

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

July 22, 2015

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Registered mail

The Honourable Christopher E. Hinkson,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.
800 Smithe Street
Vancouver BC V6Z 2E1

Dear Chief Justice,

Mrs. Heidi L. McBride sent me the attached order entered on July 13, 2015. She alleged that you had made the order regarding my case S155390. I showed the order to my friends, none of them believed that you had made the order and signed it; because:

- 1. The order INFRINGES my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to justice and,**
- 2. Your full name was NOT printed above or below the signature.**

As you may know, court order is a significant legal document; therefore, it **must be PROPERLY SIGNED** by the person who is authorized to issue it.

For your convenience, I retyped the order, word by word and added your full name to the space allotted for authorized signature. Please, sign the attached order and send it to me within a reasonable time frame. I am sure, you will not hesitate to sign it, if you have made it **in good faith** and you believe it is consistent with the **Section 252, Criminal Code of Canada**.

Mrs. McBride told me on the phone that *you will not sign the order*. If you believe, signing your court order falls beyond your job description, I am willing to pay for this service. Please, send me the bill along with the **signed order**. Thanks, in advance.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Att'd: Order entered without proper signature,
Order to be signed.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

August 22, 2015

OPEN LETTER TO CHIEF JUSTICE

The Honourable Christopher E. Hinkson,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.
800 Smithe Street
Vancouver BC V6Z 2E1

Dear Chief Justice,

I am a victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime committed under the liability of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was not charged with criminal offence. After researching the frequency of this incident, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Not to mention, exacting half a billion dollars from the Public to pay the damage done by the hit and run criminals, against the will of the Public. Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the name of “accident insurance benefits”, where criminal offenders are identified.**

As a surviving **victim of hit and run crime**, I have a legal obligation to take legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC; because, it is impossible to prevent crime, if victims fail to **take legal action** against their offenders.

I have been struggling to bring my offender-in-law to justice for over six years:

1. The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file my case.
2. The Law Society stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the Public.
3. In order to find out who has the legal obligation to provide legal service to the Public, I filed a civil claim against the Executive Director of the Law Society, **Timothy McGee**.
4. Mr. Justice **Nathan H. Smith** dismissed my case with costs and refused to sign his order, as required by the Law.
5. I raised the issue to your attention. You ignored my complaint.
6. I filed a civil claim against you on the grounds of breach of duty.
7. Mr. Justice **Austin F. Cullen** dismissed my legal action without adjudicating the issue before the Court and refused to sign his dismissal order, as required by the Law.
8. I was obliged to file a legal action against Austin F. Cullen on the grounds of breach of judicial duty.
9. Madam Justice **Janice R. Dillon** dismissed my case and declared me “vexatious litigant”.
10. I filed a legal action against Janice R. Dillon. You ordered that no one has obligation to respond to my civil claim and you refused to sign your order.

As a member of the public, I am concerned with protecting the **credibility of our Administration of Justice**; because, our peaceful enjoyment of life depends on it. Likewise, I have due respect for your **Honourable** status as a Chief Justice. Nevertheless, under the circumstances, it is impossible for me to sustain my high esteem of you and your office, for the following reasons:

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 4, 2015

SECOND OPEN LETTER TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE – Registered mail

The Honourable Christopher E. Hinkson,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.
800 Smithe Street
Vancouver BC V6Z 2E1

Dear Chief Justice,

1. UNUSUAL BUSINES PRACTICE

I am a victim of **potentially fatal hit and run crime** committed under the *liability* of ICBC, on May 31, 2009. Therefore, my offender was NOT charged with criminal offence; even though, he was identified on the next day. After searching the frequency of hit and run crimes, I discovered that **ICBC assumes the liability of 49,000 hit and run crimes that kill 8, injure and maim 2,200 innocent citizens of British Columbia, every year.** (ICBC quick statistics). Furthermore, ICBC, **forces the Public to pay** (estimated half a billion dollars) for the damages done by the hit and run criminals, by the way of selling *compulsary insurance service*. For a reasonable person, **selling insurance service**, under the threat of restricting the peoples' RIGHT to use their vehicles, cannot be associated with the LAW and JUSTICE; sales contracts - **under threat** - have **NO legal merits**.

2. HIT AND RUN IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE

Hit and run is a **CRIMINAL OFFENCE** under the section 252, Criminal Code of Canada. Therefore, it is impossible to justify the legitimacy of **providing insurance benefits for hit and run criminals under the name of "accident insurance"**, where criminal offenders are identified.

