed my access to JUSTICE, therefore I am not able to file a legal action against Stephanie Smith, BCGEU on the grounds of breach of duty pursuant to S.122 of the Criminal Code of Canada. For further information please visit the websites above. BCIT-BCGEU

Please, take necessary actions so that I can use court services for the **protection of the Public** and my **employment RIGHTS**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

April 23, 2018

778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site) [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca) [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)



**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** – Third request

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. ICBC CRIME, Corruption in SCBC.**

*Unexpectedly*, you responded to **my complaints** I raised to the attention of **John Horgan**, Premier about ICBC CRIME and **denied your DUTY** to protect the PUBLIC against the CRIME of insuring and **protecting** criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals. *Nevertheless*, mere DENIALS have no merit in Law; *because*, it is **impossible** to change the FACTS and the requirements of the LAW, by DENIAL.

*Even though*, you did **not respond** to my letters dated: October 12, 2017, November 3, 2017 regarding the **dismissal of criminal cases**, it is my DUTY to inform you about the following FACTS that I am prepared to substantiate:

1. I am a **victim** of potentially FATAL hit and run **crime perpetrated** 9 years ago. My offender was caught, but RCMP did not prosecute him; *because*, ICBC was **liable** for the CRIME. ICBC adjusters **confirmed** that they were liable for the CRIME; but, they **refused** to pay my *non-pecuniary* damages.
2. My case was not an isolated issue. I discovered that ICBC **insures** and **protects** the *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers*, as if they were “accidents”. Providing insurance to *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers* **increases** CRASH RATE *tremendously*. *Therefore*, one out of five vehicles CRASHES, each year, in British Columbia.
3. 90% of the CRASHES in British Columbia are the ROAD CRIMES; **NOT accidents**; *because*, they are caused by *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers*. ROAD CRIMES kill **264 innocent** people, injure and **cripple 51,000** each year. Since ICBC **assumes the liability** of those CRASHES which are ROAD CRIMES, ICBC is also **liable** for the causalities of the ROAD CRIMES. *Therefore*, **it is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY to bring ICBC to JUSTICE**. *As a victim of ICBC*, it is my DUTY to do it; *because*, if the victims **fail** to take action against their offenders, it is IMPOSSIBLE to **prevent crimes**. For more information, please review my presentation: ICBC CRIME at the above websites.
4. All the lawyers I approached **refused** to provide me with legal service. The *obvious reason* for they did **not help** me was the FACT that the lawyers were the *prime-beneficiary* of the **road crime insurance business**. More road crimes *mean* more business for the lawyers!



5. I filed four legal actions on my own, *nevertheless*, all of them were **dismissed** and *finally* the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** declared me “*vexatious litigant*” and **obstructed** my access to JUSTICE.

6. **Wayne Hand** terminated my employment with BCIT; *just because* I warned my colleagues against the ongoing **legal chicanery** in the courts, *out of work hours*. He breached my RIGHT and DUTY to **inform** my colleagues *against* the perils of the Corruption in the Courts.

7. BCGEU lawyer **Oliver Demuth** betrayed me by *refusing to verify* the FACTS on my side and dismissing my grievance based on Wayne Hand’s allegations.

8. *Even though* I was willing to pay, I was not able to get **legal service** for my *wrongful dismissal* case. *Therefore*, I sought help from the Law Students. *Nevertheless*, they **refused** to help me as well. All I needed was an answer to the following question:

**Is it LAWFUL to fire an employee for WARNING his co-workers against the dangers of dismissing criminal cases to protect the criminals, out of work hours?**

UBC student **Paul McDade** and his supervisors **Emily Adams, Blake Scott** and **AJ Mauger** REFUSED to answer my question arguing that they had the discretion to decide who to serve or not. I am in the process of resolving this issue with UBC and the Law Society.

