

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

May 10, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Cory Langford

M. Ed. M. Sc. Bargaining Unit Chair, Vocational Faculty, BCIT
3700 Willingdon St.
Burnaby BC V5G 3H2

Dear Mr. Langford,

Ref. The Report of Corruption in SCBC

Please, find **the Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**. I have already reported this issue to Burnaby RCMP and Vancouver Police. Please read the report and let me know, if you are **willing to NOTIFY** the members of the BCGEU regarding this issue; so that, they **CAN protect** themselves against the ongoing **corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**, if the Police **IGNORES** the issue.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. My letter to James Cai dated May 5, 2016. The Report of Corruption and relevant legal documents.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 21, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Stephanie Smith, President
BCGEU
4911 Canada Way,
Burnaby, BC V5G 3W3

Dear Mrs. Smith,

Ref. The Report of Corruption in SCBC

I am a member of BCGEU, teaching at BCIT. I am also, a victim of a potentially fatal hit and run crime. Therefore, I have a DUTY to bring my offender to JUSTICE; otherwise, **it is impossible to prevent hit and run crime**. I have struggled to discharge my DUTY for over seven years. Nevertheless, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of British Columbia, **Christopher E. Hinkson** declared me “vexatious litigant” and obstructed my access to Court Services.

The DUTY of justices is to serve the cause of JUSTICE; not to protect the criminals by *aborting* the legal actions of the victims of crime. Obviously, a justice who protects the CRIMINALS is more dangerous OFFENDER than the actual criminals who commit the crimes. For a reasonable person, this is a **perfect example of CORRUPTION**.

Under the circumstances, it is my DUTY to inform the PUBLIC to protect their security. To discharge my DUTY, I attempted to notify my colleagues regarding the Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Nevertheless, the administration threatened me with the **termination of my employment and restricted my RIGHT to communicate with my colleagues** regarding this issue. Nevertheless, the Dean of the School of Construction, **Wayne Hand** failed to sign his decision. That is a perfect indicative of the fact that Mr. Hand is aware of his WRONG regarding his decision to restrict my right to inform my colleagues.

I reported this issue to Vice President **Ana Lopez**, but she failed to respond to my complaint. I also informed My steward, Cory Langford. He also is not responding to my letters and emails.

Therefore, I decided to raise the issue to your attention. I would like to meet with you to discuss the possibilities of **informing** the union members so that, **they can protect themselves** against the ongoing legal chicanery in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. The Report of Corruption, Declaration of Indemnity, My letter to Jack Davidson, CD legal documents.

Hi Cory,

Please let me know if you will respond to my question regarding sharing the "The Report of Corruption" between the union members, so that they can protect themselves against the UNUSUAL practice of LAW in the Supreme Court of British Columbia?

Ron Korkut

From: Cory Langford
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 7:47 PM
To: Ron Korkut <Ron_Korkut@bcit.ca>
Subject: Re: CORRUPTION SCBC

Please let me know if have received the Report of Corruption.

Ron Korkut

Hi Ron,

Your package was put on my desk, so I do have it but I have not had the time to have a look at it.

Cory

From: Ron Korkut
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 11:05 AM
To: Cory Langford
Subject: CORRUPTION SCBC

Cory please let me know if you will respond to my request.

Ron Korkut

From: Cory Langford
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2016 9:41 AM
Subject: UPDATE from Chair - Questions on Funding Announcement.

Cory, I have not received any response to my question yet. Please answer my question. I am not able to share information with my coworkers regarding the CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, under the circumstances. Would you mind looking into this issue. Otherwise, the members of the union may fall victim to the same LEGAL CHICANERY that is being perpetrated in the Courts.

From: Cory Langford

Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 9:42 AM

Subject: Selection committee rep. - Associate Dean, BCIT International

Cory, there are more serious issues than insufficient washroom cleaning. As member, I have a DUTY TO INFORM THE UNION MEMBERS ABOUT THE CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA. It is your job to help me. You are not responding to my letters. PLEASE, DO WHAT YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO DO. Thanks.

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

From: Cory Langford

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 11:11 AM

Subject: New Cleaning Contract

Dec. 8 2016

Hi Ron,

It is important that you attend the meeting. We don't have the right to refuse to meet with the employer when they call a meeting and if we do they tend to escalate the issue very quickly. Rather than the issue becoming more serious, I would urge you to attend the meeting and have the discussions with them as that is the best course of action.

Cory

Dec. 8 2016

Hi Cory,

The issue is already very SERIOUS ISSUE. As an employee of BCIT, I have a RIGHT and DUTY to inform my co-workers regarding THE CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, in order to prevent HARM to them. Nevertheless, my supervisors James Cai and Wane Hand restricted my RIGHT and DUTY to notify my co-workers sending me an email. I asked them to give me an authorized decision since email is not a LEGAL DOCUMENT. They declined to sign their decisions. Then I reported the issue to Ana Lopez. She failed to respond. That is the ISSUE. If you are interested in resolving this issue, please let me know, if one of the above persons will sign the decision on the restriction of my RIGHT and DUTY to inform my co-workers. You must understand that I am entitled to have an authorized decision regarding this issue that is extremely sensitive from the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC.

It is IMPOSSIBLE to argue with a person who is reluctant to sign his or her decision made in GOOD FAITH, therefore, it is NOT APPROPRIATE for me to attend the meeting you are urging me to attend. If the LAW requires to take disciplinary action against an employee who is trying to NOTIFY HIS CO-WORKERS REGARDING THE CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, BCIT should NOT hesitate to proceed. THAT IS FINAL.

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

Dec. 13 2016

Hi Ron,

I am running between meetings, but I wanted to make sure you are going to be attending the meeting today. It is very important that you are there. Not showing up to this meeting will almost definitely propel the institution into taking some rather serious disciplinary actions. You don't want to be disadvantaging yourself by not attending.

Cory

Dec. 13 2016

Hi Cory,

I am not after taking any advantage of a meeting that has no tangible reason. I have to repeat: As an employee of BCIT, I have a RIGHT and DUTY to inform my co-workers regarding THE CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, in order to prevent HARM to them. Nevertheless, my supervisors James Cai and Wane Hand restricted my RIGHT and DUTY to notify my co-workers sending me an email. I asked them to give me an authorized decision since email is not a LEGAL DOCUMENT. They declined to sign their decisions. Then I reported the issue to Ana Lopez. She failed to respond. That is the ISSUE. If you are interested in resolving this issue, please let me know, if one of the above persons will sign the decision on the restriction of my RIGHT and DUTY to inform my co-workers. You must understand that I am entitled to have an authorized decision regarding this issue that is extremely sensitive from the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC.

It is IMPOSSIBLE to argue with a person who is reluctant to sign his or her decision made in GOOD FAITH, therefore, it is NOT APPROPRIATE for me to attend the meeting you are urging me to attend. If the LAW requires to take disciplinary action against an employee who is trying

to NOTIFY HIS CO-WORKERS REGARDING THE CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, BCIT should NOT hesitate to proceed. THAT IS FINAL.

Ron Korkut

Ethics First