3. DUTY OF VICTIMS OF CRIME

The victims of crime **MUST** take their offenders to COURT; otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent crime**. Therefore, it is my DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE. In order to discharge my DUTY, I have struggled to file a legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC, for over six years. Nevertheless, the members of the Law Society and the Judiciary obstructed my access to the Court Services.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

January 18, 2016

FINAL NOTICE TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE – Registered mail

The Honourable Christopher E. Hinkson,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.
800 Smithe Street
Vancouver BC V6Z 2E1

Dear Chief Justice,

As a victim of **potentially fatal hit and run crime**, I have been struggling to bring my offender-in-Law, ICBC to JUSTICE, for almost seven years. Finally, **Justice Janice Dillon** declared me “*vexetious litigant*”, knowing that all the legal actions I filed were **absolutely necessary** for bringing my offender to JUSTICE. Therefore, I was obliged to file a legal action against **Justice Janice Dillon**. Nevertheless, you **annulled** my Notice of Civil Claim against her, knowing that I have a RIGHT and DUTY to take my offender to JUSTICE.

You are supposed to know that, where the victims of crime are obstructed from the Administration of Justice, **it is impossible to prevent crime**. Nonetheless, the prevention of crime is a second concern, where the Courts of Law are **so corrupt** that the members of the judiciary have no hesitation to **DISMISS the legal actions of the victims of crime**.

Also, you are supposed to know that, as a member of the Public, and a victim of crime, I have a **DUTY to inform the Public**, so that they can protect themselves against the ongoing CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, that is explained in the attached document.

Considering, your Honourable Status and the possibility of the adverse effects of my publicity campaign, I felt obliged to ask you, once more, to **correct your WRONG**. Please, **allow me to file a criminal legal action against my offender-in-law, ICBC**, otherwise, it is impossible to prevent hit and run crime, and maintain the credibility of the Administration of Justice.

Thanks for your cooperation.

Respectfully,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Att. “Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia”

Obstruction of Justice Definition:

An act which tends to impede or thwart the administration of justice.

Canada's Criminal Code, circa 2012 defines obstruction of justice as follows:

"... wilfully attempts in any manner to obstruct, pervert or defeat the course of justice in a judicial proceeding (existing or proposed)...."

In R v Meissner:

"... the doing of some act which has a tendency and is intended to pervert the administration of public justice."

CONCLUSION: Since the members of the judiciary are not above the LAW, preventing the trial of a criminal case by way of **dismissing a legal action that is necessary for a criminal proceeding** is tantamount to OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.

For a reasonable person, Chief Justice Christopher E.Hinkson is guilty of obstruction of justice, because it is impossible to prevent hit and run crime, where the victims of crime are not allowed to bring their offenders to JUSTICE.

4. OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE TO VICTIMS OF CRIME

Here is the list of the OBSTRUCTIONS, I have faced, since May 2009.

1. **The lawyers refused to provide me with the legal service I needed to file legal action against my offender.** If the lawyers fail to provide legal service to the victims of crime, they cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.
2. **The Law Society failed to investigate the issue. The Executive Director of the Law Society, Timothy E. McGee stated that the lawyers have no obligation to provide legal service to the victims of crime.** If the Law Society fails to enforce the rules of professional conduct, the lawyers may only provide legal service to the persons they like, and legal service may not be available for everyone.
3. **Justice Nathan H. Smith dismissed my legal action against Timothy E. McGee with costs and refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms.** If the members of the judiciary fail to enforce the Law to ensure that legal services are available for everyone - including the victims of crime - victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to Justice; therefore, it is impossible to prevent crime.
4. **Legal representative of Timothy E. McGee, Michael G. Armstrong, attempted to exact the court costs based on the unsigned - INVALID - court order.** If the members of the Law Society have no hesitation to swindle money from the victims of crime, *using unsigned court orders*, it is impossible to trust the members of the Law Society.
5. **The Chief Justice, Christopher E. Hinkson, refused to investigate my complaint regarding the enforcement of INVALID court orders.** If the Chief Justice turns blind eye to the legal chicaneries perpetrated under his supervision, it is impossible to prevent corruption in the Court Services.
6. **Justice Austin F. Cullen dismissed my legal action against the Chief Justice, without referring to any authority that relaxes the Chief Justice's DUTY to investigate unusual practice of Law in the Courts.** Therefore, he refused to sign his order, in compliance with the procedural norms. An Honourable Justice cannot be associated with dismissing the legal action of a victim of crime, *without adjudicating the issue before the Court*, and refusing to sign the dismissal order, in compliance with the procedural norms.
7. **Justice Janice R. Dillon dismissed my legal action against Austin F. Cullen and declared me "vexatious litigant".** If a member of the judiciary dismisses the legal action of a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to Justice and declares him "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT", obviously, her status is NOT any better than the CRIMINAL WHO OFFENDED THE VICTIM.
8. **The Chief Justice, issued a court order stating that no person has obligation to respond to my civil claim against Janice R. Dillon and he refused to sign his order, despite my numerous requests.**

THAT IS: You have disregarded my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender to Justice and OBSTRUCTED my access to the Court Services.