#### **MY REQUEST:**

Being the Minister of Justice of British Columbia, you are supposed to **know** that the following actions are UNLAWFUL and it is your DUTY take necessary actions to **protect** the PUBLIC:

**1. ICBC sells insurance under the threat of seizing driver’s licence or vehicle to insure and PROTECT criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals to promote ROAD CRIMES that kill 264 and injure 51,000 people a year.**

**2. Chief Justice Christopher E. Hinkson dismisses the legal actions of the victims of CRIME to protect the CRIMINALS. Under the circumstances it is IMPOSSIBLE to prevent CRIME.**

As a member, and a **natural representative** of the PUBLIC, it is my DUTY to **remind** you that your *failure* to take necessary actions to resolve the above issues may **invoke** the Section 122 of the Criminal Code of Canada. **Breach of TRUST** in the public service is a criminal offence, in Canada.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Encl. My letter to **Catherine Dauvergne**, UBC and **Donald J. Avison**, LSBC

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

May 21, 2018

778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site) [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca) [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)



**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** – Fourth request

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. ICBC CRIME, Corruption in SCBC.**

*Unexpectedly*, you responded to **my complaints** I raised to the attention of **John Horgan**, Premier about ICBC CRIME and **denied your DUTY** to protect the PUBLIC against the CRIME of insuring and **protecting** criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals. *Nevertheless*, mere DENIALS have no merit in Law; *because*, it is **impossible** to change the FACTS and the requirements of the LAW, by DENIAL.

You failed to **respond** to my letters dated: October 12, 2017, November 3, 2017 and April 23, 2018 regarding the **dismissal of criminal cases**, by **Christopher E Hinkson**, it is my DUTY to inform you about the following FACTS that I am prepared to substantiate:

1. I am a **victim** of potentially FATAL hit and run **crime perpetrated** 9 years ago. My offender was caught, but RCMP did not prosecute him; *because*, ICBC was **liable** for the CRIME. ICBC adjusters **confirmed** that they were liable for the CRIME; but, they **refused** to pay my *non-pecuniary* damages.
2. My case was not an isolated issue. I discovered that ICBC **insures** and **protects** the *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers*, as if they were “accidents”. Providing insurance to *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers* **increases** CRASH RATE *tremendously*. *Therefore*, one out of five vehicles CRASHES, each year, in British Columbia.
3. 90% of the CRASHES in British Columbia are the ROAD CRIMES; **NOT accidents**; *because*, they are caused by *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers*. ROAD CRIMES kill **264 innocent** people, injure and **cripple 51,000** each year. Since ICBC **assumes the liability** of those CRASHES which are ROAD CRIMES, ICBC is also **liable** for the causalities of the ROAD CRIMES. *Therefore*, **it is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY to bring ICBC to JUSTICE**. *As a victim of ICBC*, it is my DUTY to do it; *because*, if the victims **fail** to take action against their offenders, it is IMPOSSIBLE to **prevent crimes**. For more information, please review my presentation: ICBC CRIME at the above websites.
4. All the lawyers I approached **refused** to provide me with legal service. The *obvious reason* for they did **not help** me was the FACT that the lawyers were the *prime-beneficiary* of the **road crime insurance business**. More road crimes *mean* more business for the lawyers!

5. I filed four legal actions on my own, *nevertheless*, all of them were **dismissed** and *finally* the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** declared me “*vexatious litigant*” and **obstructed** my access to JUSTICE.

6. **Wayne Hand** terminated my employment with BCIT; *just because* I warned my colleagues against the ongoing **legal chicanery** in the courts, *out of work hours*. He breached my RIGHT and DUTY to **inform** my colleagues *against* the perils of the Corruption in the Courts.

7. BCGEU lawyer **Oliver Demuth** betrayed me by **refusing to verify** the FACTS on my side and dismissing my grievance based on Wayne Hand’s allegations.

8. *Even though* I was willing to pay, I was not able to get **legal service** for my *wrongful dismissal* case. *Therefore*, I sought help from the Law Students. *Nevertheless*, they **refused** to help me as well. All I needed was an answer to the following question:

**Is it LAWFUL to fire an employee for WARNING his co-workers against the dangers of dismissing criminal cases to protect the criminals**, out of work hours?

UBC student **Paul McDade** and his supervisors **Emily Adams, Blake Scott** and **AJ Mauger** REFUSED to answer my question arguing that they had the discretion to decide who to serve or not. I am in the process of resolving this issue with UBC and the Law Society.

#### MY REQUEST:

Being the Minister of Justice of British Columbia, you are supposed to **know** that the following actions are UNLAWFUL and it is your DUTY take necessary actions to **protect** the PUBLIC:

1. ICBC sells insurance under the threat of seizing driver’s licence or vehicle to insure and PROTECT criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals to promote ROAD CRIMES that kill 264 and injure 51,000 people a year.