5. DUTY TO INFORM THE PUBLIC

If a **victim of crime** is not permitted to discharge his DUTY to bring his offender to JUSTICE, the victim must blow the whistle; otherwise, it is impossible to prevent crime and corruption in the Court Services. Therefore, **my present DUTY is to PUBLICIZE this legal chicanery, to PREVENT HARM TO THE PUBLIC.**

6. CONSEQUENCES OF IGNORING THE WRONG

It is prudent to CORRECT THE WRONG on sight; otherwise, it multiplies quickly and may get out of control.

7. SOLEMN REQUEST

MY LORD,

You are the LORDSHIP who is ENTRUSTED and **empowered** to supervise the Supreme Court of British Columbia; so that, the Public can seek JUSTICE in the Courts of Law.

You are the **role model** for the Public to demonstrate the necessity of adhering with the rules of **LAW** and **legal ethics**. YOUR HONOURABLE STATUS cannot be associated with helping criminals by **keeping their victims away from the Administration of Justice.**

Your DUTY is to **protect the Public** against criminals; NOT to protect criminals by restricting the victims' access to the Court Services. Therefore, your conduct is a PATENT, DELIBERATE and SERIOUS WRONG that may **bring the Administration of Justice into disrepute.**

As a member of the **Public, I solemnly request that you correct your WRONG**, for the best interest of the Public, - including yourself - and, PLEASE, allow me to exercise my RIGHT and DUTY to bring my offender-in-law, ICBC, to JUSTICE.
This is the requirement of the LAW.

Respectfully,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

- 1. Knowing that I was a victim of hit and run crime** who had been struggling to bring my offender to justice for over six years, **you declared me “vexatious litigant” and obstructed my access to court services.** Now, I am not able to bring my offender to JUSTICE.
- 2. Despite my numerous attempts, you declined to sign your order PROPERLY, AS REQUIRED BY THE LAW.**

I believe, you have a misunderstanding of the LAW, your DUTY as a Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia and the necessity of signing legal documents properly. Therefore, I feel obliged to **remind** you the following principles of LAW that you are supposed know and observe.

- 1. The objective of the LAW is to prevent crime and protect the Public; NOT to provide the privilege of selling compulsory accident insurance and provide financial benefits to hit and run criminals under the title of “*accident insurance benefits*”.**
- 2. Your DUTY as a Chief Justice is to supervise the Supreme Court and ensure that court services are provided to the Public. Your duty is NOT TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE to a victim of crime who is struggling to bring his offender to JUSTICE, by the way issuing unsigned court orders.**
- 3. A court order is a significant legal document; therefore, it must have an authorized signature under the full name of the justice who has made the order. Court orders without proper signature are NOT VALID and NOT ENFORCEABLE.**

Please, observe the LAW and comply with the requirements with your DUTY by signing your order dated July 13, 2015, S155390, or allow me to file a criminal action against my offender, so that I can fulfill my DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE.

Nevertheless, if you fail to respond and ignore your DUTY, I will be obliged to publicize this issue, so that the Public can **investigate** and **protect** themselves from your **malpractice of LAW**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Att’d: Order to be signed. (Page 3)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Between:

RON KORKUT

PLAINTIFF

And:

JANICE R. DILLON

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

BEFORE (THE HONOURABLE)
(CHIEF JUSTICE HINKSON) 13 July 2015
()
()

THIS COURT, on its own motion and without a hearing, at Vancouver, British Columbia, on Monday, July 13, 2015 **ORDERS AND DECLARES THAT:**

1. The Notice of Civil Claim filed by Ron Korkut in Vancouver on July 2, 2015 in Supreme Court file No. S155390 Vancouver Registry is a nullity and is set aside as being filed in contravention of the Order of Madam Justice Dillon made March 19, 2015 in the Supreme Court file No. S150231 Vancouver Registry.
2. No person is obliged to respond to the Notice of Civil Claim described in paragraph 1, nor to any other process or document filed in contravention of the Order of Madam Justice Dillon made March 19, 2015 that a court registry may have inadvertently filed or received.

Christopher E. Hinkson, Chief Justice

Signature

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Between:

RON KORKUT

PLAINTIFF

And:

JANICE R. DILLON

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

BEFORE (THE HONOURABLE)
(CHIEF JUSTICE HINKSON) 13 July 2015
()
()

THIS COURT, on its own motion and without a hearing, at Vancouver, British Columbia, on Monday, July 13, 2015 **ORDERS AND DECLARES THAT:**

1. The Notice of Civil Claim filed by Ron Korkut in Vancouver on July 2, 2015 in Supreme Court file No. S155390 Vancouver Registry is a nullity and is set aside as being filed in contravention of the Order of Madam Justice Dillon made March 19, 2015 in the Supreme Court file No. S150231 Vancouver Registry.
2. No person is obliged to respond to the Notice of Civil Claim described in paragraph 1, nor to any other process or document filed in contravention of the Order of Madam Justice Dillon made March 19, 2015 that a court registry may have inadvertently filed or received.

Christopher E. Hinkson, Chief Justice

Signature