2. Chief Justice Christopher E. Hinkson dismisses the legal actions of the victims of CRIME to protect the CRIMINALS. *Under the circumstances it is IMPOSSIBLE to prevent CRIME.*

As a member, and a **natural representative** of the PUBLIC, it is my DUTY to **remind** you that your *failure* to take necessary actions to resolve the above issues may **invoke** the Section 122 of the Criminal Code of Canada. **Breach of TRUST** by public officer is a **criminal** offence, in Canada.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

CC. MLAS

REF. ICBC CRIME, BCIT-BCGEU, LEGAL ETHICS, at [www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

June 28, 2018

778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site) [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca) [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)



**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** – Fifth request

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. ICBC CRIME, Corruption in SCBC.**

*Unexpectedly*, you responded to **my complaints** I raised to the attention of **John Horgan**, Premier about ICBC CRIME and **denied your DUTY** to protect the PUBLIC against the CRIME of insuring and **protecting** criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals. *Nevertheless*, mere DENIALS have no merit in Law; *because*, it is **impossible** to change the FACTS and the requirements of the LAW, by DENIAL.

You failed to **respond** to my letters dated: October 12, 2017, November 3, 2017, April 23, 2018 and May 21, 2018 regarding the **dismissal of criminal cases**, by **Christopher E Hinkson**, it is my DUTY to inform you about the following FACTS that I am prepared to substantiate:

1. I am a **victim** of potentially FATAL hit and run **crime perpetrated** 9 years ago. My offender was caught, but RCMP did not prosecute him; *because*, ICBC was **liable** for the CRIME. ICBC adjusters **confirmed** that they were liable for the CRIME; but, they **refused** to pay my *non-pecuniary* damages.
2. My case was not an isolated issue. I discovered that ICBC **insures** and **protects** the *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers*, as if they were “accidents”. Providing insurance to *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers* **increases** CRASH RATE *tremendously*. *Therefore*, one out of five vehicles CRASHES, each year, in British Columbia.
3. 90% of the CRASHES in British Columbia are the ROAD CRIMES; **NOT accidents**; *because*, they are caused by *hit and run criminals* and *criminally negligent drivers*. ROAD CRIMES kill **264 innocent** people, injure and **cripple 51,000** each year. Since ICBC **assumes the liability** of those CRASHES which are ROAD CRIMES, ICBC is also **liable** for the causalities of the ROAD CRIMES. *Therefore*, **it is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY to bring ICBC to JUSTICE**. *As a victim of ICBC*, it is my DUTY to do it; *because*, if the victims **fail** to take action against their offenders, it is IMPOSSIBLE to **prevent crimes**. For more information, please review my presentation: ICBC CRIME at the above websites.
4. All the lawyers I approached **refused** to provide me with legal service. The *obvious reason* for they did **not help** me was the FACT that the lawyers were the *prime-beneficiary* of the **road crime insurance business**. More road crimes *mean* more business for the lawyers!

5. I filed four legal actions on my own, *nevertheless*, all of them were **dismissed** and *finally* the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** declared me “*vexatious litigant*” and **obstructed** my access to JUSTICE.

6. **Wayne Hand** terminated my employment with BCIT; *just because* I warned my colleagues against the ongoing *legal chicanery* in the courts, *out of work hours*. He breached my RIGHT and DUTY to **inform** my colleagues *against* the perils of the Corruption in the Courts.

7. BCGEU lawyer **Oliver Demuth** betrayed me by *refusing to verify* the FACTS on my side and dismissing my grievance based on Wayne Hand’s allegations.

8. *Even though* I was willing to pay, I was not able to get **legal service** for my *wrongful dismissal* case. *Therefore*, I sought help from the Law Students. *Nevertheless*, they **refused** to help me as well. All I needed was an answer to the following question:

**Is it LAWFUL to fire an employee for WARNING his co-workers against the dangers of dismissing criminal cases to protect the criminals, out of work hours?**

UBC student **Paul McDade** and his supervisors **Emily Adams, Blake Scott** and **AJ Mauger** REFUSED to answer my question arguing that they had the discretion to decide who to serve or not. I am in the process of resolving this issue with UBC and the Law Society.

#### **MY REQUEST:**

Being the Minister of Justice of British Columbia, you are supposed to **know** that the following actions are UNLAWFUL and it is your DUTY take necessary actions to **protect** the PUBLIC:

**1. ICBC sells insurance under the threat of seizing driver’s licence or vehicle to insure and PROTECT criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals to promote ROAD CRIMES that kill 264 and injure 51,000 people a year.**

**2. Chief Justice Christopher E. Hinkson dismisses the legal actions of the victims of CRIME to protect the CRIMINALS. Under the circumstances, it is IMPOSSIBLE to prevent CRIME.**

As a member, and a **natural representative** of the PUBLIC, it is my DUTY to **remind** you that your *failure* to take necessary actions to resolve the above issues may **invoke** the Section 122 of the Criminal Code of Canada. **Breach of TRUST** by public officer is a **criminal** offence, in Canada. Please respond.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

CC. MLAS

Enc. Open letter to Donald J. Avison, CEO, LSBC

REF. ICBC CRIME, BCIT-BCGEU, LEGAL ETHICS, at [www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

August 2, 2018

778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site) [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca) [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)



**PUBLIC DOCUMENT – FINAL WARNING**

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

**Re. ICBC CRIME, Corruption in SCBC.**

*Unexpectedly*, you responded to **my complaints** I raised to the attention of **John Horgan**, Premier about ICBC CRIME and **denied your DUTY** to protect the PUBLIC against the CRIME of insuring and **protecting** criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals. *Nevertheless*, mere DENIALS have **NO MERIT** in Law; *because*, it is **impossible** to change the FACTS and the requirements of the LAW, by DENIAL.

You failed to **respond** to my letters dated: October 12, 2017, November 3, 2017, April 23, 2018, May 21, 2018 and June 28, 2018 regarding the **dismissal of criminal cases**, by **Christopher E Hinkson**, it is my DUTY to **inform** you about the following FACTS that I am prepared to substantiate:

1. I am a **victim** of potentially FATAL hit and run **crime perpetrated** 9 years ago. My offender was caught, but RCMP did not prosecute him; *because*, ICBC was **liable** for the CRIME. ICBC adjusters **confirmed** that they were liable for the CRIME; but, they **refused** to pay my *non-pecuniary* damages.
2. My case was not an isolated issue. I discovered that ICBC **insures** and **protects hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent drivers**, as if they were involved in an “*accident*”. Providing insurance to *hit and run criminals* and **criminally negligent drivers** is a **blatant** AID to ROAD CRIMES. *Therefore*, the ROAD CRIMES are so **rampant** and CRASH RATE is extremely **high**; one out of five vehicles CRASHES, each year, in British Columbia.
3. 90% of the CRASHES in British Columbia are the ROAD CRIMES; **NOT accidents**; *because*, they are caused by *hit and run criminals* and **criminally negligent drivers**. ROAD CRIMES kill **264 innocent** people, injure and **cripple 51,000** each year. Since ICBC **assumes the liability** of the ROAD CRIMES, ICBC is also **liable** for the casualties of the ROAD CRIMES. *Therefore*, it is **ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY to bring ICBC to JUSTICE**. *As a victim of ICBC*, it is my DUTY to do it; *because*, if the victims **fail** to take action against their offenders, it is IMPOSSIBLE to **prevent crimes**. For more information, please review my presentation: ICBC CRIME on the above websites.
4. All the lawyers I approached **refused** to provide me with legal service. The *obvious* **reason** for they did **not help** me was the FACT that the lawyers were the **prime-beneficiary** of the **road crime insurance business**. More road crimes *mean*, more business for the lawyers!

5. I filed four legal actions on my own, *nevertheless*, all of them were **dismissed** and *finally* the Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** declared me “*vexatious litigant*” and **obstructed** my access to JUSTICE.

6. **Wayne Hand** terminated my employment with BCIT; *just because* I warned my colleagues against the ongoing **legal chicanery** in the Courts, *out of work hours*. He breached my RIGHT and DUTY to **inform** my colleagues *against* the perils of the Corruption in the Courts.

7. BCGEU lawyer **Oliver Demuth** betrayed me by **refusing to verify** the FACTS on my side and dismissing my grievance based on Wayne Hand’s allegations.

8. *Even though* I was willing to pay, I was not able to get **legal service** for my *wrongful dismissal* case. *Therefore*, I sought help from the Law Students. *Nevertheless*, they **refused** to help me as well. All I needed was an answer to the following question:

**Is it LAWFUL to fire an employee for WARNING his co-workers against the dangers of dismissing criminal cases and protecting criminals, out of work hours?**

Catherine Dauvergne, Dean of Allard School of Law UBC and **Donald J. Avison**, CEO of the Law Society are not responding to my letters regarding this issue.

#### **MY REQUEST:**

Being the Minister of Justice of British Columbia, you are supposed to **know** that the following actions are UNLAWFUL and it is your DUTY take necessary actions to **protect** the PUBLIC:

**1. ICBC sells insurance under the threat of seizing driver’s licence or vehicle to insure and PROTECT criminally negligent drivers and hit and run criminals to promote ROAD CRIMES that kill 264 and injure 51,000 people a year.**

**2. Chief Justice Christopher E. Hinkson dismisses the legal actions of the victims of CRIME to protect the CRIMINALS. Under the circumstances, it is IMPOSSIBLE to prevent CRIME.**

As a member, and a **natural representative** of the PUBLIC, it is my DUTY to **remind** you that your **failure** to take necessary actions to resolve the above issues is a perfect example of BREACH OF DUTY and PUBLIC TRUST. *Therefore*, if you **fail to respond** to this FINAL WARNING, I will be FORCED to list your name with the **TOP TEN CRIMINALS IN PUBLIC SERVICE**, for the protection of the PUBLIC, pursuant to Section 122 of the Criminal Code of Canada.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

CC. MLAS

REF. ICBC CRIME, BCIT-BCGEU, LEGAL ETHICS, at [www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site)

Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

September 6, 2018

778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site) [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca) [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)



**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** – Public WARNING

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

Previously, I raised the following issues to your attention:

1. **ICBC extorts \$4 billion dollars to insure and protect CRIMINALLY negligent drivers and hit and run criminals who kill 264 and injure 51,000 people a year.**
2. **Cristopher E. Hinkson dismisses** the legal actions of the victims of CRIME and protects criminals.
3. The President of BCIT, **Kathy Kinloch** fires whistleblowers by false accusations.
4. The President of the Law Society **Donald Avison** refuses to investigate lawyers' misconduct.
5. The Law Enforcement officers are **not responding** to the above issues.

*Nevertheless*, you failed to **respond** to my letters dated: October 12, 2017, November 3, 2017, April 23, 2018, May 21, 2018, June 28, 2018 and August 2, 2018. *Under the circumstances*, I have no choice other than **informing** the PUBLIC, for their protection.

Please review the attachment, and let me know if you have any **objections** to the stated FACTS.

In law, **failure to respond** to a legal document is interpreted as a *tacit consent*. Therefore, I will not accept any responsibility for your loss of reputation in the process of discharging my DUTY to protect the PUBLIC, as a member and a natural representative.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Attd.** Public Warning, Conclusion.

CC. MLAS

REF. ICBC CRIME, BCIT-BCGEU, LEGAL ETHICS, at [www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site)



Ron Korkut  
5249 Laurel Street  
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

November 13, 2018

778 378 9009, [ron@ethicsfirst.ca](mailto:ron@ethicsfirst.ca)  
[www.ilaw.site](http://www.ilaw.site) [www.ethicsfirst.ca](http://www.ethicsfirst.ca) [www.justsociety.info](http://www.justsociety.info)



**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** – Public WARNING

**Honourable David Eby**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General  
PO BOX 9044 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria BC V8W 9E2

**Dear Minister of Justice,**

Previously, I raised the following issues to your attention:

1. **ICBC extorts \$4 billion dollars to insure and protect CRIMINALLY negligent drivers and hit and run criminals who kill 264 and injure 51,000 people a year.**
2. **Cristopher E. Hinkson dismisses** the legal actions of the victims of CRIME and protects criminals.
3. The President of BCIT, **Kathy Kinloch** fires whistleblowers by false accusations.
4. The President of the Law Society **Donald Avison** refuses to investigate lawyers' misconduct.
5. The Law Enforcement officers are **not responding** to the above issues.

*Nevertheless*, you failed to **respond** to my letters dated: October 12, 2017, November 3, 2017, April 23, 2018, May 21, 2018, June 28, 2018 and August 2, 2018. *Under the circumstances*, I have no choice other than **informing** the PUBLIC, for their protection.

Please review the attachment, and let me know if you have any **objections** to the FACTS and the rules of the Law.

In law, **failure to respond** to a legal document is interpreted as a “*tacit consent*”. *Therefore*, I will not accept any responsibility for your loss of reputation in the process of discharging my DUTY, as a member and a **natural representative of the Public**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut  
Ethics First

**Attd.** A slide of “TOP TEN CRIMINALS IN PUBLIC SERVICE”